# **Information on the Data File**

# 24 hours before elections (Senate election)

Two surveys conducted on the panel of respondents, before the first and the second round of elections to the Senate of the Czech Parliament in 1996

Prepared by Jindrich Krejci
(e-mail: krejci@soc.cas.cz)
Sociological Data Archive, Social Trends project
Institute of Sociology of the Czech Academy of Sciences

# A. TECHNICAL INFORMATION

**Country:** Czech Republic.

**Fieldwork Date:** 

Survey 1 - from November 15 to November 16, 1996 Survey 2 - from November 22 to November 23, 1996

#### **Investigator:**

SC&C (Prague) for Czech Television.

## **Context of the Survey:**

Merged data file results from two surveys conducted on the panel of respondents. First survey was fielded during the period of 48 hours before the first round of election for the Senate of the Parliament of the Czech Republic in 1996, second survey was conducted during the period of 24 hours before the second round. The results were aired on Czech television as a part of electoral news.

Both surveys were organized by SC&C. The fieldwork was carried out by Aktuel (Prague) under supervision of SC&C.

After the election the research continued in third survey of the same panel of respondents. Its data are not included in the file.

General Population: Adult population (over 18) in the Czech Republic.

#### Sampling Procedure:

- 1) Probability stratified sample on the base of regional and political segmentation prepared by SC&C.
- 2) Cluster sampling of localities.
- 3) Selection of individuals random walk with quote ending (sex, age, education).
- 4) Selected sample was used in both surveys.

#### N = 1174

Response rate information is not provided because of the sampling method used.

#### **Fieldwork Method:**

Standardized interview.

#### Weighting:

The data should be weighted. Weighting variable **VAHA1** was constructed on the bases of the official results and participation in elections.

# **Elections to the Parliament of the Czech Republic in 1996:**

The elections in 1996 were the first regular parliamentary elections in the newly established Czech Republic. After the split of the Czechoslovak Federation into the Czech Republic and the Slovak Republic in 1993 the Czech National Council became the Lower House of the Parliament of the Czech Republic - the Chamber of Deputies.

There were two elections in the Czech Republic in 1996. The new electoral system to the Parliament of the Czech Republic was adopted in 1995. On the base of its amendments was held elections to the Chamber of Deputies (the lower house of the Parliament) as well as new elections to the Senate (the upper house).

The elections for the Chamber of Deputies were held from Friday, 31 May to Saturday, 1 June. The electoral system is based on the principle of proportional representation. The chamber has 200 Deputies who shall be elected for a term of four years.

**The Senate** shall have 81 members, which are elected by direct franchise according to the principles of the majority system. There were established 81 single-mandate election districts for the election of senators so, that each of them includes the territory having approximately the same amount of population. There is one senator being elected for the period of 6 years in each of these districts.

Pursuant to the Constitution of the Czech Republic in this first election to the Senate there are senators elected in all 81 election districts, with the exception of one third of them being elected only for two years, one third of them for four years and the last third of them for six years. From this scheme arises, that within the next years the election to the Senate shall be held every two years always in 27 election districts, while the term of post of so elected senators shall be always six years.

The first elections to the Senate (two rounds) were held in November 1996.

First round: from November 15 to November 16, 1996.

Second round: from November 22 to November 23, 1996.

## Results: Number of senators by the political parties

PARTY ID	Number of Senators
KDU-CSL	11
CSSD	23
ODA	3
KSCM	2
ODS	32
No party	10

# **B. INFORMATION ON VARIABLES**

**ATTENTION:** 24 hours before Senate election data file has been merged from data of two surveys of the same panel of respondents. Therefore first there are variables, which correspond to the questionnaire of the first survey, than variables of the second survey were attached.

DOTAZNIK questionnaire no.

TAZATEL inquirer LOKALITA locality code

DATUM date HODINA hour

DELKA length in min.

A1 Most appealing party
A2 Least appealing party

A3 Party voted for Chamber of Deputies

From the following list, please choose the parties which are, in your opinion, the most appealing, the least appealing, and for which you voted.

- 1) In your opinion, which party is the most appealing? Please choose only one party.
- 2) In your opinion, which party is the least appealing? Please choose only one party.
- 3) And which of the parties did you vote for the Chamber of Deputies in May, this year?

q. 1 - no. of the most appealing party	
q. 2 - no. of the least appealing party	
q. 3 - no. of the party from 1996	

#### A4 Why this party?

- 4) If you took part in Parliamentary election please state why you voted for this party. Please choose only the most important reason.
  - 1) it is a strong party
  - 2) it bothers me the least
  - 3) there are strong people in it
  - 4) it has a good election program
  - 5) I trust it
  - 6) it offers a good outlook for the future
  - 7) it is led by appealing people
  - 8) other reason

#### A5 Party voted in 1992-Czech Nat.Council

5) Please try to remember which party you voted for the Czech National Council in the 1992.

2	CSSD	Czechoslovak Social Democratic Party
3	ODS-KDS	Civic Democratic Party
8	ODA	Civic Democratic Aliance
10	KDU-CSL	Christian Democratic Union - Czech People's Party
15	LB	Left block – coalition of The Communist Party of Bohemia and Moravia and The
		Party of the Democratic Left
17	SPR-RSC	The Union for Republic - Czechoslovak Party of Republicans
95	OTHERS	other political party
96		didn't vote
98		can't remember
99		don't want to answer

#### A6 Will resp. vote for Senate?

- 6) What is your present intention? Will you vote in the Senate election?
  - 1 definitely not
  - 2 rather not
  - 3 rather yes
  - 4 definitely yes

#### A6A Reason why will not vote

- 6a) Can you state the reason of your decision
- 1) I never take part in any elections
- 2) I do not agree with the existence of the Senate
- 3) there is no party running in my election district that would be acceptable for me
- 4) there is not an acceptable candidate running in my election district
- 5) I know nothing about the Senate so I have no reason to take part in this election
- 6) as a whole, the electoral system for the Senate does not seem fair to me
- 7) most of my friends (relatives etc.) will not vote, so I will not take part as well
- 8) other reasons

#### A6B When decided

- 6b) Can you state when did you decide?
  - 1) Before September
- 4) In the first week of November
- 2) In September
- 5) In the week of the 1st. round
- 3) In October
- A7.1 1-st:Senator-first name A7.1P 1-st:Senator-Surname
- A7.2 1-st:Party
- A7.3J 2-nd:Senator-first name A7.3P 2-nd:Senator-Surname
- A7.4 2-nd:Party
- 7) What senator will you vote for? Mention his (her) name.
  - 7.1) name.....
  - 7.2) What party do you identify him with? party number (card): ......
  - 7.3) What other candidate do you like?

name: .....

7.4) What party do you identify him with? party number (card): .....

#### A8 Reason for decision

- 8) What is going to be deciding for your vote?
  - 1) party, that is represented by a candidate
  - 2) personality, without regards to the party
  - 3) combination of both: personality in a party that I support

#### A9A Party-Personality 1

- 9a) Which one of the statements describes your decision the best?
  - 1) I want to support a party, even though the candidate does not satisfy me
  - 2) I do not know the candidate well, but I trust the party that appointed him
  - 3) it is a strong personality that persuaded me
  - 4) it is well known personality that most of the people trust

#### A9B Party-Personality 2 9b) And what reason would you no. from 9a) ..... put in the second position? A10.1 **Expectation-local politics** A10.2 **Expectation-better lows** A10.3 **Expectation-interests of people** A10.4 **Expectation-nothing special** 10) What do you expect from a future senator? yes no 1) support of the local requests in our town / district 0 1 2) creation of new and better lows 0 1 3) ability to enforce interests of people same as I am 0 1 0 4) I do not expect anything special from him A11.1 R.knows candidate -personally A11.2 R.knows candidate -visits r.at home A11.3 R.knows candidate -meeting R.knows candidate-well known in district A11.4 A11.5 R.knows candidate-well known in Czech R. A11.6 R.knows candidate -political activity A11.7 R.knows candidate -TV A11.8 R.knows candidate -radio A11.9 R.knows candidate -leaflet R.knows candidate -advertisement A11.10 A11.11 R.knows candidate -friends 11) Can you state how did you get to know the candidate that you want to vote for? yes no 11.1) personaly 0 1 11.2) he was in our house and introduced himself 1 0 11.3) from a meeting 0 1 11.4) it is a well known person within our district 0 1 11.5) it is well known person throughout the Republic 0 1

#### A12 Family vote

12) How is your family going to vote like?

11.6) from his political activity

11.10) from an advertisement

11.11) from people I know

11.7) from television

11.9) from a leaflet

11.8) from radio

- 1) the same as I will; we have the same opinions
- 2) everyone of us differently
- 3) I do not know

#### A13A 2-nd round: vote for the same candidate

- 13a) Will you vote in the second round if your candidate will get to the second round?
- 1) yes, and I will vote for him again 0) no, I will not vote a second time

0

0

0

0

0

0

1

1

1

1

A13B 2-nd round: vote for other candidate

A13BJMEN 2-nd round: other name A13BSTR 2-nd round: other party

13b) Will you vote in the second round if your candidate will not get to the second round?

0) no, I will not vote for the second time

A14.1 Characteristic: independent-party interest

A14.2 Characteristic: politician-expert
A14.3 Characteristic: flexible-scrupulous
A14.4 Characteristic: locality-society
A14.5 Characteristic: equality-indiv.freedom

14) What characteristic should a senator have according to you? Mark what you prefer.

1 = characteristic on the left side; 5 = characteristic on the right side

1) behaves independently	1	2	3	4	5	he incites interest in his party
2) experienced politician	1	2	3	4	5	excellent expert
3) flexible	1	2	3	4	5	scrupulous
4) places emphasis on local problems	1	2	3	4	5	places emphasis on societal problems
5) demands human equality	1	2	3	4	5	demands freedom for every individual

#### A15 Society informed about the Senate?

- 15) How well do you think the society is informed about the Senate and its tasks.
  - 1) very badly, nobody talks about it, no one knows anything.
  - 2) rather badly, people do not know much about it
  - 3) quite well, people have some idea
  - 4) very well, most of people know what the Senate's task is

# A16A R. informed in comparison with others?

- 16a) How well do you think you are informed about the Senate in comparison with other people.
- 3) I know more than others 2)I know the same as others 1) I know less than others

#### A16B How well R. informed?

16b) How well do you think you are informed about the Senate and its tasks.

- 1) very badly, I do not know anything about it
- 2) rather badly, I do not know much about it
- 3) quite well, I have some idea
- 4) very well, I know what the Senate's task is

A17.1 A17.2 A17.3 A17.4 A17.5 A17.6	Information so Information so Information so	ource -TV ource -friends ource -meeting			
	nere did you get most of one	the information concerning the S	Senate.		
	17.2) from radio (from v 17.3) from television (fr 17.4) from people I kno 17.5) in an election me		) )	yes 1 1 1 1 1	no 0 0 0 0 0
A18	R. watched in	terview with Havel			
18) Did	I you watch the interview	with President Havel on Czech	television last we	eek?	
1) yes,	I watched it	2)no, but I heard about it	3) no, I know n	othing a	bout it
A19	Interview imp	roved information			
19) Ha	s the interview improved	your being well-informed about	the Senate?		
1) yes,	considerably improved	2) yes, rather improved	3) no, has not	improve	d at all
A20	Interview cha	nged Rs opinion			
	I your opinion about the considerably	Senate changed after this interv 2) yes, rather	iew? 3) no, not at al	I	
A21	Interview influ	enced R. to vote			
21) Ha	s this interview influence	d your decision to vote?	1) yes	0) no	
A22	Is Senate use	ful?			
22) No	w we will focus on the So	enate. Do you suppose that:			
	2) Senate is an unnec	ry and useful institution? cessary institution, but since it is unnecessary institution, and it s			uld exist.

A23.1 A23.2 A23.3 A23.4 A23.5 A23.6	Senate -office for Senate -is unneces Senate -needed fo Senate -fortify infl Senate -deserves Senate -fortify infl	ssary expensive r keeping democ uence of regions oublic trust	racy			
23) Please sta	te to what extent you	agree with the foll	owing sta	atement	s concerning the Senate.	
1 = I definitely	disagree; 2 = I disagr	ee; 3 = I neither d	isagree o	r agree;	4 = I agree; 5 = I definitely agree	
23.2) Senate of 23.3) Senate is 23.4) Senate v 23.5) Senate of 23.5)	s an office for unempl does not need to exist is needed for keeping vill fortify influence of deserves public trust. vill fortify influence of	- it is unnecessar democracy regions in federal	politics	e.	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	
A24	The number of ser	ators				
24) Do you kno	ow how many senator	s the Senate cons	sist of?	•••	senators2) I do not know	
A25.1 A25.2 A25.3 A25.4 A25.5	Correct? Senate is Correct? Majority: Correct? Senators Correct? Senate v Correct? No Senate	low particip.=inv elected for 6 yea otes on confiden	al.electic ars ce of go		nt	
25) Based on	the information you kr	ow concerning th	e Senate	, decide	, which is correct. yes no	
25.2) A majorit do not parti 25.3) In this ye 25.4) Senate v	ate is a part of Parliar ty electoral system mo- cipate in the election, ear's election, are all to rotes on the confidence the Senate, the Const	eans that if the ma election is invalid ne senators electe e in the governme	ed for 6 years.	ear term	1 0 1 0 s. 1 0 1 0	
A26	Evaluation of cam	oaign				
26) How do yo	u evaluate before-ele	ction campaign to	the Sena	ate?		
2) can 3) can	npaign was week and npaign was boring and npaign was good and npaign was very expre	l uninteresting helped me to dec				
A27	Situation in the Cz	ech R.				
27) In what dir	ection is the situation	in the Czech Rep	ublic hea	ding?		
3) it is heading	in a good direction	2) it is headir	ng in a ba	d direct	ion 1) it is heading nowhere	
A28	Satisfaction-electi	on Chamber of D	eputies			
28) How satisf	ied are you with this y	ear election to the	chambe	er of De	outies?	
ve	ry unsatisfied 1 2	3 4	5	6	very satisfied 7	

#### A29 Party voted if election next week

29) Imagine that next weak will be held a new election to	o the Chamber of Deputies. What party would you
vote for? (party number from the Card of Political Partie	s)

A30.1	The government is too strong
A30.2	Opposition is too strong
A30.3	The government is too weak
A30.4	The opposition is too weak

30) Please, can you state to what extent you agree with the following statements?

1 = I definitely disagree; 2 = I disagree; 3 = I neither disagree or agree; 4 = I agree; 5 = I definitely agree

30.1) The government is so strong that no one can criticize or go against it.	1	2	3	4	5
30.2) Opposition is too strong. It is impossible for the government to govern.	1	2	3	4	5
30.3) The government is too weak. It cannot enforce its ideas	1	2	3	4	5
30.4) The opposition is too weak. It is unable to prevent					
the government from doing anything.	1	2	3	4	5

#### A31 Satisfaction with political situation

31) How satisfied are you with this political situation?

very unsatisfied very satisfied 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

#### A32A Disqualification of candidates by UVK

32a) Please state your opinion about an event that happened recently.

While submitting applications to the Central Election Committee (UVK), several candidates for the Senate were disqualified because of poorly filled out applications. Nevertheless, the Constitutional Court allowed the majority of them their participate.

- 1) I agree with the decision of the UVK 2) I agree with the decision of the Constitutional Court
- 3) I do not know

A32.1	Politician must set an example
A32.2	To forgive a politician his mistakes
A32.3	Bad laws should be cancelled
A32.4	Law is for everyone, politicians alike

- 32) State to what level do you agree with the following statements.
- 1 = I definitely disagree; 2 = I disagree; 3 = I neither disagree or agree; 4 = I agree; 5 = I definitely agree
- 32.1) A politician must set an example by obeying everyday rules.
- 32.2) A politician has so much work that it is necessary to forgive him his mistakes.
- 32.3) Bad and bureaucratically laws which have no meaning should be canceled.
- 32.4) A law is for everyone, politicians alike.

#### A33A 1-st priority in politics

33a) Which one of the following things should be, according to you, resolved first.? Pick one option.

1) security of citizens
2) corruption
3) health care
4) educational system
5) housing
6) agriculture
7) export of Czech goods
8) small businesses
9) banking

#### A33B 2-nd priority in politics

33b) And which one of these things should be resolved second? .....

#### A34A What will cause ODS win

34a) Imagine, that ODS wins markedly in the Senate election. According to you, it will cause:
1) stability
2) instability
3) early elections

#### A34B What will cause CSSD win

34b) Imagine, that CSSD wins markedly the Senate election. According to you, it will cause:
1) stability
2) instability
3) early elections

#### A34C What will cause equal power-ODS,CSSD

34c) Imagine, that CSSD and ODS wins markedly the Senate elections and their power will be equal. According to you, it will cause:

1) stability

2) instability

3) early elections

A35A.1	CSSD complicates political situation
A35A.2	KDU-CSL complicates political situation
A35A.3	KSCM complicates political situation
A35A.4	ODA complicates political situation
A35A.5	ODS complicates political situation
A35A.6	SPR complicates political situation
A35A.7	Others complicate political situation
A35A.8	Parliament complicates political situation
A35A.9	Government complicates political situation
A35A.10	Media complicate political situation
A35B.1	CSSD resolving difficult situation
A35B.2	KDU-CSL resolving difficult situation
A35B.3	KSCM resolving difficult situation
A35B.4	ODA resolving difficult situation
A35B.5	ODS resolving difficult situation
A35B.6	SPR resolving difficult situation
A35B.7	Others resolving difficult situation
A35B.8	Parliament resolving difficult situation
A35B.9	Government resolving difficult situation
A35B.10	Media resolving difficult situation
	<del>-</del>

35a) Today's political situation is difficult. Who, according to you complicates it.

Pick as many you want.

35b) And who, according to you, contributes the most to resolving difficult situations? Pick as many you want.

	yes	no		yes	no
35.1) CSSD	1	0	35.1) CSSD	1	0
35.2) KDU-CSL	1	0	35.2) KDU-CSL	1	0
35.3) KSCM	1	0	35.3) KSCM	1	0
35.4) ODA	1	0	35.4) ODA	1	0
35.5) ODS	1	0	35.5) ODS	1	0
35.6) SPR-RSC	1	0	35.6) SPR-RSC	1	0
35.7) other parties	1	0	35.7) other parties	1	0
35.8) Parliament	1	0	35.8) Parliament	1	0
35.9) government	1	0	35.9) government	1	0
35.10) media	1	0	35.10) media	1	0

A36	R.: Left-right	political	scale				
36) When you s left wing to righ		political	opinions	s, where	would y	ou plac	e yourself in the political scale, from
	extreme left 1	2	3	4	5	6	extreme right 7
A37	A=individual f	reedom	;B=equa	ality			
37) Which one if I should pick							n and equality are both important, but
A) individual fre B) equality mea	eedom allows yo						
1) I agree with	A	2) I agı	ree with	В		0) I do	o not agree with either
A38	A=child to kee	ep rules	;B=child	l be free	•		
	ould be taught, f	rom whe	n they ar	e young	, how to		he rules of the society. fford in their life and what they
1) I agree with	A	2)I agr	ee with E	3	0) I do	not agr	ree with either
A39A A39B	Which system Which system			nvenient	for CR	1	
39) There are to	wo electoral sys	tems us	ed in our	country	:		
	nroughout the C						ment, based on the number of votes it iament, if it is elected by more than
							s in the Parliament corresponding with s if elected by more than half of the
39A) Decide, w	hich one of both	of the t	wo syste	ms seen	ns to be	more f	air to you:
1-st sys	stem		2-nd sy	/stem			0) I do not know
39 B) Decide, v	which one seem	s to you	to be mo	ore conve	enient fo	or the C	R .

2-nd system

0) I do not know

1-st system

#### POHL40 **SEX** 40) Sex: 1) male 2) female VZDEL41 **EDUCATION** 41) What is the highest level of education have you completed? 1) primary 2) vocational 3) secondary 4) university ROK42 **BIRTH YEAR** 42) In what year were you born? ..... **VRSTVA43 SOCIAL CLASS** 43) What social class or strata would you classify yourself? lower none of that 6 lower middle 2 refused this concept middle 3 of differentiation 7 upper middle refused the answer 4 8 upper 5 do not know 9 **KRAJ44 REGION** 44) Region of the respondent: 1) Prague 2) Central Bohemia 3) South Bohemia

- 4) West Bohemia
- 5) North Bohemia
- 6) East Bohemia
- 7) South Moravia
- 8) North Moravia

#### BYDL45 SIZE OF THE COMMUNITY

- 45) Size of community of respondent's residence:
  - 1) less than 2 thousands inhabitants
  - 2) 2 5 thousands inhabitants
  - 3) 5 10 thousands inhabitants
  - 4) 10 50 thousands inhabitants
  - 5) 50 100 thousands inhabitants
  - 6) more than 100 thousands inhabitants
  - 7) Brno
  - 8) Prague

#### A46 EMPLOYMENT STATUS

46) What is your employment? Pick one option.

- 1) worker
- 2) state employee
- 3) other employee
- 4) agricultural worker (collective/private)
- 5) businessman/woman
- 6) retired
- 7) housewife
- 8) student, pupil, aprentice
- 9) unemployed
- 10) other

#### BIS47 Vicechairman Lux-Information Service(BIS)scandal

- 47) Mr. Josef Lux, the vice-chairman, announced on the television that he was watched by the Protection Information service BIS. Have you heard about this scandal?
  - 1) no. I have not heard it
  - 2) I heard of it, but I did not pay any attention
  - 3) yes, I heard it and I watched its evolution

#### BIS48 Scandal: Rs attitude

48) Which one of these statements corresponds with your opinion?

- A) This kind of scandals do not interest me.
- B) It is just a part of the pre-election politics.
- C) There are many scandals like that. They do not interest me.
- D) I do not believe, that something like that could happen.
- E) The news is very important. It changes the picture of our politics.

#### BIS49 Scandal: impact on Senate election

49) According to you, will this scandal have an impact on the upcoming vote for the Senate?

- 0) I do not know
- 1) no
- 2) yes

#### BIS50 Scandal: impact on Rs vote

- 50) Has this news influenced your decision whether to vote in the Senate election?
  - 1) no, this news did not have any impact on my original decision
  - 2) yes, originally I did not want to vote, but now I probably will vote.
  - 3) yes, originally I wanted to vote, but I will not vote now

#### BIS51 Scandal: impact on people

- 51) In your opinion, will this scandal have an impact on people who are going to vote for to the Senate?
  - 1) I do not know
  - 2) definitely not
  - 3) rather not
  - 4) rather yes
  - 5) definitely yes

#### BIS52 Scandal: impact on Rs decision

- 52) Have this news had an impact on your own decision?
  - 1) no
  - 2) yes

#### BIS53 Scandal: which party will profit

53) In your opinion, which party will profit the most from this kind of news?

T: Write your reply here from the card of the political parties (e.g. reply "no one" marked by the code 94).

party number:.....

#### BIS54 Scandal: which party lose

54) In your opinion, what political party will suffer the most because of this news?

T: Write in a reply here from the card of the political parties (e.g. reply "no one" marked by the code 94).

party number.....

C.OBVODU Number of constituency

PRIJMENI Name of senator - 1-st round (1-st choice)

#### STRANA1 Party of senator in 1-st round - real one

2.00 CSSD

3.00 ODS

8.00 ODA

10.00 KDU-CSL

15.00 KSCM

17.00 SPR-RSC

95.00 other

96.00 did not vote

98.00 do not know

99.00 refused

#### RVEK4 AGE - group

1.00 < 29

2.00 30-44

3.00 45-59

4.00 60 and more

#### RA24 Number of senators - recoded

1.00 wrong answer

2.00 80 or 82

3.00 81=right answer

RA25.1 Correct? Senate is part of Parliament

RA25.2 Correct? Majority:low particip.=inval.election

RA25.3 Correct? Senators elected for 6 years

RA25.4 Correct? Senate votes on confidence of government

RA25.5 Correct? No Senate=Constitut. not fulfilled

0.00 wrong answer 2.00 right answer

VAHA1 WEIGHT - results and participation

OBVOD Election district

# QUESTIONS FROM SECOND QUESTIONNAIRE

question # 5)
question # 5)
card)
one possibility.
ded
or all of the respondents.
he second round
i

#### B6A Why will not vote

- 6a) Can you state the reasons of your decision? Pick just one option.
  - 1) I will never take part in any election
  - 2) I do not agree with the existence of the Senate
  - 3) there is no party running in my election district that would be acceptable for me
  - 4) there is not an acceptable candidate running in my election district
  - 5) I know nothing about the Senate, so I have no reason to take part in this election
  - 6) majority voting system for the Senate does not seem to be fair to me
  - 7) most of my friends(relatives etc.) will not participate in election, so I will not take part as well.
  - 8) other reasons

#### B6B Reason of decision

6b)Can you state the reason of your decision? Pick random amount of options.

- 1) I want to support a party or group of parties.
- 2) I want to support a candidate
- 3) my friends (relatives etc.) vote the same.
- 4) in this situation every vote is needed.
- 5) this is the only way to express myself to the present scandals.

## B7A Party-personality 1

7a)Which one of these statements describes your decision the best? Pick one option only.

- 1) I want to support party, despite its candidate does not satisfy me.
- 2) I do not know the candidate as well, but I trust the party that appointed him.
- 3) he has a strong personality and persuaded me.
- 4) he is well known personality, that most of the people trust.

#### B7B Party-personality 2

7b) And which statement would you put in the second place

no. from 7a).....

#### B8 When decided to vote in 2-nd

- 8) When did you decide that you will / will not vote in the 2-nd round Senate election?
  - 1) In Summer
- 4) In the first week of November
- 2) In September
- 5) In the week of the 1st. round
- 3) In October
- 6)I will decide this week

B9.1 Characteristic: independent-party interest

B9.2 Characteristic: politician-expert
 B9.3 Characteristic: flexible-scrupulous
 B9.4 Characteristic: locality-society

B9.5 Characteristic: equality-indiv.freedom

9) What characteristics does your candidate for Senate have? Mark, the characteristics which are closest.

1 = characteristic on the left side; 5 = characteristic on the right side; 0 = I can not judge

1) behaves independently	1	2	3	4	5	he incites interest in his party	0
,	+ :	-	-		-	. ,	
2) experienced politician	1	2	3	4	5	excellent expert	0
3) flexible	1	2	3	4	5	scrupulous	0
4) places emphasis on local problems	1	2	3	4	5	places emphasis on societal problems	0
5) demands human equality	1	2	3	4	5	demands freedom for every individual	0

#### B10 Influence of president Havel speach

- 10) Was your decision to vote (1-st election round) influenced by the speech of president Havel, which was broadcast on the CT last Thursday evening?
  - 1) no, I did not watch it
  - 2) I watched the speech but it did not changed my decision
  - 3) yes, I watched the speech and it influenced my decision

#### B11BIS Scandal BIS: statements

- 11) The scandal that was caused by the vice-chairman of the government, J. Lux, being watched by the Security Information Service, stirred things up in the politics. Which one of the statements corresponds best with your opinion about this scandal. Pick only one option.
  - 1) This kind of scandals do not interest me.
  - 2) It is just a part of pre-election politics.
  - 3) There are many scandals like this, and they have no great meaning.
  - 4) I do not believe that something like that could happen.
  - 5) The news is very important. It changes the picture of our politics.

#### B12BIS Scandal BIS: influence to Rs vote

- 12) Did this scandal influence your election for the Senate? Pick only one option.
  - 1) no, I do not know about it at all
  - 2) I consider the scandal not to be important
  - 3) no, my decision was firm
  - 4) yes, I decided to vote / not to vote
  - 5) yes, I have changed the election of the candidate

#### B13 Influence of the campaign to Rs vote

- 13) How did the election campaign influence your decision?
  - 1) not at all, it was weak
  - 2) not at all, it was boring
  - 3) the campaign was interesting, but I decided myself
  - 4) I was convinced by the campaign and its arguments

#### B14 Situation in the Czech Rep.

14) in what dire	ection are	e inings o	developi	ing in the	Czecn	Republic	3?	
3) to the correct	t directio	n	2) to the	e wrong	directior	า	1) it is	heading nowhere
B15	Satisfa	ction-re	sults of	Senate	electio	n		
15) Watching th	ne results	s of the S	Senate e	election in	n the CF	R, how a	re you s	satisfied?
very unsa	atisfied							very satisfied
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
B16	Party v	oted if	election	to Char	nber ne	xt week		
16) Imagine that vote for?	at next w	eak will l	oe held a	a new el	ection to	the Cha	amber o	of Deputies. What party would you
(party number f	from the	Card of	Political	Parties)				
B16A	Left-rig	jht politi	ical; sca	ale				
16a) When you left wing to righ		rize your	politica	l opinion	s, where	e would y	you plad	ce yourself in the political scale, from
$\epsilon$	extreme l	eft						extreme right
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
B17	A=indi	vidual fr	eedom;	;B=equa	lity			
17) Which one if I should pick								and equality are both important, but
A) individual fre B) equality mea								
1) I agree with	Α		2)I agre	ee with B	3	0)I do n	ot agre	ee with either
POHL18	SEX							
18) Sex: 1) male 2) fema								
VZDEL19	EDUCA	ATION						
19) What is the 1) prim 2) voca 3) seco 4) unive	ary itional ondary	level of e	educatio	on have y	you com	pleted?		
ROK20	BIRTH	YEAR						
20) In what yea	ır were y	ou born?						

#### VRSTVA21 SOCIAL CLASS

21) What social class or strata would you classify yourself?

lower	1	none of that	6
lower middle	2	refused this concept	
middle	3	of differentiation	7
upper middle	4	refused the answer	8
upper	5	do not know	9

#### KRAJ22 REGION

- 22) Region of the respondent:
  - 1) Prague
  - 2) Central Bohemia
  - 3) South Bohemia
  - 4) West Bohemia
  - 5) North Bohemia
  - 6) East Bohemia
  - 7) South Moravia
  - 8) North Moravia

#### BYDL23 SIZE OF THE COMMUNITY

- 23) Size of community of respondent's residence:
  - 1) less than 2 thousands inhabitants
  - 2) 2 5 thousands inhabitants
  - 3) 5 10 thousands inhabitants
  - 4) 10 50 thousands inhabitants
  - 5) 50 100 thousands inhabitants
  - 6) more than 100 thousands inhabitants
  - 7) Brno
  - 8) Prague

#### ZAM24 EMPLOYMENT STATUS

- 24) What is your employment? Pick one option.
  - 1) worker
  - 2) state employee
  - 3) other employee
  - 4) agricultural worker (collective/private)
  - 5) businessman/woman
  - 6) retired
  - 7) housewife
  - 8) student, pupil, aprentice
  - 9) unemployed
  - 10) other

#### VEK AGE OF THE RESPONDENT

#### VEK4 AGE - groups

1.00 < 29 2.00 30-44 3.00 45-59 4.00 60 and more

# STRANA2 Party of senator in 2-nd round

2.00	CSSD
3.00	ODS
8.00	ODA
10.00	KDU-CSL
15.00	KSCM
17.00	SPR-RSC
95.00	other
96.00	did not vote
98.00	do not know
99.00	refused

# FREQUENCIES:

A1 Most appealing	party			77-7/4	Q
Value Label	Value	Executores	Dorgont	Valid	
CSSD	varue 2	Frequency 272		24.2	
ODS	3	310	26.4	27.5	51.7
ODA	8	106	9.0	9.4	61.1
KDU-CSL	10	93	7.9	8.3	69.4
KSCM	15	72	6.1	6.4	75.8
SPR-RSC	17	66	5.6	5.9	81.6
others	95	57	4.9	5.1	86.7
not vote dont know	96 98	2 110	.2 9.4	.2 9.8	86.9 96.6
refused	99	38	3.2	3.4	100.0
rerused		48		Missing	100.0
	•				
	Total		100.0	100.0	
Valid cases 1126	Missing c	ases 48			
A2 Least appealing	party			77 - 7 - 1	G
Value Label	V21110	Frequency	Dercent	Valid Percent	Cum
CSSD	2	85	7.2	7.5	7.5
ODS	3	277	23.6	24.4	31.9
ODA	8	24	2.0	2.1	34.0
KDU-CSL	10	21	1.8	1.9	35.9
KSCM	15	299	25.5	26.4	62.3
SPR-RSC	17	315	26.8	27.8	90.0
others	95	11	.9	1.0	91.0
not vote dont know	96 98	1 74	.1 6.3	.1 6.5	91.1 97.6
refused	99	27	2.3	2.4	100.0
rerused		40	3.4		100.0
	Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases 1134					
A3 Party voted for	Chamber	of Deputies		** 1 1	~
Value Label	772 1 110	Frequency	Dorgont	Valid Pargant	
CSSD	varue 2	257	21.9		22.5
ODS	3	304	25.9	26.6	49.1
ODA	8	84	7.2	7.3	56.4
KDU-CSL	10	86	7.3	7.5	64.0
KSCM	15	67	5.7	5.9	69.8
SPR-RSC	17	60	5.1	5.2	75.1
others	95	85	7.2	7.4	82.5
not vote	96	200 31	17.0	17.5 Missing	100.0
	•		2.0		
	Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases 1143	Missing c	ases 31			
A4 Why this party?					
Walne Tabal	T7 7	The same of the same	Dencia	Valid	
Value Label	Value 1	Frequency 56		Percent 5.7	
strong party bothers lest	2	134	11 4	13.6	19.3
strong people	3	106	9.0		30.1
program	4	202	17.2	20.6	50.7
trust it	5	210	17.9	21.4	72.1
future	6	136	11.6		85.9
appealing people	7	50	4.3	5.1	91.0
other	8	88 192	7.5	9.0 Missins	100.0
	•	192		Missing	
	Total	1174			
Valid cases 982					
A5 Party voted in	1992-Czec	h Nat.Counc	il		
1 1		_	_	Valid	
Value Label		Frequency			
CSSD ODS	2	68 417	5.8 35.5	6.1 37 4	V3 E
ODA	8	56	4.8	37.4 5.0	48.5
	0	50		٥.٠	10.0

KDU-CSL KSCM SPR-RSC others not vote dont know refused  Valid cases 1115	Missing c		100.0	7.7 3.1 9.8 14.2 6.9 3.4 Missing  100.0	
Value Label not at all rather not rather yes definitely yes  Valid cases 1142	1 2 3 4 . Total Missing c	117 236 372 32  1174 ases 32	35.5 10.0 20.1 31.7 2.7 	36.5 10.2 20.7 32.6 Missing  100.0	Percent 36.5 46.8 67.4
A6A Reason why will Value Label never vote dont agree no party no candidate knows nothing not fair friends wont other  Valid cases 390	Value Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 .	Frequency 21 222 14 22 40 4 9 58 784 1174	Percent     1.8     18.9     1.2     1.9     3.4     .3     .8     4.9     66.8	Valid Percent 5.4 56.9 3.6 5.6 10.3 1.0 2.3 14.9 Missing	Percent 5.4 62.3 65.9 71.5 81.8 82.8 85.1
A6B When decided  Value Label earlier September October beg.November this week dont know  Valid cases 1077	Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 . Total	Frequency 470 134 201 108 74 90 97 1174 ases 97	Percent 40.0 11.4 17.1 9.2 6.3 7.7 8.3	43.6 12.4 18.7 10.0 6.9 8.4 Missing	Percent 43.6 56.1 74.7 84.8 91.6
A7.2 1-st:Party  Value Label CSSD ODS ODA KDU-CSL KSCM SPR-RSC others not vote dont know refused  Valid cases 596	Value 2 3 8 10 15 17 95 96 98 99 Total Missing C	Frequency 130 233 54 54 45 2 50 1 25 2 578 1174 ases 578	11.1 19.8 4.6 4.6 3.8 .2 4.3 .1 2.1 .2 49.2	21.8 39.1 9.1 9.1 7.6 .3 8.4 .2 4.2 .3 Missing	Percent 21.8 60.9 70.0 79.0 86.6 86.9 95.3 95.5 99.7
A7.4 2-nd:Party  Value Label CSSD ODS		Frequency	Percent	15.0	Percent 15.0

ODA KDU-CSL KSCM SPR-RSC others dont know refused  Valid cases	361 Missi	8 64 10 34 15 21 17 2 95 69 98 57 99 5 . 813 	2.9 1.8 .2 5.9 4.9 .4 69.3	15.8 1.4 Missing	47.9 57.3 63.2 63.7 82.8 98.6 100.0
	 n for decision				
Value Label party personality both		lue Frequency 1 230 2 210 3 272 . 462 tal 1174	19.6 17.9 23.2 39.4	32.3 29.5 38.2 Missing	Percent 32.3 61.8
Valid cases		ng cases 40 			
Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.	<u> </u>	lue Frequency 1 82 2 281 3 189 4 148 . 474	7.0 23.9 16.1 12.6 40.4	11.7 40.1 27.0	Percent 11.7 51.9 78.9
Valid cases		tal 1174 ng cases 4		100.0	
 A9B Party					
Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.	- Va To	lue Frequency 1 186 2 123 3 160 4 172 533 tal 1174	15.8 10.5 13.6 14.7 45.4	29.0 19.2 25.0 26.8 Missing	Percent 29.0 48.2 73.2
Valid cases					
Value Label no yes	Va	lue Frequency 0 155 1 554 . 465	13.2 47.2 39.6	21.9 78.1 Missing	21.9
Valid cases	709 Missi	ng cases 40	65		
A10.2 Expect Value Label no yes	tation-better 1	lue Frequence 0 113 1 590 . 471	y Percent 9.6 50.3 40.1	16.1 83.9 Missing	Percent 16.1
Valid cases	703 Missi	tal 1174 ng cases 4'	71		
A10.3 Expect					
Value Label no yes		1 484 • 474	18.4 41.2 40.4	30.9 69.1 Missing	Percent 30.9
Valid cases					

A10.4	Expectat	ion-nothing spec	cial			_
Value no yes	Label	Value 0 1	Frequency 538 157 479	45.8 13.4	77.4	Percent 77.4
	cases 69	Total 5 Missing o	1174	100.0		
		 candidate -perso				
Value no yes	Label	Value 0 1	Frequency 456 105 613	38.8 8.9	81.3	Cum Percent 81.3 100.0
		Total 1 Missing (				
		 candidate -visit				
Value no yes	Label	Value 0 1	Frequency 530 14 630	45.1 1.2	Valid Percent 97.4 2.6 Missing	Percent 97.4
	cases 54	4 Missing o			100.0	
	R.knows	 candidate -meet:				
Value no yes	Label	Value 0 1	Frequency 450 101 623	38.3 8.6	81.7	
		Total			100.0	
		1 Missing o				
A11.4	R.knows	candidate-well	known in dis	trict	Valid	Cum
Value no yes	Label	Value 0 1	Frequency 318 258 598	27.1 22.0 50.9	Percent 55.2 44.8 Missing	
Valid	cases 57	Total 6 Missing o	1174 cases 598	100.0	100.0	
		candidate-well }				
AII.J	K.KIIOWS				Valid	
no yes	Label	0 1	Frequency 432 113 629  1174	36.8 9.6 53.6	79.3 20.7 Missing	79.3
		5 Missing (	cases 629			
		candidate -polit				
Value no yes	Label	Value 0 1		33.0 14.0	Valid Percent 70.2 29.8 Missing	70.2
Valid		Total 1 Missing (	1174	100.0		
A11.7	R.knows	candidate -TV			Valid	Cum
Value no yes	Label	Value 0 1	121	36.1 10.3 53.6	77.8 22.2 Missing	77.8

Valid cases 545		1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid Cases 545	Missing C				
A11.8 R.knows can	didate -radio			777.41	C
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	
no	0	441	37.6	82.7	82.7
yes	1	92 641	7.8 54.6	17.3 Missing	100.0
Valid cases 533		1174 ases 641		100.0	
A11.9 R.knows can	didate -leafi	et		Valid	Cum
Value Label		Frequency			
no yes	0	152 455	38.8	25.0 75.0	25.0 100.0
1		567	48.3	Missing	
	Total	1174		100.0	
Valid cases 607	Missing c	ases 567			
A11.10 R.knows can					
	1	_	-	Valid	
Value Label no	Value 0	Frequency 379		Percent 69.4	
yes	1	167	14.2	30.6	100.0
	•	628		Missing	
		1174		100.0	
Valid cases 546	Missing c	ases 628			
A11.11 R.knows can	didate -frien	ds		77-7-1-1	G
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	
no	0	339	28.9	60.9	60.9
yes	1		18.6 52.6	39.1 Missing	100.0
Valid cases 557		1174 ases 617		100.0	
A12 Family vote					
A12 Family vote				Valid	Cum
Value Label same	Value 1	Frequency			
different	2	156	13.3	31.2 22.0	F 2 0
dont know	3	332	28.3	46.8	100.0
	•	465 		Missing	
Valid cases 709	Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid Cases /09					
A13A 2-nd round:	vote for the	same candid	date	Valid	Clim
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
no	0 1	118	10.1	16.7 83.3	16.7
yes		467	39.8	os.s Missing	100.0
	T	1174			
Valid cases 707	Missing c	ases 467			
AIJB Z-IIQ IOUIIQ.	vote for oth	er candidate	=	Valid	Cum
Value Label	Value 0	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
no yes	U	300	27.3	53.5 46.5	100.0
100	1	520			
, 00	1	486	41.4	Missing	
100		486  1174	41.4	Missing	
Valid cases 688	Total Missing c	486  1174 ases 486	41.4  100.0	Missing  100.0	
	Total Missing c	486  1174 ases 486	41.4  100.0	Missing  100.0	
Valid cases 688	Total Missing c other party	486  1174 ases 486	41.4  100.0	Missing  100.0 	 Cum

CSSD ODS	2.00	42 64	3.6 5.5	16.1 24.5	16.1 40.6
ODA	8.00	45	3.8	17.2	57.9
KDU-CSL	10.00	32	2.7	12.3	70.1
KSCM	15.00	12	1.0	4.6	74.7
SPR-RSC	17.00	2	.2	.8	75.5
others	95.00	44 17	3.7 1.4	16.9 6.5	92.3
dont know refused	98.00 99.00	3	.3	1.1	98.9 100.0
rerused		913	77.8	Missing	100.0
Valid cases 261	Total Missing c		100.0	100.0	
A14.1 Characteristic	: independ	lent-party i	ntere	77 - 7 / -1	
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Dercent	Valid Percent	Cum
independent	1	387	33.0	34.4	34.4
1	2	186	15.8	16.5	50.9
	3	251	21.4	22.3	73.2
	4	127 174	10.8	11.3	84.5
party	5	1 / 4 4 9	14.8 4.2	15.5 Missing	100.0
	•				
	Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
		ases 49			
A14.2 Characteristic	: politici	an-expert		Valid	Cum
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent		
politician	1	203	17.3	18.1	
	2	134	11.4	11.9	30.0
	3	319	27.2	28.4	58.4
expert	4 5	181 287	15.4 24.4	16.1 25.5	74.5 100.0
CAPCIC		50	4.3		100.0
	Total		100.0	100.0	
Valid cases 1124	Missing c	ases 50			
	=				
	 : flexible				
A14.3 Characteristic				 Valid	Cum
A14.3 Characteristic	: flexible Value		Percent	Percent	Percent
A14.3 Characteristic	: flexible  Value  1		Percent 6.6	Percent 7.0	Percent 7.0
A14.3 Characteristic	: flexible  Value  1 2		Percent 6.6 4.3	Percent 7.0 4.5	Percent 7.0 11.4
A14.3 Characteristic	: flexible  Value  1		Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8
A14.3 Characteristic	: flexible  Value  1 2 3		Percent 6.6 4.3	Percent 7.0 4.5	Percent 7.0 11.4
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible	Value 1 2 3 4		Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible	Value Value 1 2 3 4 5		Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4	7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible scrupulous	: flexible  Value  1 2 3 4 5  Total		Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0	7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible	Value Value 1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing of		Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4 100.0
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible scrupulous Valid cases 1122	Value  Value  1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing c	Frequency 78 50 240 231 523 52 1174 tases 52	Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4 100.0
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible  scrupulous  Valid cases 1122 A14.4 Characteristic	Value  Value  1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing c : locality	Frequency 78 50 240 231 523 52 1174 tases 52 7-society	Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing 100.0  Valid	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4 100.0
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible  scrupulous  Valid cases 1122	Value Value 1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing c: locality Value		Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4 100.0
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible  scrupulous  Valid cases 1122 A14.4 Characteristic	Value  Value  1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing c: locality  Value 1		Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0 Percent 15.2	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.9	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4 100.0
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible  scrupulous  Valid cases 1122	Value Value 1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing c: locality Value		Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0 Percent 15.2 12.5 27.2	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.9 13.1	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4 100.0
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible  scrupulous  Valid cases 1122	Value  Value  1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing c : locality  Value 1 2		Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0 Percent 15.2 12.5 27.2 12.7	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.9 13.1 28.4 13.3	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4 100.0   Cum Percent 15.9 28.9 57.3 70.6
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible  scrupulous  Valid cases 1122	Value  Value  1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing c : locality  Value 1 2 3		Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0 Percent 15.2 12.5 27.2 12.7	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.9 13.1 28.4 13.3	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4 100.0   Cum Percent 15.9 28.9 57.3 70.6
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible  scrupulous  Valid cases 1122	Value  Value  1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing c: locality  Value  1 2 3 4		Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0 Percent 15.2 12.5 27.2 12.7 28.1 4.3	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.9 13.1 28.4 13.3 29.4 Missing	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4 100.0   Cum Percent 15.9 28.9 57.3 70.6
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible  scrupulous  Valid cases 1122	Value  Value  1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing c : locality  Value 1 2 3 4 5 .		Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0 Percent 15.2 12.5 27.2 12.7 28.1 4.3	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.9 13.1 28.4 13.3 29.4 Missing	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4 100.0   Cum Percent 15.9 28.9 57.3 70.6
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible  scrupulous  Valid cases 1122A14.4 Characteristic Value Label locality  society	Value  Value  1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing c : locality  Value 1 2 3 4 5 . Total		Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0 Percent 15.2 12.5 27.2 12.7 28.1 4.3 100.0	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.9 13.1 28.4 13.3 29.4 Missing	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4 100.0   Cum Percent 15.9 28.9 57.3 70.6
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible  scrupulous  Valid cases 1122	Total Missing C Value  Total Missing C  Total  Value  1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing C		Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0 Percent 15.2 12.5 27.2 12.7 28.1 4.3 100.0	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.9 13.1 28.4 13.3 29.4 Missing 100.0	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4 100.0   Cum Percent 15.9 28.9 57.3 70.6 100.0
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible  scrupulous  Valid cases 1122	Total Missing C Value  Total Missing C  Total  Value  1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing C		Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0 Percent 15.2 12.5 27.2 12.7 28.1 4.3 100.0	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.9 13.1 28.4 13.3 29.4 Missing 100.0	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4 100.0   Cum Percent 15.9 28.9 57.3 70.6 100.0
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible  Scrupulous  Valid cases 1122  A14.4 Characteristic Value Label locality  Valid cases 1123  A14.5 Characteristic	: flexible  Value  1 2 3 4 5 .  Total  Missing c : locality  Value  1 2 3 4 5 .  Total  Missing c : equality		Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0 Percent 15.2 12.5 27.2 12.7 28.1 4.3 100.0	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.9 13.1 28.4 13.3 29.4 Missing 100.0  Valid	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4 100.0   Cum Percent 15.9 28.9 57.3 70.6 100.0
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible  scrupulous  Valid cases 1122	: flexible  Value  1 2 3 4 5 .  Total  Missing c : locality  Value  1 2 3 4 5 .  Total  Missing c : equality		Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0 Percent 15.2 12.5 27.2 12.7 28.1 4.3 100.0 dom Percent 20.5	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.9 13.1 28.4 13.3 29.4 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4 100.0   Cum Percent 15.9 28.9 57.3 70.6 100.0   Cum Percent
A14.3 Characteristic  Value Label flexible  Scrupulous  Valid cases 1122	: flexible  Value  1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing c: locality  Value 1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing c: equality  Value 1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing c: equality		Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0 Percent 15.2 12.5 27.2 12.7 28.1 4.3 100.0 dom Percent	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.9 13.1 28.4 13.3 29.4 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4 100.0   Cum Percent 15.9 28.9 57.3 70.6 100.0   Cum Percent
A14.3 Characteristic  Value Label flexible  Scrupulous  Valid cases 1122	: flexible  Value  1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing c: locality  Value  1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing c : equality  Value  1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing c : equality	Frequency 78 50 240 231 523 52 1174 2855 52 178 147 319 149 330 51 1174 2855 51 1174 2855 51 1174 2855 Frequency 7-indiv.freed Frequency 241 127 349	Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0 Percent 15.2 12.5 27.2 12.7 28.1 4.3 100.0 dom  Percent 20.5 10.8 29.7	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.9 13.1 28.4 13.3 29.4 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 21.4 11.3 31.0	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4 100.0   Cum Percent 15.9 28.9 57.3 70.6 100.0   Cum Percent 21.4 32.7 63.8
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible  Scrupulous  Valid cases 1122	: flexible  Value  1 2 3 4 5 .  Total  Missing c : locality  Value  1 2 3 4 5 .  Total  Missing c : equality  Value  1 2 3 4 5 .	Frequency 78 50 240 231 523 52 1174 tases 52 7-society Frequency 178 147 319 149 330 51 1174 tases 51 r-indiv.freed Frequency 241 127 349 162	Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0 Percent 15.2 12.5 27.2 12.7 28.1 4.3 100.0 dom  Percent 20.5 10.8 29.7	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.9 13.1 28.4 13.3 29.4 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 21.4 11.3 31.0	Percent     7.0     11.4     32.8     53.4     100.0   Cum Percent     15.9     28.9     57.3     70.6     100.0   Cum Percent     21.4     32.7     63.8     78.2
A14.3 Characteristic  Value Label flexible  Scrupulous  Valid cases 1122	: flexible  Value  1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing c: locality  Value  1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing c : equality  Value  1 2 3 4 5 . Total Missing c : equality	Frequency 78 50 240 231 523 52 1174 ases 52 7-society Frequency 178 147 319 149 330 51 1174 ases 51 r-indiv.freed Frequency 241 127 349 162 245	Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0 Percent 15.2 12.5 27.2 12.7 28.1 4.3 100.0 dom  Percent 20.5 10.8 29.7 13.8 20.9	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.9 13.1 28.4 13.3 29.4 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 21.4 11.3 31.0 14.4 21.8	Percent 7.0 11.4 32.8 53.4 100.0   Cum Percent 15.9 28.9 57.3 70.6 100.0   Cum Percent 21.4 32.7 63.8
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible  Scrupulous  Valid cases 1122	: flexible  Value  1 2 3 4 5 .  Total  Missing c : locality  Value  1 2 3 4 5 .  Total  Missing c : equality  Value  1 2 3 4 5 .	Frequency 78 50 240 231 523 52 1174 tases 52 7-society Frequency 178 147 319 149 330 51 1174 tases 51 r-indiv.freed Frequency 241 127 349 162	Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0 Percent 15.2 12.5 27.2 12.7 28.1 4.3 100.0 dom  Percent 20.5 10.8 29.7 13.8 20.9	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.9 13.1 28.4 13.3 29.4 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 21.4 11.3 31.0 14.4 21.8 Missing	Percent     7.0     11.4     32.8     53.4     100.0   Cum Percent     15.9     28.9     57.3     70.6     100.0   Cum Percent     21.4     32.7     63.8     78.2
A14.3 Characteristic Value Label flexible  Scrupulous  Valid cases 1122	: flexible  Value  1 2 3 4 5 .  Total  Missing c : locality  Value  1 2 3 4 5 .  Total  Missing c : equality  Value  1 2 3 4 5 .  Total  Missing c : equality	Frequency 78 50 240 231 523 52 1174 asses 52 7-society Frequency 178 147 319 149 330 51 1174 asses 51 7-indiv.freed Frequency 241 127 349 162 245 50	Percent 6.6 4.3 20.4 19.7 44.5 4.4 100.0 Percent 15.2 12.5 27.2 12.7 28.1 4.3 100.0 dom  Percent 20.5 10.8 29.7 13.8 20.9 4.3	Percent 7.0 4.5 21.4 20.6 46.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.9 13.1 28.4 13.3 29.4 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 21.4 11.3 31.0 14.4 21.8 Missing	Percent     7.0     11.4     32.8     53.4     100.0   Cum Percent     15.9     28.9     57.3     70.6     100.0   Cum Percent     21.4     32.7     63.8     78.2

	Missing o	cases 50			
A15 Society info		he Senate?			
Value Label very badly rather badly	Value 1 2	Frequency 202 688	17.2 58.6	60.8	Cum Percent 17.9 78.7
quite well very well	3 4 •	220 21 43	18.7 1.8 3.7	19.5 1.9 Missing	98.1 100.0
Valid cases 1131	Total Missing o	1174 cases 43		100.0	
A16A R. informed	in compariso	on with other	rs?	Valid	Cum
Value Label more	Value 1	Frequency 253	21.6	Percent 22.4	Percent 22.4
same less	2 3	739 138	62.9 11.8	65.4	87.8 100.0
	Total	44  1174	3.7  100.0	Missing  100.0	
Valid cases 1130	Missing o				
A16B How well R.	informed?			Valid	Cum
Value Label very badly	Value 1	172	14.7	Percent 15.2	Percent 15.2
rather badly quite well	2 3	543 379	46.3 32.3	47.9 33.4	63.1 96.5
very well	4	40	3.4	3.5 Missing	100.0
Valid cases 1134	Total Missing o	1174		100.0	
	source -news				
Value Label no yes	Value 0		Percent 40.5 51.4		Cum Percent 44.0 100.0
100		95 		Missing	100.0
Valid cases 1079	Total Missing o		100.0	100.0	
A17.2 Information					
Value Label	Value 0	717	61.1	67.3	67.3
yes	1	349 108 	9.2	32.7 Missing	100.0
Valid cases 1066				100.0	
A17.3 Information					
Value Label no yes	Value 0 1	Frequency 237 863 74	20.2 73.5 6.3	Valid Percent 21.5 78.5 Missing	Percent 21.5
Valid cases 1100	Missing o	1174 cases 74	100.0		
A17.4 Information	source -frie				
Value Label no yes	Value 0 1	Frequency 727 335 112	61.9 28.5	68.5	Percent
Valid cases 1062	Missing o	1174 cases 112	100.0		

A17.5 Information	source -meet	ing			_
Value Label no yes	Value 0 1	Frequency 959 93 122	81.7 7.9 10.4	91.2 8.8 Missing	Percent 91.2
Valid cases 1052		1174 ases 122	100.0	100.0	
A17.6 Information	source -othe	 r			
Value Label no yes	Value 0 1	Frequency 872 184 118	74.3 15.7 10.1	Valid Percent 82.6 17.4 Missing	
Valid cases 1056	Missing c	1174 ases 118	100.0	100.0	
A18 R. watched i					
Value Label yes heard no	Value 1 2 3	Frequency 157 259 719 39	13.4 22.1 61.2 3.3	13.8	Percent 13.8 36.7
Valid cases 1135		1174 ases 39		100.0	
Value Label considerably rather not at all		Frequency 25	2.1 13.5 31.7	Valid Percent 4.5 28.5 67.0 Missing	Percent 4.5 33.0
Valid cases 555	Missing c			100.0	
A20 Interview ch	 anged Rs opi				
Value Label considerably rather not at all	Value 1 2 3	Frequency 10 81 464 619	.9 6.9 39.5 52.7	1.8 14.6 83.6 Missing	Percent 1.8 16.4
Valid cases 555	Missing c		100.0	100.0	
A21 Interview in	fluenced R.				
Value Label no yes	0 1	38 617	44.2 3.2 52.6	93.2 6.8 Missing	Percent
Valid cases 557	Total Missing o	1174 ases 617	100.0	100.0	
Value Label	eful?				
useful should exist unnecessary	Value 1 2 3	67 	22.1 30.2 41.9 5.7	23.5 32.1 44.4 Missing	Percent 23.5 55.6
should exist unnecessary  Valid cases 1107	Value 1 2 3 . Total Missing of	260 355 492 67  1174 cases 67	22.1 30.2 41.9 5.7 	Percent 23.5 32.1 44.4 Missing  100.0	Percent 23.5 55.6 100.0
should exist unnecessary	Value 1 2 3 . Total Missing c	260 355 492 67  1174 cases 67	22.1 30.2 41.9 5.7 	Percent 23.5 32.1 44.4 Missing  100.0	Percent 23.5 55.6 100.0

definitely disagree disagree neither agree definitely agree	1 2 3 4 5	207	11.9 14.7 25.0 17.6 26.7 4.0	15.4	12.4 27.8 53.8 72.1 100.0
Valid cases 1127	Missing o	1174	100.0	100.0	
A23.2 Senate -is unr					
Value Label definitely disagree disagree neither agree definitely agree	1 2 3 4 5	153 180 250 429 44	10.1 13.0 15.3 21.3 36.5 3.7	10.4 13.5 15.9 22.1 38.0 Missing	10.4 24.0 39.9 62.0 100.0
Valid cases 1130	Missing o				
A23.3 Senate -needed					
Value Label definitely disagree disagree neither agree definitely agree	Value 1 2 3 4 5	253 302 194	21.8 21.6 25.7 16.5 10.2 4.2	22.8 22.5 26.8	Percent 22.8 45.2 72.1 89.3 100.0
Valid cases 1125	Missing o	1174 cases 49			
A23.4 Senate -fortif					
Value Label definitely disagree disagree neither agree definitely agree	Value 1 2 3 4 5 .	225 360	20.2 19.2 30.7 18.2 7.1	21.2 20.1 32.2 19.1 7.4 Missing	Percent 21.2 41.3 73.5 92.6
77-1: 1110		1174		100.0	
Valid cases 1119					
A23.5 Senate -deserv	res public	trust		Valid	Cum
Value Label definitely disagree disagree neither agree definitely agree	Value 1 2 3 4 5	179 401	17.5 15.2 34.2 19.7 8.7	Percent 18.3 16.0 35.9	Percent
	Missing o	1174 cases 56	100.0	100.0	
A23.6 Senate -fortif					
Value Label definitely disagree disagree neither agree definitely agree	Value	Frequency 126 134 339 317 204 54	Percent 10.7 11.4 28.9 27.0 17.4 4.6	11.3 12.0 30.3 28.3 18.2 Missing	11.3 23.2 53.5 81.8
Valid cases 1120		cases 54			=
A24 The number of				Valid	Cum

	Value	Frequency			Percent
	0	11 1	.9 .1	.1	1.0 1.1
dont know	1 2	10 604	.9 51.4	.9 53.7	2.0 55.6
	4	1	.1	.1	55.7
	5 16	2 1	.2	.2	55.9 56.0
	17	1	.1	.1	56.1
	40 50	1 3	.1	.1	56.2 56.4
	52 54	2 1	.2	.2	56.6
	60	3	.1 .3	.1 .3	56.7 57.0
	62 71	1 1	.1	.1	57.1
	75	7	.6	.6	57.2 57.8
	76 80	1 62	.1 5.3	.1 5.5	57.9 63.4
	81	356	30.3	31.6	95.0
	82 83	13 3	1.1	1.2	96.2 96.4
	84	1	.1	.1	96.5
	85 87	2	.2	.2	96.7 97.0
	89	1	.1	.1	97.1
	90 91	9 2	.8 .2	.8 .2	97.9 98.0
	96	1 10	.1	.1 .9	98.1
	100 101	1	.1	.1	99.0 99.1
	105 120	1 3	.1	.1	99.2 99.5
	140	1	.1	.1	99.6
	150 180	1 1	.1 .1	.1	99.6 99.7
	185	1	.1	.1	99.8
	200	2 49	.2 4.2	.2 Missing	100.0
	Total	117/	100 0	100 0	
	_	1174 ases 49	100.0	100.0	
	Missing o	ases 49			
	Missing o	ases 49	 nt	 Valid	 Cum Percent
	Missing of the control of the contro	ases 49 of Parliame Frequency 640	 nt Percent 54.5	Valid Percent 57.8	Percent 57.8
	Missing of the control of the contro	ases 49 of Parliame: Frequency	nt	 Valid Percent	Percent
	Missing c  e is part Value 0 1	ases 49 of Parliame: Frequency 640 468 66	Percent 54.5 39.9 5.6	Valid Percent 57.8 42.2 Missing	Percent 57.8
	Missing of the second of the s	Frequency 640 468 66 1174 asses 66	Percent 54.5 39.9 5.6	Valid Percent 57.8 42.2 Missing	Percent 57.8
	Missing control of the control of th	Frequency 640 468 66 1174 asses 66	Percent 54.5 39.9 5.6	Valid Percent 57.8 42.2 Missing 100.0	Percent 57.8 100.0
A25.1 Correct? Senate Value Label no yes  Valid cases 1108	Missing of the state of the sta	Frequency 640 468 66 1174 ases 66 crticip.=inv	Percent 54.5 39.9 5.6	Valid Percent 57.8 42.2 Missing 100.0	Percent 57.8 100.0
A25.1 Correct? Senate Value Label no yes  Valid cases 1108 A25.2 Correct? Major Value Label no	Missing control of the control of th	ases 49	Percent 54.5 39.9 5.6	Valid Percent 57.8 42.2 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 61.6	Percent 57.8 100.0 Cum Percent 61.6
A25.1 Correct? Senate Value Label no yes  Valid cases 1108	Missing of the second s	ases 49	Percent 54.5 39.9 5.6 100.0 al.el  Percent 58.3 36.3	Valid Percent 57.8 42.2 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 61.6 38.4	Percent 57.8 100.0 Cum Percent 61.6
A25.1 Correct? Senate Value Label no yes  Valid cases 1108 A25.2 Correct? Major Value Label no	Missing of the second s	ases 49	Percent 54.5 39.9 5.6	Valid Percent 57.8 42.2 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 61.6 38.4 Missing	Percent 57.8 100.0 Cum Percent 61.6
A25.1 Correct? Senate Value Label no yes  Valid cases 1108 A25.2 Correct? Major Value Label no yes  Value Label no yes	Missing of the ispart  Value  0  1  Total Missing of the ispart  Value  0  1  Total Missing of the ispart  Value  0  1  Total Missing of the ispart  Total Missing of the ispart  Total	Frequency 640 468 66 1174 asses 66 rticip.=inv Frequency 684 426 64 1174 asses 64	Percent 54.5 39.9 5.6 100.0 al.el Percent 58.3 36.3 5.5 100.0	Valid Percent 57.8 42.2 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 61.6 38.4 Missing 100.0	Percent 57.8 100.0 Cum Percent 61.6
A25.1 Correct? Senate Value Label no yes  Valid cases 1108 A25.2 Correct? Major Value Label no yes  Valid cases 1110	Missing of the ispart  Value  0 1 Total Missing of the ispart  Value  0 1 Total Missing of the ispart  Total Missing of the ispart  Total Missing of the ispart  Total	Frequency 640 468 66 1174 asses 66 Frequency 684 426 64 1174 asses 64	Percent 54.5 39.9 5.6 100.0 al.el Percent 58.3 36.3 5.5 100.0	Valid Percent 57.8 42.2 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 61.6 38.4 Missing 100.0	Percent 57.8 100.0 Cum Percent 61.6
A25.1 Correct? Senate Value Label no yes  Valid cases 1108	Missing of the second s	requency 640 468 66 1174 ases 66 rticip.=invo Frequency 684 426 64 1174 ases 64 cd for 6 year	Percent 54.5 39.9 5.6	Valid Percent 57.8 42.2 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 61.6 38.4 Missing 100.0  Valid	Percent 57.8 100.0 Cum Percent 61.6 100.0 Cum
A25.1 Correct? Senate Value Label no yes  Valid cases 1108 A25.2 Correct? Major Value Label no yes  Valid cases 1110	Missing of the second s	Frequency 640 468 66 1174 ases 66 rticip.=inv Frequency 684 426 64 1174 ases 64 td for 6 year	Percent 54.5 39.9 5.6	Valid Percent 57.8 42.2 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 61.6 38.4 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 63.8	Percent 57.8 100.0 Cum Percent 61.6 100.0 Cum Percent 63.8
A25.1 Correct? Senate Value Label no yes  Valid cases 1108	Missing of the second s	requency 640 468 66 1174 6ases 66 1174 6ases 66 1174 6ases 64	Percent 54.5 39.9 5.6	Valid Percent 57.8 42.2 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 61.6 38.4 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 63.8 36.2	Percent 57.8 100.0 Cum Percent 61.6 100.0 Cum Percent 63.8
A25.1 Correct? Senate  Value Label no yes  Valid cases 1108  A25.2 Correct? Major  Value Label no yes  Valid cases 1110  A25.3 Correct? Senate  Value Label no	Missing of the isolated with the image of the isolated with the image of the image	ases 49	Percent 54.5 39.9 5.6	Valid Percent 57.8 42.2 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 61.6 38.4 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 63.8 36.2 Missing	Percent 57.8 100.0 Cum Percent 61.6 100.0 Cum Percent 63.8
A25.1 Correct? Senate  Value Label no yes  Valid cases 1108  A25.2 Correct? Major  Value Label no yes  Valid cases 1110  A25.3 Correct? Senate  Value Label no	Missing of the ispart  Value  0  1  Total Missing of the ispart  Value  0  1  Total Missing of the ispart  Value  0  Total Missing of the ispart  Total  Missing of the ispart  Total  Total  Total  Total  Total	ases 49	Percent 54.5 39.9 5.6	Valid Percent 57.8 42.2 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 61.6 38.4 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 63.8 36.2 Missing	Percent 57.8 100.0 Cum Percent 61.6 100.0 Cum Percent 63.8
A25.1 Correct? Senate Value Label no yes  Valid cases 1108	Missing of the image of the ima	requency 640 468 66 1174 ases 66 rticip.=inv  Frequency 684 426 64 1174 ases 64 d for 6 yea: Frequency 692 393 89 1174 ases 89	Percent 54.5 39.9 5.6 100.0	Valid Percent 57.8 42.2 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 61.6 38.4 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 63.8 36.2 Missing	Percent 57.8 100.0 Cum Percent 61.6 100.0 Cum Percent 63.8
A25.1 Correct? Senate Value Label no yes  Valid cases 1108  A25.2 Correct? Major Value Label no yes  Valid cases 1110  A25.3 Correct? Senate Value Label no yes  Value Label no yes	Missing of the image of the ima	requency 640 468 66 1174 ases 66 rticip.=inv  Frequency 684 426 64 1174 ases 64 d for 6 yea: Frequency 692 393 89 1174 ases 89	Percent 54.5 39.9 5.6	Valid Percent 57.8 42.2 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 61.6 38.4 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 63.8 36.2 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 63.8 36.2 Missing 100.0	Percent 57.8 100.0  Cum Percent 61.6 100.0  Cum Percent 63.8 100.0

yes	1	384 91	32.7 7.8	35.5 Missing	100.0
Valid cases 1083	Total Missing c	1174	100.0		
A25.5 Correct? No Se					
A23.3 Coffect: No Se	nate-const	.icuc. noc i	ullli	Valid	Cum
Value Label		Frequency			
no yes	0 1	244 859	20.8 73.2	22.1 77.9	22.1 100.0
yes		71	6.0	Missing	100.0
Valid cases 1103		ases 71		100.0	
A26 Evaluation of					
Value Label	772]0	Enomionari	Domaont	Valid	
week	varue 1	Frequency 495	42.2	44.0	44.0
boring	2		37.5	39.1	83.2
good	3		13.7	14.3	97.5
very expressive	4	28 50	2.4	2.5 Missing	100.0
	•			_	
Valid cases 1124	_	ases 50		100.0	
A27 Situation in t					
				Valid	Cum
Value Label		Frequency			
good direction bad direction	1 2		36.4 24.2		37.7 62.8
nowhere	3		35.9		
	•	42		Missing	
	Total	1174	100 0		
Valid cases 1132				100.0	
A28 Satisfaction-e		amber of De			
A28 Satisfaction-e	lection Ch	amber of De	putie	Valid	Cum
A28 Satisfaction-e	lection Ch Value	amber of De	putie Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
A28 Satisfaction-e	Value	amber of De	putie	Valid Percent	
A28 Satisfaction-e	Value 1 2 3	Frequency 112 147 201	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0
A28 Satisfaction-e	Value 1 2 3 4	Frequency 112 147 201 384	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0 75.2
A28 Satisfaction-e	Value 1 2 3	Frequency 112 147 201	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0
A28 Satisfaction-e	Value Value 1 2 3 4 5	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1 2.4	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0 75.2 90.1
A28 Satisfaction-e Value Label very unsatisfied	Value 1 2 3 4 5 6	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168 83	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0 7.4	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0 75.2 90.1 97.5
A28 Satisfaction-e Value Label very unsatisfied	Value 1 2 3 4 5 6	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168 83 28	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1 2.4	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0 7.4 2.5	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0 75.2 90.1 97.5
A28 Satisfaction-e Value Label very unsatisfied	Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 . Total	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168 83 28 51 1174 tases 51	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1 2.4 4.3  100.0	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0 7.4 2.5 Missing	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0 75.2 90.1 97.5
A28 Satisfaction-e Value Label very unsatisfied  very satisfied  Valid cases 1123	Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 . Total Missing c	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168 83 28 51 1174 tases 51	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1 2.4 4.3  100.0	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0 7.4 2.5 Missing	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0 75.2 90.1 97.5
A28 Satisfaction-e Value Label very unsatisfied  very satisfied	Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 . Total Missing c	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168 83 28 51 1174 tases 51	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1 2.4 4.3  100.0	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0 7.4 2.5 Missing	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0 75.2 90.1 97.5
Value Label  very unsatisfied  very satisfied  Valid cases 1123  A29 Party voted if  Value Label	Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 . Total Missing c election Value	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168 83 28 51 1174 cases 51 next week Frequency	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1 2.4 4.3 100.0	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0 7.4 2.5 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent	Percent     10.0     23.1     41.0     75.2     90.1     97.5     100.0
A28 Satisfaction—e Value Label very unsatisfied  very satisfied  Valid cases 1123  A29 Party voted if Value Label CSSD	Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 . Total Missing c election Value 2	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168 83 28 51 1174 tases 51 next week Frequency 253	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1 2.4 4.3	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0 7.4 2.5 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 28.0	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0 75.2 90.1 97.5 100.0
Value Label  very unsatisfied  very satisfied  Valid cases 1123  A29 Party voted if  Value Label	Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 . Total Missing c election Value	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168 83 28 51 1174 cases 51 next week Frequency	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1 2.4 4.3 100.0	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0 7.4 2.5 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent	Percent     10.0     23.1     41.0     75.2     90.1     97.5     100.0
Value Label very unsatisfied  very satisfied  Valid cases 1123	Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 . Total Missing c election  Value 2 3 8 10	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168 83 28 51 1174 tases 51 next week Frequency 253 302 90 91	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1 2.4 4.3 100.0  Percent 21.6 25.7 7.7	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0 7.4 2.5 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 28.0 33.4 10.0 10.1	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0 75.2 90.1 97.5 100.0   Cum Percent 28.0 61.4 71.3 81.4
Value Label very unsatisfied  very satisfied  Valid cases 1123	Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 . Total Missing c election  Value 2 3 8 10 15	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168 83 28 51 1174 tases 51 next week Frequency 253 302 90 91 65	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1 2.4 4.3 100.0  Percent 21.6 25.7 7.8 5.5	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0 7.4 2.5 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 28.0 33.4 10.0 10.1 7.2	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0 75.2 90.1 97.5 100.0   Cum Percent 28.0 61.4 71.3 81.4 88.6
Value Label very unsatisfied  Valid cases 1123	Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 . Total Missing c election  Value 2 3 8 10 15 17	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168 83 28 51 1174 cases 51 next week Frequency 253 302 90 91 65 58	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1 2.4 4.3 100.0  Percent 21.6 25.7 7.7 7.8 5.5 4.9	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0 7.4 2.5 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 28.0 33.4 10.0 10.1 7.2 6.4	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0 75.2 90.1 97.5 100.0   Cum Percent 28.0 61.4 71.3 81.4 88.6 95.0
Value Label very unsatisfied  very satisfied  Valid cases 1123	Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 . Total Missing c election  Value 2 3 8 10 15	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168 83 28 51 1174 tases 51 next week Frequency 253 302 90 91 65	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1 2.4 4.3 100.0  Percent 21.6 25.7 7.8 5.5	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0 7.4 2.5 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 28.0 33.4 10.0 10.1 7.2	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0 75.2 90.1 97.5 100.0   Cum Percent 28.0 61.4 71.3 81.4 88.6
Value Label very unsatisfied  Valid cases 1123	Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 . Total Missing c election  Value 2 3 8 10 15 17 95 . 96	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168 83 28 51 1174 tases 51 next week Frequency 253 302 90 91 65 58 45 94 55	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1 2.4 4.3 100.0  Percent 21.6 25.7 7.7 7.8 5.5 4.9 3.8 8.0 4.7	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0 7.4 2.5 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 28.0 33.4 10.0 10.1 7.2 6.4 5.0 Missing Missing	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0 75.2 90.1 97.5 100.0   Cum Percent 28.0 61.4 71.3 81.4 88.6 95.0
Value Label very unsatisfied  Valid cases 1123	Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 . Total Missing c election  Value 2 3 8 10 15 17 95 . 96 98	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168 83 28 51 1174 eases 51 next week Frequency 253 302 90 91 65 58 45 94 55 92	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1 2.4 4.3 100.0  Percent 21.6 25.7 7.7 7.8 5.5 4.9 3.8 8.0 4.7 7.8	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0 7.4 2.5 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 28.0 33.4 10.0 10.1 7.2 6.4 5.0 Missing Missing Missing	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0 75.2 90.1 97.5 100.0   Cum Percent 28.0 61.4 71.3 81.4 88.6 95.0
Value Label very unsatisfied  Valid cases 1123	Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 . Total Missing c election  Value 2 3 8 10 15 17 95 . 96	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168 83 28 51 1174 tases 51 next week Frequency 253 302 90 91 65 58 45 94 55	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1 2.4 4.3 100.0  Percent 21.6 25.7 7.7 7.8 5.5 4.9 3.8 8.0 4.7	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0 7.4 2.5 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 28.0 33.4 10.0 10.1 7.2 6.4 5.0 Missing Missing	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0 75.2 90.1 97.5 100.0   Cum Percent 28.0 61.4 71.3 81.4 88.6 95.0
Value Label very unsatisfied  Valid cases 1123	Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 . Total Missing c election  Value 2 3 8 10 15 17 95 . 96 98 99 Total	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168 83 28 51 1174 tases 51 next week Frequency 253 302 90 91 65 58 45 94 555 92 29 1174	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1 2.4 4.3 100.0  Percent 21.6 25.7 7.7 7.8 5.5 4.9 3.8 8.0 4.7 7.8 2.5	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0 7.4 2.5 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 28.0 33.4 10.0 10.1 7.2 6.4 5.0 Missing Missing Missing Missing	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0 75.2 90.1 97.5 100.0   Cum Percent 28.0 61.4 71.3 81.4 88.6 95.0
Value Label very unsatisfied  Valid cases 1123	Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 . Total Missing c election  Value 2 3 8 10 15 17 95 . 96 98 99	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168 83 28 51 1174 tases 51 next week  Frequency 253 302 90 91 65 58 45 94 55 94 55 92 29 1174 tases 270	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1 2.4 4.3 100.0  Percent 21.6 25.7 7.7 8 5.5 4.9 3.8 8.0 4.7 7.8 2.5	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0 7.4 2.5 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 28.0 33.4 10.0 10.1 7.2 6.4 5.0 Missing Missing Missing Missing Missing	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0 75.2 90.1 97.5 100.0   Cum Percent 28.0 61.4 71.3 81.4 88.6 95.0
Value Label very unsatisfied  Valid cases 1123	Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 . Total Missing c election  Value 2 3 8 10 15 17 95 . 96 98 99  Total Missing c	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168 83 28 51 1174 cases 51 next week Frequency 253 302 90 91 65 58 45 94 55 92 29 1174 cases 270	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1 2.4 4.3 100.0  Percent 21.6 25.7 7.7 8 5.5 4.9 3.8 8.0 4.7 7.8 2.5	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0 7.4 2.5 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 28.0 33.4 10.0 10.1 7.2 6.4 5.0 Missing Missing Missing Missing Missing Missing Missing 100.0	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0 75.2 90.1 97.5 100.0   Cum Percent 28.0 61.4 71.3 81.4 88.6 95.0 100.0
Value Label very unsatisfied  Valid cases 1123	Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 . Total Missing c election  Value 2 3 8 10 15 17 95 . 96 98 99  Total Missing c	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168 83 28 51 1174 tases 51 next week Frequency 253 302 90 91 65 58 45 94 55 92 29 1174 tases 270 1rong	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1 2.4 4.3 100.0  Percent 21.6 25.7 7.7 7.8 5.5 4.9 3.8 8.0 4.7 7.8 2.5 100.0	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0 7.4 2.5 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 28.0 33.4 10.0 10.1 7.2 6.4 5.0 Missing Missing Missing Missing Missing Missing Missing 100.0	Percent     10.0     23.1     41.0     75.2     90.1     97.5     100.0   Cum Percent     28.0     61.4     71.3     81.4     88.6     95.0     100.0
Value Label very unsatisfied  Valid cases 1123	Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 . Total Missing c election  Value 2 3 8 10 15 17 95 . 96 98 99  Total Missing c is too st	Frequency 112 147 201 384 168 83 28 51 1174 cases 51 next week Frequency 253 302 90 91 65 58 45 94 55 92 29 1174 cases 270	Percent 9.5 12.5 17.1 32.7 14.3 7.1 2.4 4.3 100.0  Percent 21.6 25.7 7.7 7.8 5.5 4.9 3.8 8.0 4.7 7.8 2.5 100.0	Valid Percent 10.0 13.1 17.9 34.2 15.0 7.4 2.5 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 28.0 33.4 10.0 10.1 7.2 6.4 5.0 Missing Missing Missing Missing Missing Missing Missing 100.0	Percent 10.0 23.1 41.0 75.2 90.1 97.5 100.0   Cum Percent 28.0 61.4 71.3 81.4 88.6 95.0 100.0

neither agree definitely agree	3 4 5	351 143 90 54	29.9 12.2 7.7 4.6	31.3 12.8 8.0 Missing	79.2 92.0 100.0
Valid cases 1120 M	Total Missing c	1174 ases 54	100.0	100.0	
A30.2 Opposition is to	 o strong	 r			
Value Label definitely disagree disagree neither agree definitely agree	Value 1 2 3 4 5	Frequency 135 316 407 191 73 52	Percent 11.5 26.9 34.7 16.3 6.2 4.4	Valid Percent 12.0 28.2 36.3 17.0 6.5 Missing	Cum Percent 12.0 40.2 76.5 93.5 100.0
Valid cases 1122 M	Total	1174 ases 52	100.0	100.0	
Value Label definitely disagree disagree neither agree definitely agree	Value Value 1 2 3 4 5	Frequency 132 370 422 153 45 52	Percent 11.2 31.5 35.9 13.0 3.8 4.4	Valid Percent 11.8 33.0 37.6 13.6 4.0 Missing	Cum Percent 11.8 44.7 82.4 96.0 100.0
Valid cases 1122 M	Total Iissing c		100.0	100.0	
A30.4 The opposition i					
Value Label definitely disagree disagree neither agree definitely agree	Value 1 2 3 4 5	Frequency 137 344 439 143 57 54	Percent 11.7 29.3 37.4 12.2 4.9 4.6	Valid Percent 12.2 30.7 39.2 12.8 5.1 Missing	Cum Percent 12.2 42.9 82.1 94.9 100.0
Valid cases 1120 M	Total Missing c	1174 ases 54	100.0	100.0	
A31 Satisfaction wit	 h politi	 .cal situatio	 on		
Value Label very unsatisfied  very satisfied	Value     1     2     3     4     5     6     7     .	Frequency 138 213 226 333 148 58 9 49	11.8 18.1 19.3 28.4 12.6 4.9 .8	12.3 18.9 20.1 29.6 13.2 5.2 .8 Missing	12.3 31.2 51.3 80.9 94.0 99.2
Valid cases 1125 M		1174 ases 49			
A32A Disqualification					
Value Label UVK was right Court was right dont know	Value 1 2 3	Frequency 238	Percent 20.3 44.5 32.1 3.1	20.9 46.0 33.1 Missing	Percent
Valid cases 1138 M	lissing c	ases 36		100.0	
A32.1 Politician must					
Value Label definitely disagree	Value 1	Frequency		Valid Percent 1.1	Percent

disagree	2	28	2.4	2.5	3.5
neither	3	92	7.8	8.1	11.6
agree definitely agree	4 5	290 715	24.7 60.9	25.5 62.9	37.1 100.0
derimitely agree	•	37	3.2	Missing	100.0
Valid cases 1137	Total Missing c	1174 ases 37	100.0	100.0	
722 2					
A32.2 To forgive a p	politician	nis mistakes	5	Valid	Cum
Value Label		Frequency			Percent
definitely disagree disagree	1 2	381 335	32.5 28.5	33.5 29.4	33.5 62.9
neither	3	231	19.7	20.3	83.2
agree	4	150	12.8	13.2	96.4
definitely agree	5	41 36	3.5 3.1	3.6	100.0
	•			Missing	
	Total		100.0	100.0	
Valid cases 1138	Missing c				
A32.3 Bad laws should					
				Valid	Cum
Value Label definitely disagree	Value 1	Frequency 15	Percent 1.3	Percent 1.3	Percent 1.3
disagree	2	23	2.0	2.0	3.4
neither	3	72	6.1	6.3	9.7
agree	4	214	18.2	18.9	28.6
definitely agree	5	810 40	69.0 3.4	71.4 Missing	100.0
	•				
Valid cases 1134	Total Missing c	1174 ases 40	100.0	100.0	
A32.4 Law is for eve	eryone,poli	ticians ali	ke	Valid	Cum
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent		
definitely disagree	1	24	2.0	2.1	2.1
disagree neither	2	22 61	1.9 5.2	1.9 5.4	4.1 9.4
agree	4	150	12.8	13.2	22.7
definitely agree	5	877	74.7	77.3	100.0
	•	40	3.4	Missing	
	Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases 1134	Missing c	ases 40			
A33A 1-st priority	in politic	 :s			
11 1 1				Valid	Cum
Value Label	Value	Frequency			
security corruption	1 2	352 172	30.0 14.7	31.0 15.1	31.0 46.1
health care	3	280	23.9	24.6	70.8
education	4	27	2.3	2.4	73.2
housing agriculture	5 6	143 46	12.2 3.9	12.6 4.0	85.7 89.8
export	7	41	3.5	3.6	93.4
small business	8	29	2.5	2.6	96.0
banking	9	46 38	3.9 3.2	4.0 Missing	100.0
	•		3.2	missing	
Valid cases 1136	Total Missing c	1174 ases 38	100.0	100.0	
7.225					
A33B 2-nd priority	_		Danasah	Valid	Cum
Value Label security	Value 1	Frequency 206	Percent 17.5	Percent 18.2	Percent 18.2
corruption	2	182	15.5	16.1	34.2
health care	3	275	23.4	24.3	58.5
education housing	4 5	73 172	6.2 14.7	6.4 15.2	65.0 80.1
agriculture	6	64	5.5	5.6	85.8
export	7	35	3.0	3.1	88.9
small business	8 9	37	3.2	3.3	92.1
banking	9	89	7.6	7.9	100.0

			41	3.5	Missing	
Valid cases	1133	Total Missing c		100.0	100.0	
A34A What Value Label	will cau	use ODS win Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
stability instability		1 2	488 323	41.6 27.5	44.7 29.6	44.7 74.3
elections		3	281	23.9	25.7	100.0
		•	82 	7.0	Missing	
Valid cases	1092	Total Missing c		100.0	100.0	
A34B What	will cau	ıse CSSD win			Valid	Cum
Value Label			Frequency		Percent	Percent
stability instability		1 2	194 477	16.5 40.6	17.7 43.6	17.7 61.3
elections		3	423	36.0	38.7	100.0
		•	80	6.8	Missing	
Valid cases	1094	Missing c	1174 ases 80	100.0	100.0	
A34C What	will cau	 ise equal po				
Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
stability		1	222	18.9		20.3
instability elections		2	450 421	38.3 35.9	41.2 38.5	61.5 100.0
elections		•	81	6.9	Missing	100.0
		Total	 117 <i>1</i>	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1093	Missing c	ases 81	100.0	100.0	
 A35A.1 CSSD	 complica	 ates politic				
77-1 Tale 1	_			Develope	Valid	Cum
Value Label no		Value 0	Frequency 391			Percent 37.2
yes		1	661	56.3	62.8	
		•	122	10.4	Missing	
Valid cases		Total Missing c				
	CSL compl	. – – – – .icates poli	 tical situa			
Value Label			Frequency		Valid	Cum
no Label		varue 0	742			Percent 73.3
yes		1	270	23.0		100.0
		•	162 	13.8	Missing	
Valid cases	1012	_	ases 162		100.0	
A35A.3 KSCM	 Complica		al situation			
Value Label		Value	Frequency	Dercent	Valid	
no		0	545	46.4	53.1	53.1
yes		1	482 147	41.1	46.9 Missing	100.0
		•				
Valid cases		Total Missing c	ases 147	100.0	100.0	
A35A.4 ODA						- <b>-</b>
Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
no		0	754	64.2	74.7	74.7
yes		1	255 165	21.7 14.1	25.3 Missing	100.0
Valid cases	1009		1174 ases 165		100.0	

A35A.5 ODS comp	licates politica	l situation		Valid	Cum
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
no	0	499	42.5	47.8	47.8
yes	1	544	46.3	52.2	100.0
	•	131	11.2	Missing	
	Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases 104	3 Missing C	ases 131			
A35A.6 SPR comp	 licates politica				
ASSA: 0 SFA COMP.	iicates poiitica	ii Situation		Valid	Cum
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent		Percent
no	0	364	31.0	34.8	34.8
yes	1	682	58.1	65.2	100.0
100	-	128	10.9		100.0
	Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases 104	6 Missing c	ases 128			
A35A.7 Others c	omplicate politi	cal situati	on		
	_	_	_	Valid	Cum
Value Label	Value	Frequency			
no	0	845	72.0	84.6	84.6
yes	1	154	13.1	15.4	100.0
	•	175	14.9	Missing	
	Total	117/	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases 99			100.0	100.0	
A35A.8 Parliame	nt complicates p	olitical si	tuati		
				Valid	Cum
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
no	0	532	45.3	51.6	51.6
yes	1	500	42.6	48.4	100.0
		142	12.1	Missing	
	Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases 103	2 Missing c	ases 142			
7.257.0		-11111-1			
A35A.9 Governme	nt complicates p	olitical si	tuati	Valid	Cum
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent		
no	0	647	55.1	63.2	63.2
yes	1	376	32.0	36.8	100.0
1	-	151	12.9		
	Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases 102	3 Missing c	ases 151			
A35A.10 Media con	mplicate politic	al situation	n		
				Valid	Cum
Value Label		Frequency			
no	0	576		55.5	
yes		462 136	39.4	44.5 Missing	100.0
	•	130		MISSING	
	Total	1174			
Valid cases 103				100.0	
A35B.1 CSSD res	olving difficult	situation			
				Valid	Cum
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
no	0	647		63.1	
yes	1	378		36.9	100.0
	•	149		Missing	
	m - t - 1	1174	100.0	100.0	
Walid gages 102	Total		100.0	100.0	
Valid cases 102	5 Missing c				
A35B.2 KDU-CSL					
TOOD .	LOUGHVING WILLIC	.arc broudtl	U-1-1	Valid	Cum
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent		
no	0			61.9	61.9
yes	1	379			
		178	15.2	Missing	

Valid cases	996	Total		100.0	100.0	
A35B.3 KSCN	1 resolvino	g difficult	situation		Valid	Cum
Value Label no		Value 0	Frequency 840	Percent 71.6	Percent 85.4	Percent 85.4
yes		1	144	12.3	14.6	100.0
_		•	190	16.2	Missing	
		Total	1174		100.0	
Valid cases	984	_	ases 190			
A35B.4 ODA						
Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum
no		0	563			56.2
yes		1	438	37.3	43.8	100.0
		•	173	14.7	Missing	
** 3.1.1	1001	Total			100.0	
Valid cases			ases 1/3			
A35B.5 ODS	resolving	difficult	situation		777.41	C
Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
no		0	513			50.4
yes		1	505 156	43.0 13.3	49.6 Missing	100.0
		•				
Valid cases	1018	Total Missing c	ases 156	100.0	100.0	
A35B.6 SPR	resolving					
			_	-	Valid	Cum
Value Label no		Value 0	Frequency 898		Percent 91.4	Percent 91.4
yes		1	85	7.2	8.6	100.0
		•	191	16.3	Missing	
Valid cases	983	Total Missing c	1174 ases 191	100.0	100.0	
ASSB.7 OCH	513 16301VI	ing difficu	it Situation		Valid	Cum
Value Label		Value				
no yes		0	818 150	69.7 12.8	84.5 15.5	84.5 100.0
1			206	17.5	Missing	
		Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases		Missing c			100.0	
A35B.8 Pari	 liament res			 ation		
11002.0		-			Valid	Cum
Value Label no		Value 0	Frequency 690		Percent 70.3	Percent 70.3
yes		1	292		29.7	
		•	192	16.4	Missing	
		Total		100.0		
Valid cases			ases 192			
A35B.9 Gove						
			_	-	Valid	Cum
Value Label no		Value 0	Frequency 514		Percent 50.9	Percent 50.9
yes		1	495	42.2	49.1	
		•	165	14.1	Missing	
77=144	1000	Total		100.0		
Valid cases			ases 165			
A35B.10 Med	ia resolvir	ng difficul	t situation		Valid	Cum
Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent		

70	0	750	617	75 0	75 0
no yes	0 1	759	20.6	75.8 24.2	100.0
100		173	14.7	Missing	100.0
1' 1	Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases 1001					
A36 R.: Left-right					
	F			Valid	Cum
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
extreme left	1	9	.8	.8	.8
	2	65	5.5	5.7	6.5
	3	134	11.4	11.8	
	4 5	469	39.9	41.5 23.0	59.9
		200 150	13 5	23.U 1/1 1	02.0
extreme right	6 7	35	3.0	14.1 3.1	100.0
		43	3.7	Missing	
	Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases 1131					
A37 A=individual f					
A-IIIUIVIUUAI I	eeuom; b=6	:quarrcy		Valid	Clim
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent		
disagree	0	244	20.8	21.4	21.4
agree A	1	244 491	41.8	43.1	64.5
agree B	2	404	34.4	35.5 Missing	100.0
		35	3.0	Missing	
	- · ·				
Walid gages 1120		1174		100.0	
Valid cases 1139		.ases 33			
A38 A=child to kee					
	,-			Valid	Cum
Value Label		Frequency		Percent	Percent
disagree	0	385	32.8	33.8	33.8
agree A		495			
agree B	2			22.7	100.0
	•	35 		Missing	
	Т∩+э1	1174			
Valid cases 1139				100.0	
A39A Which system i	s more fai	r			
77-1 T1. 3		B	D- :	Valid	Cum
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
dont know		387 454	33.0 38.7		34.0 73.9
proportional majority	1 2		20.1 25.2	29.9 26 1	100.0
с. ј От т с ў				Missing	100.0
	•				
	Total	1174	100.0		
Valid cases 1138	Missing c	ases 36			
A39B Which system t	o be more	convenient	tor C	77-73-1	C1
Value Label	7707	Frequency	Dorosat	Valid	Cum Porcant
dont know	value ^	rredueuch	rercent 37 7	so o	sa a Lercenç
proportional	1	443 420	31.1 35 8	30.9 36 9	50.9 75.8
majority	2	275	23.4	24.2	100.0
- J 1		36	3.1	24.2 Missing	
		1174		100.0	
Valid cases 1138	Missing o	cases 36			
POHL40 SEX				V=1+4	Clim
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	
male	varue 1	576	49.1	50.5	50.5
female	2	565	48.1	49.5	100.0
		565 33	2.8	Missing	
		1174		100.0	
Valid cases 1141	Missing c	cases 33			
VZDEL41 EDUCATION					

				1 1 1	~
Value Label	7721110	Frequency	Dorgont	Valid Porgont	
primary	value 1		10 6	10 9	10.9
vocational	2		31.2	10.9 32.2	43.1
secondary	3		40 5	41 7	84 8
university	4	173	14.7	41.7 15.2	100.0
un1,010101		36	3.1	Missing	100.0
	·				
	Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1138 Missing o				
VRSTVA43 SOCIA	AL CLASS				
				Valid	
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent		
lower	1		6.8	7.0	7.0
lower middle	2		23.1	23.8	30.8
middle	3		40.2		72.2
upper middle	4		5.9	6.1	78.2
upper	5		.9		79.1 82.1
none refused classes	6 3		2.9	3.0	91.1
refused classes			8.8 3.6	9.0 3.7	94.8
dont know	9	59		5.2	
donc know		34		Missing	
	•				
	Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1140 Missing o				
KRAJ44 REGIO	N				
				Valid	
Value Label	Value	Frequency			
Prague	1			10.6	
Centr.Bohemia	2		14.1	14.6	25.2
South Bohemia	3		7.2	7.4	32.6
West Bohemia	4		6.9		39.7
North Bohemia East Bohemia	5		11.0 10.9	11.3 11.2	51.0
South Moravia	7		10.9	10.0	62.2
North Moravia	8	214	18 2	19.0 18.8	100 0
NOICH MOLAVIA	O .		10.2	10.0	100.0
	•	35 	3.0	Missing	
	Total	35 	3.0	Missing	
Valid cases		35  1174	3.0  100.0	Missing	
	1139 Missing o	35  1174	3.0  100.0	Missing	
	Total 1139 Missing of the COMMUNITY	35  1174	3.0  100.0	Missing  100.0	
BYDL45 SIZE	1139 Missing of THE COMMUNITY	35  1174 cases 35	3.0  100.0	Missing  100.0 	 Cum
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label	1139 Missing of THE COMMUNITY  Value	35  1174 cases 35 	3.0  100.0 	Missing 100.0  Valid Percent	 Cum Percent
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000	1139 Missing of the COMMUNITY  Value	35  1174 cases 35  Frequency 180	3.0  100.0  Percent 15.3	Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.8	Cum Percent 15.8
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000	1139 Missing of the COMMUNITY  Value  1 2	35  1174 cases 35  Frequency 180 170	3.0  100.0  Percent 15.3 14.5	Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.8 15.0	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000	1139 Missing of THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3	35 	3.0  100.0  Percent 15.3 14.5 6.7	Missing  100.0  Valid Percent 15.8 15.0 6.9	 Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 10000-50000	1139 Missing of the COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4	35  1174 cases 35  Frequency 180 170 79 274	3.0  100.0  Percent 15.3 14.5 6.7 23.3	Missing  100.0  Valid Percent 15.8 15.0 6.9 24.1	 Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 10000-50000 50000-100000	1139 Missing of THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5	35 	3.0  100.0  Percent 15.3 14.5 6.7 23.3 14.5	Missing  100.0  Valid Percent 15.8 15.0 6.9 24.1 15.0	 Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 50000-100000 >100000	1139 Missing of the COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4	35  1174 cases 35  Frequency 180 170 79 274	3.0  100.0  Percent 15.3 14.5 6.7 23.3 14.5 7.8	Missing  100.0  Valid Percent 15.8 15.0 6.9 24.1 15.0 8.1	 Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 10000-50000 50000-100000	1139 Missing of Community  OF THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6	35 	3.0  100.0  Percent 15.3 14.5 6.7 23.3 14.5	Missing  100.0  Valid Percent 15.8 15.0 6.9 24.1 15.0	 Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 10000-50000 50000-100000 >100000 Brno	1139 Missing of the COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7	35 	3.0  100.0  15.3 14.5 6.7 23.3 14.5 7.8 4.1 10.6	Missing  100.0  Valid Percent 15.8 15.0 6.9 24.1 15.0 8.1 4.2	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 10000-50000 50000-100000 >100000 Brno	1139 Missing of the COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7	35 	3.0  100.0  Percent 15.3 14.5 6.7 23.3 14.5 7.8 4.1 10.6 3.2	Missing 100.0   Valid Percent	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 10000-50000 >100000 Brno Prague	1139 Missing of THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Total	35 	3.0  100.0  Percent 15.3 14.5 6.7 23.3 14.5 7.8 4.1 10.6 3.2  100.0	Missing 100.0   100.0   Valid Percent	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 10000-50000 >100000 Brno Prague  Valid cases	OF THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8  Total 1137 Missing of	35 	3.0 	Missing 100.0     Valid Percent   15.8   15.0   6.9   24.1   15.0   8.1   4.2   10.9   Missing 100.0	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1 100.0
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 50000-100000 >100000 Brno Prague  Valid cases	OF THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8  Total 1137  Missing of	35 	3.0 	Missing 100.0     Valid Percent   15.8   15.0   6.9   24.1   15.0   8.1   4.2   10.9   Missing 100.0	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1 100.0
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 50000-100000 >100000 Brno Prague  Valid cases	OF THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8  Total 1137 Missing of	35 	3.0 	Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.8 15.0 6.9 24.1 15.0 8.1 4.2 10.9 Missing 100.0	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1 100.0
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 50000-100000 >100000 Brno Prague  Valid cases	OF THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 . Total 1137 Missing of	35 	3.0  100.0  Percent 15.3 14.5 6.7 23.3 14.5 7.8 4.1 10.6 3.2  100.0	Missing 100.0   Valid Percent   15.8   15.0   6.9   24.1   15.0   8.1   4.2   10.9   Missing   100.0   Valid	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1 100.0
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 10000-50000 >100000 Brno Prague  Valid cases A46 EMPLC	OF THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	35 1174 cases 35 Frequency 180 170 79 274 170 92 48 124 37 1174 cases 37 Frequency	3.0  100.0  Percent 15.3 14.5 6.7 23.3 14.5 7.8 4.1 10.6 3.2  100.0 	Missing 100.0   Valid Percent   15.8   15.0   6.9   24.1   15.0   8.1   4.2   10.9   Missing   100.0   Valid Percent	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1 100.0
Prague  Valid cases  Value Label  Valid cases	OF THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 .  Total 1137 Missing of Community  OYMENT STATUS  Value	35 1174 cases 35 Frequency 180 170 79 274 170 92 48 124 37 1174 cases 37 Frequency 184	3.0 	Missing 100.0   Valid Percent	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1 100.0
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 10000-50000 >10000-100000 >100000 Brno Prague  Valid cases	OF THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 . Total 1137 Missing of the community of the commu	35 1174 cases 35 Frequency 180 170 79 274 170 92 48 124 37 1174 cases 37 Frequency 184 132	3.0  100.0  Percent 15.3 14.5 6.7 23.3 14.5 7.8 4.1 10.6 3.2  100.0  Percent 15.7 11.2	Missing 100.0   100.0   Valid Percent	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1 100.0
### Page   Page	OF THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8  Total 1137 Missing of the distribution of the community of the	35 1174 cases 35 Frequency 180 170 79 274 170 92 48 124 37 1174 cases 37 1174 frequency 184 132 335	3.0 	Missing 100.0   100.0   Valid Percent	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1 100.0
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 10000-50000 >100000 Brno Prague  Valid cases	OF THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8  Total 1137 Missing of the distribution of the community of the	35 1174 cases 35 Frequency 180 170 79 274 170 92 48 124 37 1174 cases 37 Frequency 184 132	3.0 	Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.8 15.0 6.9 24.1 15.0 8.1 4.2 10.9 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 17.4 12.5 31.7 2.0	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1 100.0
### Page   Page	OF THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8  Total 1137 Missing of the community Missing of the	35 1174 cases 35 Frequency 180 170 79 274 170 92 48 124 37 1174 cases 37 1174 tases 37 235 Frequency 184 132 335 21	3.0 	Missing 100.0   100.0   Valid Percent	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1 100.0
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 50000-100000 >100000 Brno Prague  Valid cases	OF THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 .  Total 1137 Missing of the community Missing of the c	35 1174 cases 35 Frequency 180 170 79 274 170 92 48 124 37 1174 cases 37 1174 frequency 184 132 335 21 146	3.0 100.0  Percent 15.3 14.5 6.7 23.3 14.5 7.8 4.1 10.6 3.2 100.0  Percent 15.7 11.2 28.5 1.8 12.4	Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.8 15.0 6.9 24.1 15.0 8.1 4.2 10.9 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 17.4 12.5 31.7 2.0 13.8	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1 100.0
PYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 50000-100000 >100000 Brno Prague  Valid cases	OF THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8  Total 1137 Missing of the community of the commun	35 1174 cases 35 Frequency 180 170 79 274 170 92 48 124 37 1174 cases 37 Frequency 184 132 335 21 146 135	3.0 100.0  Percent 15.3 14.5 6.7 23.3 14.5 7.8 4.1 10.6 3.2 100.0  Percent 15.7 11.2 28.5 1.8 12.4 11.5	Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.8 15.0 6.9 24.1 15.0 8.1 4.2 10.9 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 17.4 12.5 31.7 2.0 13.8 12.8	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1 100.0
PYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 50000-100000 >100000 Brno Prague  Valid cases	OF THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8  Total 1137 Missing of the community Missing of the	35 1174 cases 35 Frequency 180 170 79 274 170 92 48 124 37 1174 cases 37 Frequency 184 132 335 21 146 135 19 25 16	3.0 100.0 Percent 15.3 14.5 6.7 23.3 14.5 7.8 4.1 10.6 3.2 100.0 Percent 15.7 11.2 28.5 1.8 12.4 11.5 1.6 2.1 1.4	Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.8 15.0 6.9 24.1 15.0 8.1 4.2 10.9 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 17.4 12.5 31.7 2.0 13.8 12.8 1.8 2.4 1.5	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1 100.0   Cum Percent 17.4 29.9 61.6 63.6 77.5 90.2 92.0 94.4 95.9
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 50000-100000 >100000 Brno Prague  Valid cases	OF THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8  Total 1137 Missing of the community of the co	35 1174 cases 35 Frequency 180 170 79 274 170 92 48 124 37 1174 cases 37 1174 cases 37 1174 cases 124 37 1174 cases 37	3.0 100.0 Percent 15.3 14.5 6.7 23.3 14.5 7.8 4.1 10.6 3.2 100.0 Percent 15.7 11.2 28.5 1.8 12.4 11.5 1.6 2.1 1.4 3.7	Missing 100.0  Valid Percent	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1 100.0  Cum Percent 17.4 29.9 61.6 63.6 77.5 90.2 92.0 94.4
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 10000-50000 >100000 Brno Prague  Valid cases	OF THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8  Total 1137 Missing of the community Missing of the	35 1174 cases 35 Frequency 180 170 79 274 170 92 48 124 37 1174 cases 37 1174 cases 37 1174 cases 19 25 16 43 118	3.0 100.0 Percent 15.3 14.5 6.7 23.3 14.5 7.8 4.1 10.6 3.2 100.0 Percent 15.7 11.2 28.5 1.8 12.4 11.5 1.6 2.1 1.4 3.7 10.1	Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.8 15.0 6.9 24.1 15.0 8.1 4.2 10.9 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 17.4 12.5 31.7 2.0 13.8 12.8 1.8 2.4 1.5 4.1 Missing	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1 100.0   Cum Percent 17.4 29.9 61.6 63.6 77.5 90.2 92.0 94.4 95.9
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 10000-50000 >100000 Brno Prague  Valid cases	OF THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8  Total 1137 Missing of the community Missing of the	35 1174 cases 35 Frequency 180 170 79 274 170 92 48 124 37 1174 cases 37	3.0 100.0 Percent 15.3 14.5 6.7 23.3 14.5 7.8 4.1 10.6 3.2 100.0 Percent 15.7 11.2 28.5 1.8 12.4 11.5 1.6 2.1 1.4 3.7 10.1	Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.8 15.0 6.9 24.1 15.0 8.1 4.2 10.9 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 17.4 12.5 31.7 2.0 13.8 12.8 1.8 2.4 1.5 4.1 Missing Missing	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1 100.0   Cum Percent 17.4 29.9 61.6 63.6 77.5 90.2 92.0 94.4 95.9
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 50000-100000 >100000 Brno Prague  Valid cases A46 EMPLO  Value Label worker state employee other employee agricultural w. self-employed retired housewife student unemployed other	OF THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8  Total 1137 Missing of the community Missing of the	35 1174 cases 35 Frequency 180 170 79 274 170 92 48 124 37 1174 cases 37 1174 final	3.0 100.0 Percent 15.3 14.5 6.7 23.3 14.5 7.8 4.1 10.6 3.2 100.0 Percent 15.7 11.2 28.5 1.8 12.4 11.5 1.6 2.1 1.4 3.7 10.1	Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.8 15.0 6.9 24.1 15.0 8.1 4.2 10.9 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 17.4 12.5 31.7 2.0 13.8 12.8 1.8 2.4 1.5 4.1 Missing Missing	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1 100.0   Cum Percent 17.4 29.9 61.6 63.6 77.5 90.2 92.0 94.4 95.9
BYDL45 SIZE  Value Label <2000 2000-5000 5000-10000 10000-50000 >100000 Brno Prague  Valid cases	OF THE COMMUNITY  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8  Total 1137 Missing of the community Missing of the	35 1174 cases 35 Frequency 180 170 79 274 170 92 48 124 37 1174 cases 37	3.0 100.0 Percent 15.3 14.5 6.7 23.3 14.5 7.8 4.1 10.6 3.2 100.0 Percent 15.7 11.2 28.5 1.8 12.4 11.5 1.6 2.1 1.4 3.7 10.1	Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 15.8 15.0 6.9 24.1 15.0 8.1 4.2 10.9 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 17.4 12.5 31.7 2.0 13.8 12.8 1.8 2.4 1.5 4.1 Missing Missing	Cum Percent 15.8 30.8 37.7 61.8 76.8 84.9 89.1 100.0   Cum Percent 17.4 29.9 61.6 63.6 77.5 90.2 92.0 94.4 95.9

 BIS47 Vicechairman	 Lux-Informa	 tion Servic	 e(BIS		
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent		Cum Percent
no no attention	1 2	103 430	8.8 36.6	11.0 46.0	11.0 57.0
yes	3	402	34.2	43.0	100.0
	•	239	20.4	Missing	
Valid cases 935	Total Missing c	1174 cases 239	100.0	100.0	
BIS48 Scandal: Rs	attitude				2
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
dont interest	1	191	16.3	20.4	20.4
part of politics many scandals	2	307 163	26.1 13.9	32.8 17.4	53.3 70.7
dont believe	4	43	3.7	4.6	75.3
important	5	231 239	19.7 20.4	24.7 Missing	100.0
	•				
Valid cases 935	Total	1174 cases 239	100.0	100.0	
BIS49 Scandal: imp	act on Senat	e election		Valid	Cum
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent		
dont know	0	393	33.5	42.0	42.0
no yes	1 2	318 224	27.1 19.1	34.0 24.0	76.0 100.0
1		239	20.4	Missing	
	Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases 935		cases 239			
BIS50 Scandal: imp	 act on Rs vo	 ot.e			
-				Valid	Cum
Value Label no	Value 1	Frequency 868	Percent 73.9	Percent 93.2	Percent 93.2
will vote	2	35	3.0	3.8	97.0
wont vote	3	28 243	2.4 20.7	3.0 Missing	100.0
	•				
Valid cases 931	Total Missing c	1174 cases 243	100.0	100.0	
BIS51 Scandal: imp	 act on peopl	 .e			
_				Valid	Cum
Value Label dont know	Value 0	Frequency 324	Percent 27.6		
definitely not	1		8.4	10.6	45.2
rather not	2	283	24.1	30.3	75.5
rather yes definitely yes	4	190 39	3.3	20.3	100.0
	•	239	20.4	Missing	
Valid cases 935	Total	1174	100.0		
BIS52 Scandal: imp	act on Rs de	ecision		Valid	Cum
Value Label		Frequency		Percent	Percent
no	1 2	891 42	75.9 3.6	95.5 4.5	95.5 100.0
yes				Missing	
	Ψ∩+∋1				
Valid cases 933	Missing c	ases 241			
BIS53 Scandal: whi				Valid	
Value Label		Frequency			
CSSD ODS	2	205 53	17.5 4.5	22.0 5.7	22.0 27.7
ODA	8	8	.7	. 9	28.6
KDU-CSL	10	120	10.2	12.9	41.5

KSCM	15	24	2.0	2.6	44.1
SPR-RSC	17	7	.6	.8	44.8
	94	355	30.2	38.2	83.0
others	95	3	.3	.3	83.3
not vote	96	2	.2	.2	83.5
dont know	98	141	12.0	15.2	98.7
refused	99	12	1.0	1.3	100.0
	•	244	20.8	Missing	
	Total		100.0	100.0	
	Missing c	ases 244			
BIS54 Scandal: which					
bibby beamai. which	parcy 102	, C		Valid	Cum
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent		
CSSD	2	30	2.6	3.2	3.2
ODS	3	358	30.5	38.5	41.8
ODA	8	9	.8	1.0	42.7
KDU-CSL	10	58	4.9	6.2	49.0
KSCM	15	1	.1	.1	49.1
	94	325	27.7	35.0	84.1
others	95	2	.2	. 2	84.3
not vote	96	2	.2	.2	84.5
dont know	98	130	11.1	14.0	98.5
refused	99	14	1.2	1.5	100.0
	•	245	20.9	Missing	
	Total	1174		100.0	
Valid cases 929				100.0	
STRANA1 Party of senato	r in 1-st	round			
-				Valid	Cum
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
CSSD	2.00	102	8.7	19.0	19.0
ODS	3.00	206	17.5	38.3	57.2
ODA	8.00	59	5.0	11.0	68.2
KDU-CSL	10.00	57	4.9	10.6	78.8
KSCM	15.00	39	3.3	7.2	86.1
others	95.00	75	6.4	13.9	100.0
	•	636	54.2	Missing	
	Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases 538		ases 636	100.0	100.0	
RVEK4 AGE - group					
				Valid	Cum
Value Label		Frequency			
<29	1.00		23.5		25.6
30-44	2.00		32.2	35.1	60.7
45-59 >60	3.00 4.00	116	26.1	28.5	89.2
>00	4.00	116 97	9.9 8 3	10.8 Missing	100.0
	•				
	Total	1174			
Valid cases 1077					
RA24 Number of senat	ors - rec	coded			
				Valid	
Value Label		Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
	.00	712	60.6	62.3	62.3
wrong	1.00	75	6.4	6.6 31.1	68.9
80 or 82		356	30.3	31.1	100.0
	•	31		Missing	
	Total	1174			
Valid cases 1143				100.0	
RA25.1 Correct? Senate	is part	of Parliame	nt		
				Valid	
Value Label		Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
wrong	.00		57.5	59.1	59.1
right	1.00	468	39.9	40.9	100.0
	•	31		Missing	
	Tata1	1174			
Valid cases 1143				T00.0	

14125.2 COI	rect? Majority:low	particip.=inv	al.el	Valid	Cum
Value Label wrong right		ne Frequency 00 459 00 684		Percent	
		31	2.6	Missing	
	1143 Missin	al 1174 g cases 31	100.0	100.0	
RA25.3 Cori	rect? Senators ele				
Value Label	Val	ie Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
wrong		00 451	38.4	39.5	39.5
right	1.	31		Missing	100.0
	Tota	 al 1174		100.0	
	1143 Missin				
	rect? Senate votes				
Value Label	Val	ie Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	
wrong		00 444 00 699	37.8 59.5	38.8 61.2	38.8
right	1.	31	2.6	Missing	100.0
	Tota	 al 1174		100.0	
Valid cases	1143 Missin	g cases 31			
	rect? No Senate=Co				_
Value Label	Val	ie Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	
wrong right	1.		24.2 73.2	24.8 75.2	
right		31	2.6	Missing	100.0
Valid cases	Tota 1143 Missin	1174			
V4114 04000	1110 11100111	, 04000 01			
VAHA1 WEI	GHT - results and p			Valid	Cum
	GHT - results and p	participation ne Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
VAHA1 WEI	GHT - results and p Val	participation  de Frequency 66 84 82 86	Percent 7.2 7.3	Valid Percent 7.2 7.3	Percent 7.2 14.5
VAHA1 WEI	GHT - results and p Val	participation ne Frequency 56 84	Percent 7.2	Valid Percent 7.2 7.3	Percent 7.2
VAHA1 WEI	GHT - results and p Val	participation  ne Frequency 66 84 82 86 85 304 94 85 97 257	Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9	Valid Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9	Percent 7.2 14.5 40.4 47.6 69.5
VAHA1 WEI	GHT - results and p Val	participation  Description  Des	Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1	Valid Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2	7.2 14.5 40.4 47.6 69.5 74.6
VAHA1 WEI	GHT - results and p Val	participation  Re Frequency 66 84 82 86 85 304 86 87 257 87 60 85 67	Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7	Valid Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1	7.2 14.5 40.4 47.6 69.5 74.6 80.3
VAHA1 WEIG	GHT - results and p  Val  Tota 1174 Missing	participation  ne Frequency 66 84 82 86 83 304 84 85 87 257 87 60 85 67 85 231 1174 g cases 0	Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7	Valid Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7	Percent 7.2 14.5 40.4 47.6 69.5 74.6 80.3 100.0
Value Label  Value cases	GHT - results and p  Val	participation  ne Frequency 66 84 82 86 85 304 94 85 97 257 97 60 85 67 85 231 1174 9 cases 0	Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0	Valid Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7	Percent 7.2 14.5 40.4 47.6 69.5 74.6 80.3 100.0
Value Label  Valid cases  B1 R: 1	Value of the second property of the second pr	participation  Description  Des	Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0	Valid Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0	Percent 7.2 14.5 40.4 47.6 69.5 74.6 80.3 100.0
Value Label  Value cases	Value of the second property of the second pr	participation  Description  Des	Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0 Percent	Valid Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0  Valid Percent	Percent 7.2 14.5 40.4 47.6 69.5 74.6 80.3 100.0   Cum  Percent 49.2
Value Label  Valid cases  R: 1  Value Label	Value of the second property of the second pr	participation  Description  Des	Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0  Percent 41.7 43.0	Valid Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0  Valid Percent 49.2 50.8	Percent 7.2 14.5 40.4 47.6 69.5 74.6 80.3 100.0   Cum  Percent 49.2
Value Label  Valid cases	Value of the second of the sec	participation  ne Frequency 66 84 82 86 85 304 84 85 97 257 17 60 85 67 85 231 al 1174 g cases 0 ne 1-st round  ne Frequency 1 489 2 505 . 180	Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0  Percent 41.7 43.0 15.3	Valid Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0  Valid Percent 49.2 50.8 Missing	Percent 7.2 14.5 40.4 47.6 69.5 74.6 80.3 100.0   Cum  Percent 49.2
Value Label  Valid cases  B1 R: 19  Value Label yes no	Value of the second of the sec	participation  ne Frequency 66 84 82 86 83 304 85 97 257 17 60 85 67 85 231 11 1174 g cases 0 12 489 2 505 180 11 1174 g cases 180	Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0  Percent 41.7 43.0 15.3 100.0	Valid Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0  Valid Percent 49.2 50.8 Missing	Percent 7.2 14.5 40.4 47.6 69.5 74.6 80.3 100.0   Cum  Percent 49.2
Valid cases  Value Label  Value Label  Value Label  Value Label  yes  no  Valid cases	Value of the second property of the second pr	participation  participation  participation  participation  participation  84 85 86 85 85 87 85 87 85 87 87 885 87 885 885	Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0  Percent 41.7 43.0 15.3 100.0	Valid Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0  Valid Percent 49.2 50.8 Missing	Percent 7.2 14.5 40.4 47.6 69.5 74.6 80.3 100.0   Cum  Percent 49.2
Valid cases Valid cases Value Label yes no  Valid cases B2.2STR Vote	Value of the control	participation  participation  participation  participation  participation  participation  84 84 85 83 86 85 87 87 85 87 87 86 85 87 87 88 85 87 87 88 87 88 87 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0 Percent 41.7 43.0 15.3 100.0	Valid Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0 Valid Percent 49.2 50.8 Missing 100.0	Percent 7.2 14.5 40.4 47.6 69.5 74.6 80.3 100.0   Cum Percent 49.2 100.0
Valid cases  Value Label  Value Label  Value Label  Value Label  yes  no  Valid cases	Value of the control	participation  ne Frequency 66 84 82 86 85 304 86 85 97 257 97 60 85 67 85 231 al 1174 g cases 0 ne 1-st round ne Frequency 1 489 2 505 . 180 al 1174 g cases 180 al 2 505 . 180 al 1174 g cases 180 al 2 96	Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0 Percent 41.7 43.0 15.3 100.0 Percent 8.2	Valid Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0 Valid Percent 49.2 50.8 Missing 100.0 Valid Percent 20.9	Percent 7.2 14.5 40.4 47.6 69.5 74.6 80.3 100.0   Cum Percent 49.2 100.0   Cum Percent 20.9
Value Label  Valid cases B1 R: 1  Value Label yes no  Valid cases B2.2STR Vote  Value Label CSSD ODS	Value of the control	participation  The Frequency  The Fr	Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0 Percent 41.7 43.0 15.3 100.0 Percent 8.2 15.8	Valid Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0  Valid Percent 49.2 50.8 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 20.9 40.3	Percent 7.2 14.5 40.4 47.6 69.5 74.6 80.3 100.0   Cum Percent 49.2 100.0   Cum Percent 20.9 61.2
Valid casesB1 R: 1 Value Label yes no  Valid cases B2.2STR Vote Value Label CSSD	Value of the service	participation  participation  participation  participation  participation  participation  84 84 85 86 85 807 85 87 87 86 85 87 87 87 88 87 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0  Percent 41.7 43.0 15.3 100.0  Percent 8.2 15.8 3.5 5.4	Valid Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0  Valid Percent 49.2 50.8 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 20.9 40.3 8.9 13.7	Percent 7.2 14.5 40.4 47.6 69.5 74.6 80.3 100.0   Cum Percent 49.2 100.0   Cum Percent 20.9 61.2 70.2 83.9
Value Label  Value Label  Value Label  Value Label  yes no  Valid cases B2.2STR Vote  Value Label CSSD ODS ODA KDU-CSL KSCM	Value of the control	participation  Description  Des	Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0  Percent 41.7 43.0 15.3 100.0  Percent 8.2 15.8 3.5 5.4 3.0	Valid Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0  Valid Percent 49.2 50.8 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 20.9 40.3 8.9 13.7 7.6	Percent 7.2 14.5 40.4 47.6 69.5 74.6 80.3 100.0   Cum Percent 49.2 100.0   Cum Percent 20.9 61.2 70.2 83.9 91.5
Valid cases B1 R: 1 Value Label yes no  Valid cases B2.2STR Vote Value Label CSSD ODS ODA KDU-CSL KSCM SPR-RSC others	Value of the control	participation  Description  Des	Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0 Percent 41.7 43.0 15.3 100.0  Percent 8.2 15.8 3.5 5.4 3.0 .1 2.9	Valid Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0 Valid Percent 49.2 50.8 Missing 100.0 Valid Percent 20.9 40.3 8.9 13.7 7.6 .2 7.4	Percent 7.2 14.5 40.4 47.6 69.5 74.6 80.3 100.0   Cum Percent 49.2 100.0   Cum Percent 20.9 61.2 70.2 83.9 91.5 91.7 99.1
Valid cases B1 R: 1 Value Label yes no  Valid cases B2.2STR Vote Value Label CSSD ODS ODA KDU-CSL KSCM SPR-RSC	Value of the control	participation  Description  Des	Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0 Percent 41.7 43.0 15.3 100.0 Percent 8.2 15.8 3.5 5.4 3.0 .1	Valid Percent 7.2 7.3 25.9 7.2 21.9 5.1 5.7 19.7 100.0 Valid Percent 49.2 50.8 Missing 100.0 Valid Percent 20.9 40.3 8.9 13.7 7.6 .2 7.4 .4	Percent 7.2 14.5 40.4 47.6 69.5 74.6 80.3 100.0   Cum Percent 49.2 100.0   Cum Percent 20.9 61.2 70.2 83.9 91.5 91.7 99.1 99.6

			715	60.9	Missing	
Valid cases	459	Total Missing ca	1174	100.0		
Value Label earlier September October	aecided	For candidate Value  1 2 3	Frequency 80 43 120	6.8 3.7 10.2	15.9 8.5 23.8	15.9 24.4 48.2
beg.November week of vote		4 5 •	111 150 670		22.0 29.8 Missing	70.2 100.0
Valid cases	504	Total Missing ca	ases 670	100.0	100.0	
B3B When	decided	to vote in	1-st round		Valid	Cum
Value Label earlier September October beg.November week of vote		Value 1 2 3 4 5	177 52 124 67 80 674	15.1 4.4 10.6 5.7 6.8 57.4	Percent 35.4 10.4 24.8 13.4 16.0 Missing	Cum Percent 35.4 45.8 70.6 84.0 100.0
Valid cases	500		1174 ases 674		100.0	
 B4 Cand	– – – – idate adv	anced to the				
Value Label undecided yes no			Frequency 140 119 240 675			Cum Percent 28.1 51.9 100.0
77-1-4		Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
valid cases	499	Missing ca				
		Missing ca  in 2-nd rou				
B5 Will Value Label		in 2-nd roun	 nd Frequency	 Percent		
B5 Will		in 2-nd rou		Percent 40.5 43.6 15.8	Percent 48.2 51.8 Missing	Percent 48.2
B5 Will  Value Label no yes  Valid cases	R. vote	in 2-nd roun  Value  1 2  Total  Missing ca	Frequency 476 512 186 1174 ases 186	Percent 40.5 43.6 15.8	Percent 48.2 51.8 Missing  100.0	Percent 48.2
B5 Will Value Label no yes	R. vote	in 2-nd roun  Value  1 2  Total  Missing ca	Frequency 476 512 186 1174 ases 186	Percent 40.5 43.6 15.8	Percent 48.2 51.8 Missing  100.0	Percent 48.2 100.0
Will Value Label no yes  Valid cases B6A Why Value Label never vote dont agree	R. vote	in 2-nd rous  Value  1 2 . Total Missing ca vote  Value 1 2	Frequency 476 512 186 1174 ases 186 Frequency 24 240	Percent 40.5 43.6 15.8 100.0 Percent 2.0 20.4	Percent 48.2 51.8 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 5.0 50.4	Percent 48.2 100.0
Value Label no yes  Valid cases B6A Why  Value Label never vote	R. vote	in 2-nd row  Value  1 2 . Total Missing ca  vote  Value 1	Frequency 476 512 186 1174 ases 186 Frequency 24	Percent 40.5 43.6 15.8 100.0 Percent 2.0 20.4 1.6 4.4 2.4 .7 2.2	Percent 48.2 51.8 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 5.0 50.4 4.0 10.9 5.9 1.7 5.5	Percent 48.2 100.0  Cum Percent 5.0 55.5 59.5 70.4 76.3 77.9 83.4
Value Label no yes  Valid cases B6A Why  Value Label never vote dont agree no party no candidate know nothing not fair	R. vote	in 2-nd roun  Value  1 2  Total Missing call  Vote  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6	Frequency 476 512 186 1174 ases 186 Frequency 24 240 19 52 28 8 26 79	Percent 40.5 43.6 15.8 100.0  Percent 2.0 20.4 1.6 4.4 2.4 .7 2.2 6.7 59.5	Percent 48.2 51.8 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 5.0 50.4 4.0 10.9 5.9 1.7	Percent 48.2 100.0  Cum Percent 5.0 55.5 59.5 70.4 76.3 77.9 83.4
Value Label no yes  Valid cases B6A Why  Value Label never vote dont agree no party no candidate know nothing not fair friends wont other  Valid cases	988 	in 2-nd roun  Value  1 2 . Total Missing call  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 . Total Missing call  Total Missing call  Total	Frequency 476 512 186 1174 ases 186 Frequency 24 240 19 52 28 8 26 79 698 1174 ases 698	Percent 40.5 43.6 15.8 100.0  Percent 2.0 20.4 1.6 4.4 2.4 .7 2.2 6.7 59.5 100.0	Percent 48.2 51.8 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 5.0 50.4 4.0 10.9 5.9 1.7 5.5 16.6 Missing 100.0	Percent 48.2 100.0  Cum Percent 5.0 55.5 59.5 70.4 76.3 77.9 83.4
Value Label no yes  Valid cases B6A Why  Value Label never vote dont agree no party no candidate know nothing not fair friends wont other	988 	in 2-nd roun  Value  1 2  Total Missing call  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8  Total Missing call  In the state of the stat	Frequency 476 512 186 1174 ases 186 Frequency 24 240 19 52 28 8 26 79 698 1174 ases 698	Percent 40.5 43.6 15.8 100.0  Percent 2.0 20.4 1.6 4.4 2.4 .7 2.2 6.7 59.5 100.0	Percent 48.2 51.8 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 5.0 50.4 4.0 10.9 5.9 1.7 5.5 16.6 Missing 100.0	Percent 48.2 100.0  Cum Percent 5.0 55.5 59.5 70.4 76.3 77.9 83.4 100.0
Value Label no yes  Valid cases B6A Why  Value Label never vote dont agree no party no candidate know nothing not fair friends wont other  Valid cases B5A Whice  Value Label	988 	in 2-nd roun  Value  1 2  Total Missing ca vote  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8  Total Missing ca te will vota  Value	Frequency 476 512 186 1174 ases 186 Frequency 24 240 19 52 28 8 26 79 698 1174 ases 698	Percent 40.5 43.6 15.8 100.0 Percent 2.0 20.4 1.6 4.4 2.4 .7 2.2 6.7 59.5 100.0  Percent	Percent	Percent 48.2 100.0  Cum Percent 5.0 55.5 59.5 70.4 76.3 77.9 83.4 100.0
Value Label no yes  Valid cases B6A Why  Value Label never vote dont agree no party no candidate know nothing not fair friends wont other  Valid cases B5A Which	988 	in 2-nd roun  Value  1 2 Total Missing ca vote  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Total Missing ca ite will vota	Frequency 476 512 186 1174 ases 186 Frequency 24 240 19 52 28 8 26 79 698 1174 ases 698 e for  Frequency 311 102	Percent 40.5 43.6 15.8 100.0  Percent 2.0 20.4 1.6 4.4 2.4 .7 2.2 6.7 59.5 100.0  Percent	Percent	Percent 48.2 100.0  Cum Percent 5.0 55.5 59.5 70.4 76.3 77.9 83.4 100.0  Cum Percent 63.2 83.9

Valid cases 492	Missing c	ases 682			
B5ASTR Candidate for	 2-nd: part				
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	
CSSD	2	27	2.3		
ODS	3	34	2.9	44.2	79.2
ODA	8 10	9 4	.8	11.7 5.2	90.9
KDU-CSL KSCM	15	2	.3	2.6	96.1 98.7
dont know	98	1	.1	1.3	100.0
	•	1097	93.4		
		ases 1097	100.0	100.0	
B6B Reason of deci	 ision				
				Valid	
Value Label		Frequency			
party candidate	1 2	211 147	18.0 12.5	41.4 28.8	41.4 70.2
friends	3	10	.9	2.0	72.2
vote is needed	4		7.8	18.0	90.2
scandals	5	50	4.3	9.8	100.0
	•	664	56.6	Missing	
Valid cases 510		1174 ases 664		100.0	
B7A Party-personal	lity I			Valid	Cum
Value Label		Frequency			
party	1 2	92 219	7.8 18.7	18.5 44.1	18.5 62.6
trust prty strong pers.	3		9.5	22.3	84.9
well known p.	4	75	6.4	15.1	100.0
F.		677	57.7	Missing	
Valid cases 497		1174		100.0	
	Missina c	ases 677			
	Missing c	ases 677			
				 Valid	Cum
	 lity 2			 Valid Percent	Cum Percent
B7B Party-personal Value Label party		Frequency	Percent 9.9	Percent 25.1	
B7B Party-personal  Value Label party trust prty		Frequency 116 114	Percent 9.9 9.7	Percent 25.1 24.6	Percent 25.1 49.7
B7B Party-personal Value Label party trust prty strong pers.		Frequency 116 114 73	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2	Percent 25.1 24.6 15.8	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4
B7B Party-personal  Value Label party trust prty		Frequency 116 114	Percent 9.9 9.7	Percent 25.1 24.6	Percent 25.1 49.7
B7B Party-personal Value Label party trust prty strong pers.	Value 1 2 3 4 4 .	Frequency 116 114 73 160 711	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2 13.6 60.6	Percent 25.1 24.6 15.8 34.6 Missing	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4
B7B Party-personal  Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.  Valid cases 463	Value Value 1 2 3 4 Total	Frequency 116 114 73 160 711 1174 tases 711	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2 13.6 60.6	Percent 25.1 24.6 15.8 34.6 Missing	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4
B7B Party-personal Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.	Value 1 2 3 4 Total	Frequency 116 114 73 160 711 1174 tases 711	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2 13.6 60.6	Percent 25.1 24.6 15.8 34.6 Missing	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4 100.0
Party-personal Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.  Valid cases 463  B8 When decided	Value 1 2 3 4 . Total Missing c to vote in	Frequency 116 114 73 160 711 1174 tases 711 2-nd	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2 13.6 60.6	Percent 25.1 24.6 15.8 34.6 Missing 100.0	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4 100.0
B7B Party-personal  Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.  Valid cases 463  B8 When decided to the strong trust and the strong trust prty strong pers.	Value  Value  1 2 3 4  Total Missing of the vote in  Value	Frequency 116 114 73 160 711 1174 tases 711 2-nd Frequency	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2 13.6 60.6	Percent 25.1 24.6 15.8 34.6 Missing 100.0 Valid Percent	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4 100.0 Cum Percent
B7B Party-personal  Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.  Valid cases 463  B8 When decided to the strong party trust prty strong pers. well known p.	Value 1 2 3 4 . Total Missing c to vote in	Frequency 116 114 73 160 711 1174 tases 711 2-nd Frequency 241	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2 13.6 60.6	Percent 25.1 24.6 15.8 34.6 Missing 100.0 Valid Percent 25.0	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4 100.0 Cum Percent 25.0
B7B Party-personal  Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.  Valid cases 463  B8 When decided to the strong trust and the strong trust prty strong pers.	Value  Value  1 2 3 4 . Total Missing c to vote in  Value 1	Frequency 116 114 73 160 711 1174 tases 711 2-nd Frequency	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2 13.6 60.6	Percent 25.1 24.6 15.8 34.6 Missing 100.0 Valid Percent	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4 100.0 Cum Percent
B7B Party-personal  Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.  Valid cases 463  B8 When decided to the service of the service september	Value  Value  1 2 3 4 . Total Missing cto vote in  Value 1 2	Frequency 116 114 73 160 711 1174 tases 711 2-nd Frequency 241 60	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2 13.6 60.6 100.0 Percent 20.5 5.1	Percent 25.1 24.6 15.8 34.6 Missing 100.0 Valid Percent 25.0 6.2	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4 100.0 Cum Percent 25.0 31.3
B7B Party-personal Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.  Valid cases 463  B8 When decided to the service of	Value  Value  Total Missing of to vote in  Value  1 2 3 4 5	Frequency 116 114 73 160 711 1174 tases 711 2-nd Frequency 241 60 130 87 303	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2 13.6 60.6 100.0  Percent 20.5 5.1 11.1 7.4 25.8	Percent 25.1 24.6 15.8 34.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 25.0 6.2 13.5 9.0 31.5	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4 100.0 Cum Percent 25.0 31.3 44.8 53.8 85.3
B7B Party-personal  Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.  Valid cases 463  B8 When decided to the september october beg.November	Value  Value  1 2 3 4 . Total Missing c to vote in  Value 1 2 3 4 4	Frequency 116 114 73 160 711 1174 tases 711 2-nd Frequency 241 60 130 87 303 142	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2 13.6 60.6 100.0 Percent 20.5 5.1 11.1 7.4 25.8 12.1	Percent 25.1 24.6 15.8 34.6 Missing	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4 100.0 Cum Percent 25.0 31.3 44.8 53.8 85.3
B7B Party-personal  Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.  Valid cases 463  B8 When decided to the september October beg.November this week	Value  Value  Total Missing of to vote in  Value  1 2 3 4 5	Frequency 116 114 73 160 711 1174 tases 711 2-nd Frequency 241 60 130 87 303	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2 13.6 60.6 100.0 Percent 20.5 5.1 11.1 7.4 25.8 12.1	Percent 25.1 24.6 15.8 34.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 25.0 6.2 13.5 9.0 31.5	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4 100.0 Cum Percent 25.0 31.3 44.8 53.8 85.3
B7B Party-personal  Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.  Valid cases 463  B8 When decided to the service of the service o	Value  Total Missing c  to vote in  Value  1 2 3 4 5 6 .  Total Missing c 1 2 3 4 5 6 .	Frequency 116 114 73 160 711 1174 tases 711 2-nd Frequency 241 60 130 87 303 142 211 1174 tases 211	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2 13.6 60.6 100.0 Percent 20.5 5.1 11.1 7.4 25.8 12.1 1.8.0 100.0	Percent 25.1 24.6 15.8 34.6 Missing 100.0 Valid Percent 25.0 6.2 13.5 9.0 31.5 14.7 Missing	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4 100.0 Cum Percent 25.0 31.3 44.8 53.8 85.3
B7B Party-personal  Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.  Valid cases 463  B8 When decided to the service of the service o	Value  Total Missing c  Value  1  2  3  4  .  Total Missing c  1  2  3  4  5  6  .  Total Missing c	Frequency 116 114 73 160 711 1174 tases 711 2-nd  Frequency 241 60 130 87 303 142 211 1174 tases 211	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2 13.6 60.6 100.0 Percent 20.5 5.1 11.1 7.4 25.8 12.1 18.0 100.0	Percent 25.1 24.6 15.8 34.6 Missing 100.0 Valid Percent 25.0 6.2 13.5 9.0 31.5 14.7 Missing	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4 100.0 Cum Percent 25.0 31.3 44.8 53.8 85.3
B7B Party-personal Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.  Valid cases 463  B8 When decided to the service of	Value  1 2 3 4 . Total Missing of to vote in  Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 . Total Missing of to vote in  Control of the vote in  Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 . Total Missing of the vote in  Control of the vote in the vo	Frequency  116 114 73 160 711 1174 tases 711 2-nd  Frequency 241 60 130 87 303 142 211 1174 tases 211 lent-party i	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2 13.6 60.6 100.0 Percent 20.5 5.1 11.1 7.4 25.8 12.1 18.0 100.0	Percent 25.1 24.6 15.8 34.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 25.0 6.2 13.5 9.0 31.5 14.7 Missing 100.0  Valid	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4 100.0 Cum Percent 25.0 31.3 44.8 53.8 85.3 100.0 Cum
B7B Party-personal Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.  Valid cases 463  B8 When decided and the service of the service o	Value  Value  1 2 3 4 . Total Missing of  Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 . Total Missing of  C: independent  Value	Frequency  116 114 73 160 711 1174 tases 711 2-nd  Frequency 241 60 130 87 303 142 211 1174 tases 211 lent-party i	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2 13.6 60.6 100.0 Percent 20.5 5.1 11.1 7.4 25.8 12.1 18.0 100.0 ntere Percent	Percent 25.1 24.6 15.8 34.6 Missing Valid Percent 25.0 6.2 13.5 9.0 31.5 14.7 Missing 100.0 Valid Percent Valid Percent Valid Percent	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4 100.0 Cum Percent 25.0 31.3 44.8 85.3 100.0 Cum Percent Cum Percent Cum Percent Cum Percent Cum Percent Cum Percent
B7B Party-personal  Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.  Valid cases 463  B8 When decided for the second personal second p	Value  1 2 3 4 . Total Missing c to vote in  Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 . Total Missing c c: independ	Frequency 116 114 73 160 711 1174 tases 711 2-nd  Frequency 241 60 130 87 303 142 211 1174 tases 211 lent-party i  Frequency 293	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2 13.6 60.6 100.0 Percent 20.5 5.1 11.1 7.4 25.8 12.1 18.0 100.0 ntere Percent 25.0	Percent 25.1 24.6 15.8 34.6 Missing Valid Percent 25.0 6.2 13.5 9.0 31.5 14.7 Missing 100.0 Valid Percent 34.2	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4 100.0 Cum Percent 25.0 31.3 44.8 53.8 85.3 100.0 Cum Percent 34.2
B7B Party-personal Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.  Valid cases 463  B8 When decided and the service of the service o	Value  Total Missing co to vote in  Value  1 2 3 4 .  Total Missing co to vote in  Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 .  Total Missing co .  Total Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 .  Total Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 Total Missing co .  Total Missing co .	Frequency 116 114 73 160 711 1174 tases 711 -2-nd Frequency 241 60 130 87 303 142 211 1174 tases 211 1174 tases 211 1174 tases 211 1174 tases 211 1174	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2 13.6 60.6 100.0 Percent 20.5 5.1 11.1 7.4 25.8 12.1 18.0 100.0 ntere Percent 25.0 11.9	Percent     25.1     24.6     15.8     34.6 Missing      100.0  Valid Percent     25.0     6.2     13.5     9.0     31.5     14.7 Missing      100.0  Valid Percent     34.2     16.4	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4 100.0 Cum Percent 25.0 31.3 44.8 53.8 85.3 100.0 Cum Percent 34.2 50.6
B7B Party-personal  Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.  Valid cases 463  B8 When decided for the second personal second p	Value  1 2 3 4 . Total Missing c to vote in  Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 . Total Missing c c: independ	Frequency 116 114 73 160 711 1174 tases 711 2-nd  Frequency 241 60 130 87 303 142 211 1174 tases 211 lent-party i  Frequency 293	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2 13.6 60.6 100.0 Percent 20.5 5.1 11.1 7.4 25.8 12.1 18.0 100.0 ntere Percent 25.0	Percent 25.1 24.6 15.8 34.6 Missing Valid Percent 25.0 6.2 13.5 9.0 31.5 14.7 Missing 100.0 Valid Percent 34.2	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4 100.0 Cum Percent 25.0 31.3 44.8 53.8 85.3 100.0 Cum Percent 34.2
B7B Party-personal  Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.  Valid cases 463  B8 When decided for the second personal second p	Value  Total Missing c  Total Value  1  2  3  4  .  Total Missing c  to vote in  Value  1  2  3  4  5  6  .  Total Missing c   c: independ	Frequency 116 114 73 160 711 1174 tases 711 2-nd  Frequency 241 60 130 87 303 142 211 1174 tases 211 2-nd	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2 13.6 60.6 100.0 Percent 20.5 5.1 11.1 7.4 25.8 12.1 18.0 100.0 ntere  Percent 25.0 11.9 7.9	Percent 25.1 24.6 15.8 34.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 25.0 6.2 13.5 9.0 31.5 14.7 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 34.2 16.4 10.9 19.7 9.5	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4 100.0    Cum Percent 25.0 31.3 44.8 53.8 85.3 100.0    Cum Percent 34.2 50.6 61.4 81.2 90.7
B7B Party-personal  Value Label party trust prty strong pers. well known p.  Valid cases 463  B8 When decided for the second personal second p	Value  Total Missing control  Value  1 2 3 4 .  Total Missing control  Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 .  Total Missing control  Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 .  Total Missing control  Value 3 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 .	Frequency 116 114 73 160 711 1174 tases 711 2-nd  Frequency 241 60 130 87 303 142 211 1174 tases 211 1174	Percent 9.9 9.7 6.2 13.6 60.6 100.0 Percent 20.5 5.1 11.1 7.4 25.8 12.1 18.0 100.0 ntere  Percent 25.0 11.9 7.9 14.4 6.9 6.8	Percent 25.1 24.6 15.8 34.6 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 25.0 6.2 13.5 9.0 31.5 14.7 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 34.2 16.4 10.9 19.7	Percent 25.1 49.7 65.4 100.0    Cum Percent 25.0 31.3 44.8 53.8 85.3 100.0    Cum Percent 34.2 50.6 61.4 81.2 90.7

Valid cases	Total 856 Missing		100.0	100.0	
B9.2 Chara	acteristic: politic				
Value Label cant judge politician expert	Value 0 1 2 3 4 5	268 69 80 187 120 131	22.8 5.9 6.8 15.9 10.2 11.2		31.3 39.4 48.8 70.6 84.7
Walid gages	Total	1174	100.0		
	855 Missing				
B9.3 Chara	acteristic: flexibl	e-scrupulous		** 1 ! 1	~
Value Label cant judge flexible scrupulous	Value 0 1 2 3 4 5	31 32 162 161	24.6 2.6 2.7 13.8 13.7 15.2 27.3		
Valid cases	Total 853 Missing		100.0		
B9.4 Chara	acteristic: localit	y-society		Valid	Cum
Value Label cant judge locality society	Value 0 1 2 3 4 5	98 107 154 117	Percent 23.1 8.3 9.1 13.1 10.0 9.1 27.3	Percent 31.7 11.5 12.5 18.0 13.7 12.5	
Valid cases	Total 854 Missing	1174 cases 320		100.0	
B9.5 Chara	acteristic: equalit	y-indiv.free	dom	Valid	Cum
Value Label cant judge equality freedom	Value 0 1 2 3 4 5	86 70 188 99	25.8 7.3 6.0 16.0 8.4 8.7		
Valid cases	Total 848 Missing	1174 cases 326	100.0	100.0	
Value Label didnt watch no influence influence	Value 1 2 3 • Total	Frequency 750 215 24 185 	Percent 63.9 18.3 2.0 15.8	75.8 21.7 2.4 Missing	Percent
Valid cases	989 Missing	cases 185			
B11BIS Scand	dal BIS: statements			- <b>-</b>	_
Value Label dont interest part of politic many scandals	1		Percent 22.1 26.2 15.9	Valid Percent 26.3 31.2 18.9	Cum Percent 26.3 57.5 76.4

dont believe important		4 5	23 210 186			78.7 100.0
Valid cases			1174 cases 186	100.0		
B12BIS Scanda	 al BIS: i	nfluence t	o Rs vote			
Value Label no interest not important firm decision vote/dont vote change candidate	e	Value 1 2 3 4 5 .	501 40 16	15.1 21.8 42.7 3.4 1.4 15.7		17.9 43.7 94.3 98.4 100.0
Valid cases			cases 184			
B13 Influ						
Value Label no, was week no, was boring no, but good yes		Value 1 2 3 4	302 138 18	45.3 25.7 11.8 1.5 15.7		53.7 84.2 98.2
Valid cases		Missing o	1174 cases 184			
		 he Czech I	 Rep.			
Value Label good direction bad direction nowhere		Value 1 2 3	Frequency 347 235 405 187	29.6 20.0 34.5	35.2 23.8	Percent
Valid cases			1174 cases 187		100.0	
B15 Satis:				tion	Valid	Cum
Value Label very unsatisfied		Value 1 2 3 4 5	290 130	10.4 11.9 11.1 24.7 11.1	Percent 12.9 14.8 13.7 30.6 13.7	Percent 12.9 27.7 41.4 72.0 85.7
very satisfied		7	34	19.3	10.7 3.6 Missing	100.0
Valid cases		Missing o		100.0	100.0	
B16 Party						
Value Label CSSD ODS ODA KDU-CSL KSCM SPR-RSC others not vote dont know refused		2 3 8 10 15 17 95 96 98 99	282 77 73 47 41 48 48 101 21	17.0 24.0 6.6 6.2 4.0 3.5 4.1 4.1 8.6 1.8 20.1	21.3 30.1 8.2 7.8 5.0 4.4 5.1 5.1 10.8 2.2 Missing	Percent 21.3 51.4 59.6 67.4 72.4 76.8 81.9 87.0 97.8
Valid cases		Missing o				
B16A Left-	right pol	itical; so	cale			

					777.41	C
Value Label extreme left		Value 1	Frequency 2	Percent .2	Valid Percent .2	Cum Percent
011010110 1010		2	49	4.2	5.0	5.2
		3	120	10.2	12.3	17.6
		4	378	32.2	38.9	56.5
		5	271	23.1	27.9	84.4
		6	138	11.8	14.2	98.6
extreme right		7	14	1.2	1.4	100.0
		•	202	17.2	Missing	
		Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	972		cases 202	100.0	100.0	
B17 A=ind:	ividual f	reedom;B=e	equality			
					Valid	Cum
Value Label		Value	Frequency		Percent	
disagree		0	173	14.7	17.8	17.8
agree A		1 2	501 299	42.7 25.5	51.5 30.7	69.3 100.0
agree B		۷	201	17.1	Missing	100.0
		•				
		Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	973	Missing o	cases 201			
POHL18 SEX					Valid	Cum
Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent		
male		1	497	42.3	50.2	50.2
female		2	494	42.1	49.8	100.0
			183	15.6	Missing	
		Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	991	Missing o	cases 183			
VZDEL19 EDUCAS	 TION					
					Valid	Cum
Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
primary		1	103	8.8	10.6	10.6
vocational		2	316	26.9	32.6	43.2
secondary		3	404	34.4	41.7	84.9
university		4	146 205	12.4 17.5	15.1 Missing	100.0
		•				
		Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	969	Missing o	cases 205			
VRSTVA21 SOCIAL	L CLASS				Valid	Cum
Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent		Cum Percent
lower		1	49			5.0
lower middle		2	234	19.9	23.9	28.9
middle		3	455	38.8	46.5	75.4
upper middle		4	64	5.5	6.5	81.9
upper		5	9	.8	.9	82.8
none refused classes		6 7	22 76	1.9	2.2 7.8	85.1
refused classes		8	28	6.5 2.4	2.9	92.8 95.7
dont know		9	42	3.6	4.3	100.0
			195		Missing	200.0
		Total		100.0	100.0	
Valid cases			cases 195			
KRAJ22 REGION			<b></b>	·		·
100101	•				Valid	Cum
Value Label		Value	Frequency			
Prague		1				9.6
Centr.Bohemia		2	142	12.1	14.3	23.9
South Bohemia		3	72	6.1	7.3	31.1
West Bohemia North Bohemia		4 5	75 94	6.4 8.0	7.6 9.5	38.7 48.2
East Bohemia		6	130	11.1	13.1	61.3
South Moravia		7	179	15.2	18.0	79.3
North Moravia		8	205	17.5	20.7	100.0
			182	15.5	Missing	

	Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases 99	92 Missing o	cases 182			
BYDL23 SIZE OF	THE COMMUNITY			Valid	Cum
Value Label	Value	Frequency		Percent	Percent
<2000 2000-5000	1 2	168 119	14.3 10.1	17.0 12.0	17.0 29.0
5000-10000	3	92	7.8	9.3	38.2
10000-50000	4	266	22.7	26.8	65.1
50000-100000	5	126	10.7	12.7	77.8
>100000	6	86	7.3	8.7	86.5
Brno	7	39	3.3	3.9	90.4
Prague	8	95	8.1	9.6	100.0
	•	183	15.6	Missing	
	Total	1174	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases 99	Missing o	cases 183			
ZAM24 EMPLOYME	 ENT STATUS				
ZAMZ4 EMFLOIME	INI SIAIUS			Valid	Cum
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
worker	1	175	14.9	17.7	17.7
state employee	2	104	8.9	10.5	28.2
other employee	3	345	29.4	34.9	63.1
agricultural w. self-employed	4 5	15 131	1.3 11.2	1.5 13.2	64.6 77.9
retired	6	130	11.1	13.1	91.0
housewife	7	18	1.5	1.8	92.8
student	8	25	2.1	2.5	95.3
unemployed	9	15	1.3	1.5	96.9
other	1.0	2.1	0 0	2 1	100.0
OCHEL	10	31	2.6	3.1	100.0
other	•	185	15.8	3.1 Missing	100.0
other	· Total				100.0
	·	185	15.8	Missing	100.0
Valid cases 98	Total  Missing o	185  1174	15.8	Missing	
	Total  Missing o	185  1174	15.8	Missing	 Cum
Valid cases 98	Total  Missing of the coups  Value	185  1174 cases 185 	15.8  100.0 	Missing 100.0  Valid Percent	 Cum Percent
Valid cases 98	Total  Missing of the coups  Value 1.00	185  1174 cases 185  Frequency 276	15.8  100.0  Percent 23.5	Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 25.6	Cum Percent 25.6
Valid cases 98 VEK4 AGE - gr Value Label <29 30-44	Total  Missing of the coups  Value  1.00 2.00	185  1174 cases 185  Frequency 276 378	15.8  100.0  Percent 23.5 32.2	Missing  100.0  Valid Percent 25.6 35.1	 Cum Percent 25.6 60.7
Valid cases 98	Total  Missing of the coups  Value  1.00  2.00  3.00	185  1174 cases 185  Frequency 276 378 307	15.8  100.0  Percent 23.5 32.2 26.1	Missing  100.0 	 Cum Percent 25.6 60.7 89.2
Valid cases 98 VEK4 AGE - gr Value Label <29 30-44	Total  Missing of the coups  Value  1.00 2.00	185  1174 cases 185  Frequency 276 378	15.8  100.0  Percent 23.5 32.2	Missing  100.0  Valid Percent 25.6 35.1	 Cum Percent 25.6 60.7
Valid cases 98	Total  Missing of the coups  Value  1.00  2.00  3.00  4.00	185  1174 cases 185  Frequency 276 378 307 116 97	15.8  100.0  Percent 23.5 32.2 26.1 9.9 8.3	Missing  100.0  Valid Percent 25.6 35.1 28.5 10.8 Missing	 Cum Percent 25.6 60.7 89.2
Valid cases 98 	Total  Missing of the coups  Value  1.00  2.00  3.00  4.00  .  Total	185  1174 cases 185  Frequency 276 378 307 116 97 	15.8  100.0  Percent 23.5 32.2 26.1 9.9	Missing  100.0  Valid Percent 25.6 35.1 28.5 10.8	 Cum Percent 25.6 60.7 89.2
Valid cases 98	Total  Missing of the coups  Value	185  1174 cases 185  Frequency 276 378 307 116 97 	15.8  100.0  Percent 23.5 32.2 26.1 9.9 8.3	Missing  100.0  Valid Percent 25.6 35.1 28.5 10.8 Missing	 Cum Percent 25.6 60.7 89.2
Valid cases 98 	Total  Missing of the coups  Value  1.00  2.00  3.00  4.00  .  Total  Missing of the coups	185  1174 cases 185  Frequency 276 378 307 116 97  1174 cases 97	15.8  100.0  Percent 23.5 32.2 26.1 9.9 8.3	Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 25.6 35.1 28.5 10.8 Missing 100.0	Cum Percent 25.6 60.7 89.2 100.0
Valid cases 98	Total  Missing of the coups  Value	185 1174 tases 185 Frequency 276 378 307 116 97 1174 tases 97	15.8 	Missing 100.0   Valid Percent   25.6   35.1   28.5   10.8   Missing 100.0   Valid	Cum Percent 25.6 60.7 89.2 100.0
Valid cases 98	Total 39 Missing of coups  Value 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 .  Total 77 Missing of coups  of senator in 2-r	185 1174 tases 185 Frequency 276 378 307 116 97 1174 tases 97 d round Frequency	15.8 	Missing 100.0   Valid Percent	Cum Percent 25.6 60.7 89.2 100.0
Valid cases 98	Total 39 Missing of toups  Value 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00  Total Missing of toups  Total 77 Missing of toups  of senator in 2-r  Value 2.00	185 1174 tases 185 Frequency 276 378 307 116 97 1174 tases 97 d round Frequency 64	15.8 	Missing 100.0    Valid Percent	Cum Percent 25.6 60.7 89.2 100.0
Valid cases 98	Total 39 Missing of coups  Value 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 .  Total 77 Missing of coups  of senator in 2-r	185 1174 tases 185 Frequency 276 378 307 116 97 1174 tases 97 d round Frequency	15.8 	Missing 100.0   Valid Percent	Cum Percent 25.6 60.7 89.2 100.0
Valid cases 98	Total 39 Missing of 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 . Total 77 Missing of 2-r 0f senator in 2-r Value 2.00 3.00	185 1174 tases 185 Frequency 276 378 307 116 97 1174 tases 97 d round Frequency 64 153	15.8 100.0  Percent 23.5 32.2 26.1 9.9 8.3 100.0  Percent 5.5 13.0 1.6 2.1	Missing 100.0    Valid Percent	Cum Percent 25.6 60.7 89.2 100.0  Cum Percent 22.5 76.1
Valid cases 98	Total 39 Missing of 2000 3.00 4.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 . Total 77 Missing of 200 3.00 4.00 2.00 3.00 8.00 10.00 15.00	185 1174 tases 185 Frequency 276 378 307 116 97 1174 tases 97 d round Frequency 64 153 19 25 8	15.8 100.0  Percent 23.5 32.2 26.1 9.9 8.3 100.0  Percent 5.5 13.0 1.6 2.1 .7	Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 25.6 35.1 28.5 10.8 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 22.5 53.7 6.7 8.8 2.8	Cum Percent 25.6 60.7 89.2 100.0  Cum Percent 22.5 76.1 82.8 91.6 94.4
Valid cases 98	Total 39 Missing of 2000 3.00 4.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 . Total 77 Missing of 200 3.00 4.00 3.00 4.00 15.00 95.00	185 1174 tases 185 Frequency 276 378 307 116 97 1174 tases 97 d round Frequency 64 153 19 25 8 15	15.8 100.0  Percent 23.5 32.2 26.1 9.9 8.3 100.0  Percent 5.5 13.0 1.6 2.1 .7 1.3	Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 25.6 35.1 28.5 10.8 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 22.5 53.7 6.7 8.8 2.8 5.3	Cum Percent 25.6 60.7 89.2 100.0   Cum Percent 22.5 76.1 82.8 91.6 94.4 99.6
Valid cases 98	Total 39 Missing of 2000 3.00 4.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 7 Total 77 Missing of 200 3.00 4.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 95.00 98.00	185 1174 tases 185 Frequency 276 378 307 116 97 1174 tases 97 d round Frequency 64 153 19 25 8 15	15.8 100.0  Percent 23.5 32.2 26.1 9.9 8.3 100.0  Percent 5.5 13.0 1.6 2.1 .7 1.3 .1	Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 25.6 35.1 28.5 10.8 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 22.5 53.7 6.7 8.8 2.8 5.3 .4	Cum Percent 25.6 60.7 89.2 100.0  Cum Percent 22.5 76.1 82.8 91.6 94.4
Valid cases 98	Total 39 Missing of 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 . Total 77 Missing of 2.00 3.00 4.00 . Value 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 95.00 98.00	185 1174 cases 185 Frequency 276 378 307 116 97 1174 cases 97 1174 cases 153 19 25 8 15 1 128	15.8 100.0  Percent 23.5 32.2 26.1 9.9 8.3 100.0  Percent 5.5 13.0 1.6 2.1 .7 1.3 .1 10.9	Missing 100.0   Valid Percent	Cum Percent 25.6 60.7 89.2 100.0   Cum Percent 22.5 76.1 82.8 91.6 94.4 99.6
Valid cases 98	Total 39 Missing of 2000 3.00 4.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 7 Total 77 Missing of 200 3.00 4.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 95.00 98.00	185 1174 tases 185 Frequency 276 378 307 116 97 1174 tases 97 d round Frequency 64 153 19 25 8 15	15.8 100.0  Percent 23.5 32.2 26.1 9.9 8.3 100.0  Percent 5.5 13.0 1.6 2.1 .7 1.3 .1	Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 25.6 35.1 28.5 10.8 Missing 100.0  Valid Percent 22.5 53.7 6.7 8.8 2.8 5.3 .4	Cum Percent 25.6 60.7 89.2 100.0   Cum Percent 22.5 76.1 82.8 91.6 94.4 99.6
Valid cases 98	Total 39 Missing of 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00  Total 77 Missing of 2-1 0f senator in 2-r  Value 2.00 3.00 8.00 10.00 15.00 95.00 98.00 Total	185 1174 cases 185 Frequency 276 378 307 116 97 1174 cases 97 dround Frequency 64 153 19 25 8 15 1 128 761	15.8 100.0  Percent 23.5 32.2 26.1 9.9 8.3 100.0  Percent 5.5 13.0 1.6 2.1 .7 1.3 .1 10.9 64.8 100.0	Missing 100.0   Valid Percent	Cum Percent 25.6 60.7 89.2 100.0   Cum Percent 22.5 76.1 82.8 91.6 94.4 99.6