INTERNATIONAL SOCIAL SURVEY PROGRAMME

Study Monitoring Questionnaire

PLEASE COMPLETE THIS QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE CITIZENSHIP II ISSP MODULE

NOTE:

If most of the details of your ISSP survey are the same as for your previous module, simply update the SMQ for this previous module with the information for the current module and highlight the changes you have made.

Change the title of the SMQ to the title of the current ISSP module and modify the footer as well. Then return the updated SMQ as an email attachment.

RETURN TO: philip.gendall@otago.ac.nz

Details of Your Institute

1a.	Please enter the name of your institute and your country:
	Institute: Country:
1b.	Please enter the name of the principal investigator and your contact person for questions about the study:
	Principal Contact Person:
2a.	What kind of institute fielded the module?
	An institute principally doing market research
	An institute principally doing academic research
	An institute doing both market and academic research $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
	Other (please write in details)
2b.	Which institute carried out the fielding?
	Our ISSP member on the institute itself on the institute of the institute
Que	estionnaire Languages
3а.	Was the questionnaire fielded
	only in English
	in English plus other language(s)
	only in translation $x \to Question 3b$
3b.	Please enter the language(s) the module was fielded in.
	Please write in: Czech

Translation

Note: If your translation process was different for different languages, please answer questions 4 to 10 for your most common practice and note any differences for other languages in question 11.

Was the questionnaire?
a written translation (a questionnaire/application) X →Question 5
an oral translation (interviewer translated on the spot) ——→Question 11
Who carried out translation? Please tick all that apply.
A member or members of the research team
A translation bureau
One or more specially trained translators
Other (please write in details)
Was translation checked?
Yes, all of the questionnaire —→Question 7
Yes, only the new questions ————————————————————————————————————
No
How was translation checked?
Group discussion
Expert checked it
Back translation
Other (please write in details)
Please write in:
Was the translation questionnaire pre-tested?
Yes No

problems when translating? Please tick all that apply. No problems	→Question 11
Answer scales	
Instructions	
Whole questions	
Words or concepts	
Other (please write in details)	
Please write in details of problems checked/ticked above:]
10. What did you do about any problems in translation?	
Please enter details:	
 If your translation process was different for different languages, please note other languages in the box below. 	e any differences for
Please enter details:	7
Fielding the ISSP Module	
12. How was the ISSP module fielded in your country?	
As an <u>individual</u> survey (that is, the ISSP module was the whole survey)	→Question 15
As part of a <u>larger</u> survey	→Question 13

9. Were there any questions, sections, words or concepts which caused

Please provide information about the other stud(ies) the ISSP was fielded wit name).	th (e.g., topic, survey
Please enter:	
14. What was the approximate position of the module in the larger questionnaire?	
Start of questionnaire x	
Middle of questionnaire	
End of questionnaire	
15. Were the substantive questions in the module all asked in the prescribed order?	
Yes X	→Question 17
Yes, apart from omissions	→Question 17
No	→Question 16
16. Why was the question order changed?	
Please enter:	
17. Were all the <u>core</u> ISSP questions included in your questionnaire (by core we mean all required substantive and background questions)?	
Yes – all questions and background questions <u>included</u>	→Question 19
No – substantive question(s) from module <u>missing</u>	→Question 18
No – required background ISSP question(s) missing	→Question 18
18. Please provide details of the questions missing and indicate why they are mis	ssing.
ISSP source questionnaire: question number or description of question:	
Reason(s) why missing:	

Sampling

19. Was your sample designed to be representative of	
Only adult citizens of your country?	
Adults of any nationality residing in your country?	X
20. Was your sample designed to be representative of	
Only adults living in private accommodation?	X → Question 21
Adults living in private and in institutional accommodation (e.g., residential homes for the elderly, asylum accommodation)?	
If private and institutional, please enter details in box below.	
Please enter in:	
21. What was the <u>lower</u> age cut-off for your sample?	
WRITE IN :	1 7
22. Was there any <u>upper</u> age cut-off for your sample?	
Yes - please write in cut-off	
No cut-off	
23. Were any groups excluded or under-represented in your sample design, apart from the age cut-offs or citizenship requirements just asked about?	
No	x
Yes (please write in details)	
If yes, write in details:	
24. Did you use any variables for stratification? Yes	x →Question 25
No	→Question 26

Please write in:	
NUTS2 and type of municipality	
6. How many of stages did your sampling design have?	
One stage	
Two stages	
Three stages	x
Four stages	
7. Did your sampling frame consist of?	
Addresses	x
Households	
Named individuals (the target persons)	
Named individuals (not the target persons)	
Areas	
Something else (please write in details)	
 Please describe your sampling frame (e.g., population register, electoral rits coverage and updating). 	roll, telephone directory and
Please write in:	
Territorial Identification Register of Buildings and Addresses (RUIAN), version sprir used. RUIAN is the database of streets and buildings within the streets in the localit villages) of the Czech Republic. The number of dwelling units is also included. Loca than 200 inhabitants are excluded (only 1,7 % of inhabitants of the CR). Variables fr 2011 data (eg. number of inhabitants 18+) have been attached.	es (cities, tions with less

25. Please describe the stratification variables used?

Please write in:		
	in IBM SPSS. Method was Systematic Production of units were clusters of streets (sampling p	
	ng method and your sampled units for t	
(Only if you have two or more sta	ages, otherwise continue with question	30.)
Please write in:		
Secondary sampling units were house Random.	seholds within clusters. Method used was S	Simple
29c. Please describe vour samplin	ng method and your sampled units for the	he third stage?
	stages, otherwise continue with questio	
Please write in:	-	
We used Kish grids for random sele	ection of the respondent.	
29d. Please describe your samplin (Only if you have four stages, oth	ng method and your sampled units for to the continue with question 30.)	he fourth stage?
Please write in:		
30. What selection method was us	sed to identify a respondent?	
(Do <u>not</u> answer if your sampling Continue with question 32.)	frame consists of named individuals –	which are the target persons
	Kish g	grid X
	Last (or next) birtho	day
	Quo	ota
	Other (please write in deta	
	Other (piedee write in deta	

29a. Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the first stage?

ſ	Please write in:	
L		
32	2. Was substitution or replacement permitted at any stage of your selection process or during fieldwork?	
	Yes	→Question 33
	NI_ P	0
	No X	→Question 34
33	3.Please provide details of the substitution or replacement procedures used.	
	Please write in:	
34	4. All in all, what are the known limitations (biases) of your <u>net</u> sample? For example: is there differential coverage of particular groups, either because of sample design or response differences?	
[Please write in:	
	There are no known limitations of the net sample. Anyway, ctive people interested in	
	politics might have been more open to participate.	
L		
	Data Collection	
35	5. What data collection methods were used for the module (substantive and background questions)?	
	Face-to-face x	
	Self-completion (with some interviewer involvement in delivering or collecting)	
	'Mixed mode': part self-completion, part face-to-face (please write in details)	
	Other (please write in details)	
	Carlot (please write in details)	
Ţ	If 'mixed mode' or other, please write in:	
	·	
- 1		

31. Please describe your quota procedures.

(Only if you used quota, otherwise continue with question 32)

36. Please write in the approximate start and end dates of fieldwork.	D	D	MI	VI	YY	
Start date	1	1	0	4	1	1
End date	0	8	0	6	1	1
37. Were postal or telephone components used at any point (e.g., advance of	onta	acts)?			
Yes - postal	Х	(Que	stio	n 38	
Yes - telephone			Que	stio	n 38	
No		$\bigg] \to$	Que	estio	n 39	
38. Please give details of the postal and/or telephone components.		7				
Please enter details:						
Postal contact was used in case of refusals.						
39. Were incentives offered?		7				
Yes, to respondent] ¬				
Yes, to interviewer	X] ¬				
No, neither to respondent nor to interviewer	L					
Interviewer Procedures						
40. Were interviewers paid according to realized cases?		٦				
Yes] ¬				
No						
41. Which, if any, of these rules governed how an interviewer approached an address/household?						
Tick all that apply Calls/visits must be made at different times of day						
Calls/visits must be made on different days of week	х					
Neither of the above						
42. Were interviewers <u>required</u> to make a certain number of calls/ visits before they stopped approaching an address or household?						
Minimum number of calls/visits required - please write in number	0	3				
No minimum call requirement		7				

Yes - please write in approximate proportion	2 %	
No		
44. Were any interviews back-checked (e.g. supervisor checks later whether i	nterview conducted)	?
Yes - please write in approximate proportion	3 8 %	
No		
Response and Outcome Information		
45. Please fill in the following details about your issued sample.		
Some categories may not apply, but please complete to the highest level of detail possible.		
Total number of starting or issued names/addresses (gross sample size)	3 3 0 0	
addresses which could not be traced at all/ selected respondents who could not be traced		
- addresses established as empty, demolished or containing no private dwellings	3 6 2	
- selected respondent too sick/incapacitated to participate	1 0 9	
- selected respondent away during survey period	4 8	
- selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey	0	
- no contact at selected address	4 2 8	
- no contact with selected person	3 7	
- personal refusal at selected address	2 3 3	
- proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent)		
- other refusal at selected address	5 3 8	
- other type of unproductive reaction(please write in full details in the box below)		
- full productive interview (net sample size)	1 5 3 2	
- partial productive interview	1 3	
More information on Other type of unproductive reaction Please write in:		
riease write iii.		

43. Were any interviews supervised (that is, supervisor accompanies interviewer)?

Coding and Verifying 46. Were any measures of coding reliability employed? Yes 47. Was keying of the data verified? Yes - please write in approximate level of verification % No 48. Were any reliability checks made on derived variables (that is variables constructed on the basis of other variables collected)? Yes No No derived variables 49. Were data checked/edited to ensure that filter instructions were followed correctly? Yes No 50. Were data checked/edited for logic or consistency? Yes No 51. Were data checked/edited to ensure they fell within permitted ranges? If you answered YES for any question from Q46 to Q51, continue with Question 52. If you answered NO for all questions Q46 to Q51, continue with Question 53. 52. Were errors corrected? Tick all that apply

Documentation Gender 2012 surveys (except mail surveys)

Yes - individually

Yes - automatically

No - not corrected

Please send your completed SMQ to: philip.gendall@otago.ac.nz						
THANK YOU VERY MUCH						
as the results of this wave.						
Combination of incentive methods, promotion and letters was very helpful. Anyway, respondents would appreciate feedback – information about the previous wave as well						
contacted very often and asked to participate in many surveys.						
People in the Czech Republic are very sensitive to political issues. They are also						
Please write in:						
56. If there is anything you would like to comment on, please do so here.						
	_					
No No						
Yes						
55. Is a national methods report available for your study?						
]					
location size categories, 5 age categories+ gender						
Data include design weight calibrated to number of cases. Post-stratification weights were also used – based on RIM Weighting (NUTs2+ 3 education levels, NUTS2 + 4						
Please write in:						
54. Please briefly describe the weighting or post-stratification strategy used.						
No	→ Question 55					
Yes X	→ Question 54					
53. Were the data weighted or post-stratified?	_					