Study Monitoring Questionnaire

## PLEASE COMPLETE THIS QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE <br> CITIZENSHIP II <br> ISSP MODULE

NOTE:
If most of the details of your ISSP survey are the same as for your previous module, simply update the SMQ for this previous module with the information for the current module and highlight the changes you have made.

Change the title of the SMQ to the title of the current ISSP module and modify the footer as well. Then return the updated SMQ as an email attachment.

## Details of Your Institute

1a. Please enter the name of your institute and your country:
Institute: $\square$ Country: $\square$

1b. Please enter the name of the principal investigator and your contact person for questions about the study:

| Principal | $\square$ | Contact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Investigator: |  |  |
| Person: |  |  |

2a. What kind of institute fielded the module?

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { An institute principally doing market research } & \square \\
\text { An institute principally doing academic research } & \square \\
\text { An institute doing both market and academic research } & \square \\
\text { Other (please write in details) } & \square
\end{aligned}
$$

2b. Which institute carried out the fielding?

| Our ISSP member <br> institute itself$\quad \square \quad$ ORInstitute <br> name: | SC\&C, s r.o. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Questionnaire Languages

3a. Was the questionnaire fielded


3b. Please enter the language(s) the module was fielded in.
$\square$

## Translation

Note: If your translation process was different for different languages, please answer questions 4 to 10 for your most common practice and note any differences for other languages in question 11.
4. Was the questionnaire ...? $\begin{aligned} \text { a written translation (a questionnaire/application) } & \mathrm{x} \\ \text { an oral translation (interviewer translated on the spot) } & \square \rightarrow \text { Question } 5 \\ & \square\end{aligned}$
5. Who carried out translation? Please tick all that apply.

A member or members of the research team $\square$
A translation bureau $\square$
One or more specially trained translators $\square$
Other (please write in details) $\square$
6. Was translation checked?

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Yes, all of the questionnaire } \begin{array}{r}
\square \\
\text { Yes, only the new question } 7 \\
\text { No } \square \rightarrow \text { Question } 7 \\
\end{array} \begin{array}{l}
\square \text { Question } 8
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

7. How was translation checked?

Group discussion $\square$
Expert checked it $\square$
Back translation $\square$
Other (please write in details) $\square$
$\square$
8. Was the translation questionnaire pre-tested?

9. Were there any questions, sections, words or concepts which caused problems when translating? Please tick all that apply.

No problems $\square$ $\rightarrow$ Question 11

Answer scales $\square$ Instructions


Whole questions


Words or concepts


Other (please write in details) $\qquad$
Please write in details of problems checked/ticked above:
10. What did you do about any problems in translation?

Please enter details:
11. If your translation process was different for different languages, please note any differences for other languages in the box below.

Please enter details:

## Fielding the ISSP Module

12. How was the ISSP module fielded in your country?

As an individual survey (that is, the ISSP module was the whole survey) $\square$ $\rightarrow$ Question 15 As part of a larger survey $\square \rightarrow$ Question 13
13. Please provide information about the other stud(ies) the ISSP was fielded with (e.g., topic, survey name).

Please enter:
14. What was the approximate position of the module in the larger questionnaire?

Start of questionnaire $x$
Middle of questionnaire $\square$
End of questionnaire $\square$
15. Were the substantive questions in the module all asked in the prescribed order?
Yes $\square$ Question 17
Yes, apart from omissions $\square \rightarrow$ Question 17
No $\square \rightarrow$ Question 16
16. Why was the question order changed?
$\square$
17. Were all the core ISSP questions included in your questionnaire (by core we mean all required substantive and background questions)?

Yes - all questions and background questions included $X \rightarrow$ Question 19
No - substantive question(s) from module missing $\qquad$
No - required background ISSP question(s) missing $\qquad$
18. Please provide details of the questions missing and indicate why they are missing.

ISSP source questionnaire: question number or description of question:

Reason(s) why missing:

## Sampling

19. Was your sample designed to be representative of ...

Only adult citizens of your country? $\square$
Adults of any nationality residing in your country? $x$ x
20. Was your sample designed to be representative of ...

Only adults living in private accommodation? X $\rightarrow$ Question 21
Adults living in private and in institutional accommodation (e.g., residential homes for the elderly, asylum accommodation)? $\square$
If private and institutional, please enter details in box below.
Please enter in:
21. What was the lower age cut-off for your sample?

WRITE IN : 17
22. Was there any upper age cut-off for your sample?

Yes - please write in cut-off $\square$
No cut-off $\square$
23. Were any groups excluded or under-represented in your sample design, apart from the age cut-offs or citizenship requirements just asked about?

Yes (please write in details) $\square$
$\square$
24. Did you use any variables for stratification?

25. Please describe the stratification variables used?

| Please write in: |
| :--- |
| NUTS2 and type of municipality |
|  |
|  |

26. How many of stages did your sampling design have?

# One stage <br> $\square$ 

Two stages $\square$
Three stages x $\square$
Four stages

27. Did your sampling frame consist of...?

Addresses x
Households $\square$
Named individuals (the target persons) $\square$
Named individuals (not the target persons) $\square$
Areas


Something else (please write in details) $\square$
28. Please describe your sampling frame (e.g., population register, electoral roll, telephone directory and its coverage and updating).

```
Please write in:
Territorial Identification Register of Buildings and Addresses (RUIAN), version spring 2014 was
used. RUIAN is the database of streets and buildings within the streets in the localities (cities,
villages) of the Czech Republic. The number of dwelling units is also included. Locations with less
than 200 inhabitants are excluded (only 1,7 % of inhabitants of the CR). Variables from Census
2011 data (eg. number of inhabitants 18+) have been attached.
```

29a. Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the first stage?
Please write in:
WE used complex samples module in IBM SPSS. Method was Systematic Probability
proportional to size and our sampled units were clusters of streets (sampling points).

29b. Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the second stage? (Only if you have two or more stages, otherwise continue with question 30.)

Please write in:

Secondary sampling units were households within clusters. Method used was Simple Random.

29c. Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the third stage?
(Only if you have three or more stages, otherwise continue with question 30 .)
Please write in:
We used Kish grids for random selection of the respondent.

29d. Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the fourth stage?
(Only if you have four stages, otherwise continue with question 30.)
Please write in: $\quad$.
30. What selection method was used to identify a respondent?
(Do not answer if your sampling frame consists of named individuals - which are the target persons. Continue with question 32.)

31. Please describe your quota procedures.

## (Only if you used quota, otherwise continue with question 32)

| Please write in: |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
|  |

32. Was substitution or replacement permitted at any stage of your selection process or during fieldwork?

Yes $\square \rightarrow$ Question 33
No $X \rightarrow$ Question 34
33.Please provide details of the substitution or replacement procedures used.

Please write in:
34. All in all, what are the known limitations (biases) of your net sample? For example: is there differential coverage of particular groups, either because of sample design or response differences?

Please write in:

There are no known limitations of the net sample. Anyway, ctive people interested in politics might have been more open to participate.

## Data Collection

35. What data collection methods were used for the module (substantive and background questions)?

Face-to-face


Self-completion (with some interviewer involvement in delivering or collecting) $\square$
'Mixed mode': part self-completion, part face-to-face (please write in details) $\square$
Other (please write in details)


If 'mixed mode' or other, please write in:
36. Please write in the approximate start and end dates of fieldwork.

D D MM YY


End date

37. Were postal or telephone components used at any point (e.g., advance contacts)?

| Yes - postal $X$ | $\rightarrow$ Question 38 |
| ---: | :--- |
| Yes - telephone | $\square \rightarrow$ Question 38 |
| No | $\square \rightarrow$ Question 39 |

38. Please give details of the postal and/or telephone components.

| Please enter details: |
| :--- |
| Postal contact was used in case of refusals. |
|  |

39. Were incentives offered?

Yes, to respondent $x$ Yes, to interviewer $x$ No, neither to respondent nor to interviewer $\square$

## Interviewer Procedures

40. Were interviewers paid according to realized cases?

41. Which, if any, of these rules governed how an interviewer approached an address/household?

Tick all that apply
Calls/visits must be made at different times of day
Calls/visits must be made on different days of week
Neither of the above
$\square$
42. Were interviewers required to make a certain number of calls/ visits before they stopped approaching an address or household?

Minimum number of calls/visits required - please write in number


No minimum call requirement $\square$
43. Were any interviews supervised (that is, supervisor accompanies interviewer)?

Yes - please write in approximate proportion
 \% No $\square$
44. Were any interviews back-checked (e.g. supervisor checks later whether interview conducted)? Yes - please write in approximate proportion


No


## Response and Outcome Information

45. Please fill in the following details about your issued sample.

## Some categories may not apply, but please complete to the highest level of detail possible.

| Total number of starting or issued names/addresses (gross sample size) | 3 3 0 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| addresses which could not be traced at all/ selected respondents who could not be traced |  |
| - addresses established as empty, demolished or containing no private dwellings |  |
| - selected respondent too sick/incapacitated to participate | 1 0 9 |
| - selected respondent away during survey period | 488 |
| - selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey | 0 |
| - no contact at selected address | 4 2 8 |
| - no contact with selected person | 3 7 |
| - personal refusal at selected address | 2 3 3 |
| - proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent) |  |
| - other refusal at selected address | 5 3 8 |
| - other type of unproductive reaction(please write in full details in the box below) |  |
| - full productive interview (net sample size) | 1 5 3 2 |
| - partial productive interview | 133 |

More information on Other type of unproductive reaction
Please write in:

## Coding and Verifying

46. Were any measures of coding reliability employed?

47. Was keying of the data verified?

Yes - please write in approximate level of verification |  | 5 | 0 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |


48. Were any reliability checks made on derived variables (that is variables constructed on the basis of other variables collected)?

49. Were data checked/edited to ensure that filter instructions were followed correctly?

50. Were data checked/edited for logic or consistency?

51. Were data checked/edited to ensure they fell within permitted ranges?


No


## If you answered YES for any question from Q46 to Q51, continue with Question 52.

 If you answered NO for all questions Q46 to Q51, continue with Question 53.52. Were errors corrected?

## Tick all that apply

Yes - individually x
Yes - automatically $\square$
No - not corrected $\square$
53. Were the data weighted or post-stratified?

| Yes X | $\rightarrow$ Question 54 |
| ---: | :--- |
| No $\square$ | $\rightarrow$ Question 55 |

54. Please briefly describe the weighting or post-stratification strategy used.

Please write in:
Data include design weight calibrated to number of cases. Post-stratification weights were also used - based on RIM Weighting (NUTs2+ 3 education levels, NUTS2 + 4 location size categories, 5 age categories+ gender
55. Is a national methods report available for your study?

56. If there is anything you would like to comment on, please do so here.

Please write in:
People in the Czech Republic are very sensitive to political issues. They are also contacted very often and asked to participate in many surveys.

Combination of incentive methods, promotion and letters was very helpful. Anyway, respondents would appreciate feedback - information about the previous wave as well as the results of this wave.

