

Information on the Data File

24 hours before elections (Chamber of Deputies)

A survey conducted during the period of 24 hours
before the elections to
the Chamber of Deputies of the Czech Parliament in 1996

Prepared by Jindrich Krejci
(e-mail: krejci@soc.cas.cz)
Sociological Data Archive, Social Trends project
Institute of Sociology of the Czech Academy of Sciences

A. TECHNICAL INFORMATION

Country: Czech Republic.

Fieldwork Date: from 29-th to 30-th May 1996.

Investigators:

SC&C (Prague) for Czech Television.

Context of the Survey:

The survey was conducted during the period of 24 hours before the Czech parliamentary elections to the Chamber of Deputies in 1996 for the purpose of usage in electoral news on Czech Television.

Both surveys were organized by SC&C. The fieldwork was carried out by Aktuel (Prague) under supervision of SC&C.

General Population: Adult population (over 18) in the Czech Republic.

Sampling Method:

1) Probability stratified sample on the base of regional and political segmentation prepared by SC&C.

2) Cluster sampling of localities.

3) Selection of individuals - random walk with quote ending (sex, age, education).

N = 1014

Response rate information is not provided because of the sampling method used.

Fieldwork Method:

Standardized interview.

Weighting:

not weighted

Elections to the Parliament of the Czech Republic in 1996:

The elections in 1996 were the first regular parliamentary elections in the newly established Czech Republic. After the split of the Czechoslovak Federation into the Czech Republic and the Slovak Republic in 1993 the Czech National Council became the lower house of the Parliament of the Czech Republic - the Chamber of Deputies. The main legislative body of the former Czech and Slovak Federative Republic (CSFR) was a bi-cameral Federal Assembly. The Czech National Council was a second rank national parliament of one of two members in the federation. Last elections in the CSFR was held in July 1992 into federal and both of national parliaments.

There were two elections in the Czech Republic in 1996. The new electoral system to the Parliament of the Czech Republic was adopted in 1995. On the base of its amendments was held elections to the Chamber of Deputies (the lower house of the Parliament) as well as new elections to the Senate (the upper house).

The members of the Senate (81) are elected by direct franchise according to the principles of the majority system. The term is six years. One third of the Senators shall be elected every two years. The first elections (two rounds) were held in November in 1996. Exit poll 1996 data are concerned only to the elections for the Chamber of Deputies.

The elections for the Chamber of Deputies were held from Friday, 31 May to Saturday, 1 June. The electoral system is based on the principle of proportional representation. The chamber has 200 Deputies who shall be elected for a term of four years. Political subjects taking part in the elections are to submit their lists of candidates for multi-mandate electoral regions. Voters voted first for a party or coalition, than they could prefer individual deputies from the list using so-called priority vote (4 priority votes are the maximum). After the election only the parties with a minimum of 5 per cent of votes are admitted into the first scrutiny. To be admitted coalition of two parties has to win no less than 7 per cent of votes, coalition of three parties 9 and coalition of four and more parties 11 per cent of votes. Political subjects with 2 and more per cent were entitled to receive a state financial support for their activities.

Results of the Elections in %:

Political Subject	Results
ODS Civic Democratic Party	29.62
CSSD Czech Social Democratic Party	26.44
KSCM Communist Party of Bohemia and Moravia	10.33
KDU-CSL Christian Democratic Union – Czechoslovak People’s Party	8.08
SPR-RSC The Union for Republic - Czechoslovak Party of Republicans	8.01
ODA Civic Democratic Alliance	6.36
DZJ Pensioners for Life Securities	3.09
DEU Democratic Union	2.80
SD-LSNS Free Democrats - Liberal, National, and Social Party	2.05
Others	3.22

Source: Czech Statistical Office.

B. INFORMATION ON VARIABLES

CISLO Questionnaire number

TAZATEL Inquirer

OT.1 Which party is the most appealing

OT.2 Which party is the least appealing

OT.3 For which party will respondent vote

Questions for variables OT.1, OT.2 and OT.3:

From the following list of 16 parties which are running for Parliamentary elections, please choose the ones which are, in your opinion, the most appealing, the least appealing, and for which you intend to vote. Write the corresponding number in the appropriate box below the list.

1) In your opinion, which party is the most appealing? Please choose only one party.

2) In your opinions, which party is the least appealing? Please choose only one party.

3) And which of the parties listed below are you intending to vote for?

1	SD - LSNS	Free Democrats-Liberal, National, and Social Party
2	ČSSD	Czech Social Democratic Party
3	ODS	Civic Democratic Party
5	NEZÁVISLÍ	Independent
6	MNS - HSMS	Moravian National Party—The Movement for Silesian and Moravian Unity
7	DEU	Democratic Union
8	ODA	Civic Democratic Alliance
10	KDU-ČSL	Christian Democratic Union—Czech People's Party
11	DŽJ	Movement of Pensioners for Life Securities
12	SDL	Party of the Democratic Left
13	ČMUS	The Czech- Moravian Centrist Union
14	ČP	The Czech Right
15	KSČM	The Communist Party of Bohemia and Moravia
17	SPR-RSC	The Union for Republic – Czechoslovak Party of Republicans
18	LB	Left Block
19	HSMS-MNS	The Movement for self-governing Moravia and Silesia—Moravian National Union
96		Will not vote
97		None of the above
98		Undecided
99		Don't want to respond

NO. OF THE MOST APPEALING PARTY:

QUESTION 1

NO. OF THE LEAST APPEALING PARTY:

QUESTION 2

NO. OF THE PARTY WHICH YOU WILL VOTE FOR:

QUESTION 3

OT.4 When respondent decided to vote for this party

Question:

4) When did you decide to vote for this party?:

In the course of the last election period (1992-1996), approx. in the year

QUESTION 4

- I was persuaded by this year's election campaign 1
- I voted for them in the last parliamentary elections (1992) 2

Other values:

- 92 in 1992
- 93 in 1993
- 94 in 1994
- 95 in 1995
- 96 in 1996

OT.5 Why respondent will vote for this party

Question:

5) Please state why you will vote for this party. Please choose only the most important reason.

- It's a strong party 1
- It bothers me the least 2
- There are strong people in it 3
- It has a good election program 4
- I trust it 5
- It offers a good outlook for the future 6
- It's led by appealing people 7
- Other reason, specify _____ 8

QUESTION 5

OT.6 Party voted for in 1992 Parliamentary elections - "the main one"

Question:

6) Please try to remember which party you voted for in the 1992 Parliamentary elections. If you voted for more than one party, please list only the main one.

1	Civic Democratic Alliance
3	Czechoslovak Social Democratic Party
4	The Movement for self-governing Moravia and Silesia—Moravian National Union
5	Movement of pensioners for life securities
10	National socialist party- the Czechoslovak national socialist party
13	Democrats 92 for common state
14	Party of republic and national democratic unity
15	The Hungarian Christian Democratic Movement- Együttelés- Hungarian People's Party
17	Christian Democratic Union—Czech People's Party
19	Party of Czechoslovak Entrepreneurs, Shopkeepers and Farmers
21	Movement for social justice
22	Liberal- social Union
24	Civic movement
28	The Union for Republic – Czechoslovak Party of Republicans
31	Club of Involved-Non-partymembers
32	Gypsies Civic Initiative
33	Left block – coalition of The Communist Party of Bohemia and Moravia and The Party of the Democratic Left

38	Beer Friends Party
41	Independent Initiative
42	Coalition of Civic Democratic Party and - Christian Democratic Party
96	didn't vote
98	can't remember
99	didn't have the right to vote, couldn't vote

No. of party from 1992:

- OT.7.1 Satisfaction with government in the area of housing policy
- OT.7.2 Satisfaction with government in the area of health care
- OT.7.3 Satisfaction with government in the area of educational system
- OT.7.4 Satisfaction with government in the area of foreign affairs
- OT.7.5 Satisfaction with government in the area of security
- OT.7.6 Satisfaction with government in the area of environment
- OT.7.7 Satisfaction with government in the area of privatization
- OT.7.8 Satisfaction with government in the area of prices/inflation
- OT.7.9 Satisfaction with government in the area of life standard
- OT.7.10 Satisfaction with government in the area of social security
- OT.7.11 Satisfaction with government in the area of economy

Question for variables from OT.7.1 to OT.7.11:

7) To what degree are you satisfied with the present government in the following areas:

	very	dissatisfied	very	satisfied			
7.1. housing, housing construction.....	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
7.2. health care	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
7.3. education	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
7.4. foreign relations	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
7.5. security	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
7.6. environment	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
7.7. privatization.....	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
7.8. inflation	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
7.9. standard of living	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
7.10. social security (retirement, benefits).....	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
7.11. economy	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

- OT.8A People like me do not have any say about what the government does
- OT.8B The average citizen has considerable influence on politics.
- OT.8C Politicians cannot have much impact...
- OT.8D I have a pretty good understanding of the important political issues of our country.
- OT.8E Elections are a good way of making governments pay attention to what people think.
- OT.8F I think most people are better informed about politics and government than I am.
- OT.8G People we elect as MPs try to keep the promises they have made during the election.
- OT.8H Most civil servants can be trusted to do what is the best for the country.
- OT.8I Today in the Czech Republic elections offer people a real choice.
- OT.8J The fairest way of distributing wealth and income would be to give everyone equal share.
- OT.8K People who work hard deserve to earn more than people who do not.
- OT.8L The most important thing is that people get what they need...
- OT.8M The state should fix prices to a large degree.
- OT.8N The state should guarantee jobs for everyone who wants to work.
- OT.8O The individual should be responsible for his/her own poverty.
- OT.8P Country governed with an iron fist and with clear statements.
- OT.8R Our country should resolve the problem of ethnic minorities as soon as possible.
- OT.8S Foreign companies should be able to do business here without any restrictions.

Question for variables from OT.8A to OT.8S:

8) Now I will read several statements. Please say to what degree you agree or disagree with each.
 scale: 1 = completely disagree, 2 = rather disagree, 3 = neither disagree nor agree, 4 = rather agree
 5 = completely agree

- a) People like me do not have any say about what the government does
- b) The average citizen has considerable influence on politics.
- c) Even the best politicians cannot have much impact because of the way the government works.
- d) I feel that I have a pretty good understanding of the important political issues of our country.
- e) Elections are a good way of making governments pay attention to what people think.
- f) I think most people are better informed about politics and government than I am.
- g) People we elect as MPs try to keep the promises they have made during the election
- h) Most civil servants can be trusted to do what is the best for the country
- i) Today in the Czech Republic elections offer people a real choice.
- j) The fairest way of distributing wealth and income would be to give everyone equal share.
- k) People who work hard deserve to earn more than people who do not
- l) The most important thing is that people get what they need, even if this means allocating money from those who have earned more than they need.
- m) The state should to fix prices to a large degree.
- n) The state should guarantee jobs for everyone who wants to work.
- o) The individual should be responsible for his/her own poverty.
- p) It would be better if our country was governed with an iron fist and with clear statements about what needs to be done rather than discussing every issue.
- r) Our country should resolve the problem of ethnic minorities as soon as possible.
- s) Foreign companies should be able to do business here without any restrictions

	8a
	8b
	8c
	8d
	8e
	8f
	8g
	8h
	8i
	8j
	8k
	8l
	8m
	8n
	8o
	8p
	8r
	8s

OT.9 Choice of Prime Minister

Question:

9) If you had to choose for the next Prime Minister, who would it be?
 Choose only one.

- | | |
|---------------|-----------------|
| 1) Buzková | 11)Ruml |
| 2) Dienstbier | 12)Sládek |
| 3) Dlouhý | 13)Stráský |
| 4) Baránek | 14)Vodička |
| 5) Kalvoda | 15)Zeman |
| 6) Klaus | 16)Mlynář |
| 7) Kočárník | 17)Hromádková |
| 8) Lux | 18)Uhde |
| 9) Ortmann | 19) jiný, _____ |
| 10)Pilip | |

Prime Minister:

QUESTION 9

OT.10 Choice of Chairmen of Parliament

Question:

**10) If you had to choose the next Head of Parliament, who would it be?
choose only one.**

- 1) Buzková
- 2) Dienstbier
- 3) Dlouhý
- 4) Baránek
- 5) Kalvoda
- 6) Klaus
- 7) Kočárník
- 8) Lux
- 9) Ortmann
- 10) Pilip

- 11) Ruml
- 12) Sládek
- 13) Stráský
- 14) Vodička
- 15) Zeman
- 16) Mlynář
- 17) Hromádková
- 18) Uhde
- 19) jiný, _____

Head of Parliament:

QUESTION 10

OT.11 New government: First issue to solve after election

Question:

11) Which of the following issues should the government attend to immediately following the elections? Choose only one.

safety of citizens 1
corruption 2
health care 3
education 4

housing 5
financing of the state administration 6
reducing crime 7
11
agriculture 8

QUESTION

- OT.12A** Safety in the city, security in the countryside.
- OT.12B** We showed that we are capable.
- OT.12C** I'm in favor of wealth which comes from honest work.
- OT.12D** Calm Power.
- OT.12E** Humanity against selfishness.
- OT.12F** Your vote matters!
- OT.12G** The family is the basic unit of society..
- OT.12H** Family, security, safety.
- OT.12I** Socialism, a chance for the future.
- OT.12J** The state works for people, not people for the state.
- OT.12K** Respect for life, respect for nature.
- OT.12L** Quicken the prosecution of criminals.
- OT.12M** Vote with your right hand.
- OT.12N** For civic and social justice.
- OT.12P** Life imprisonment for hard crimes.
- OT.12Q** A change for the better.
- OT.12R** Lifetime security for you and your children.

Question for variables from OT.12A to OT.12R:

12) Please rate the following statements which appeared during the pre-election campaign. scale: 1 = didn't like it at all , 2 = didn't like it, 3 = indifferent, 4 = liked it, 5 = liked it a lot

a) Safety in the city, security in the countryside.	1	2	3	4	5
b) We showed that we are capable.	1	2	3	4	5
c) I'm in favor of wealth which comes from honest work.	1	2	3	4	5
d) Calm Power.	1	2	3	4	5
e) Humanity against selfishness.	1	2	3	4	5
f) Your vote matters!	1	2	3	4	5
g) The family is the basic unit of society..	1	2	3	4	5
h) Family, security, safety.	1	2	3	4	5
i) Socialism, a chance for the future.	1	2	3	4	5
j) The state works for people, not people for the state.	1	2	3	4	5
k) Respect for life, respect for nature.	1	2	3	4	5
l) Quicken the prosecution of criminals.	1	2	3	4	5
m) Vote with your right hand.	1	2	3	4	5
n) For civic and social justice.	1	2	3	4	5
o) For honest work, an honest pension.	1	2	3	4	5
p) Life imprisonment for hard crimes.	1	2	3	4	5
q) A change for the better.	1	2	3	4	5
r) Lifetime security for you and your children.	1	2	3	4	5

ODS Supposed results: ODS
 ODA Supposed results: ODA
 KDU_CSL Supposed results: KDU-CSL
 CSSD Supposed results: CSSD
 KSCM Supposed results: KSCM
 SPR_RSC Supposed results: SPR_RSC
 SD_LSNS Supposed results: SD_LSNS
 DZJ Supposed results: DZJ
 LB Supposed results: LB
 OSTATNI Supposed results: Other parties

Question for variables

ODS, ODA, KDU_CSL, CSSD, KSCM, SPR_RSC, SD_LSNS, DZJ, LD, and OSTATNI:

13) The Parliamentary elections are coming up. In your opinion, what will the results be for individual parties? Try to guess which percent will be gained by each.

ODS	%	SPR-RSČ	%
ODA	%	SD-LSNS	%
KDU-ČSL	%	DŽJ	%
ČSSD	%	LB	%
KSČM	%	others	%

OT.14 SEX

Question:

14) Sex:

male 1 female 2

14

QUESTION

OT.15 EDUCATION

Question:

15) What is the highest level of education have you completed?

- 15
- basic 1
 - vocational 2
 - secondary 3
 - university 4

QUESTION

OT.16 BIRTH YEAR

Question:

16) In what year were you born?

16

QUESTION

OT.17 TYPE OF EMPLOYMENT

Question:

17) What is your employment?

- worker 1
- state employee 2
- other employee 3
- agricultural worker (collective/private) 4
- businessman/woman 5
- retired 6
- housewife 7
- student, pupil 8
- unemployed 9
- other 10

QUESTION 17

OT.18 COMMUNITY SIZE

Question:

18) Size of community of residence:

- less than 2,000 inhab. 1
- 2,000 – 5,000 inhab. 2
- 5,000 – 10,000 inhab. 3
- 10,000-50,000 inhab. 4
- 50,000 – 100,000 inhab. 5
- more than 100,000 inhab. 6
- Brno 7
- Prague 8

OT.19 LEFT-RIGHT scale in 1996

OT.20 LEFT-RIGHT scale in 1992

Question for variables OT.19 and OT.20:

19) If you were to summarize your political opinions, where would you place yourself on the political scale from left to right?

- extreme left 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 extreme right

In the course of the dramatic changes we've experienced, many people have changed their political positions. Has yours changed since 1992? In comparison to 1992, do you think you're closer to the left or to the right?

20) Try to return to 1992, and place yourself on the left-right scale.

extreme left

extreme right

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Frequencies:

OT.1 Nice Party

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
SD-LSNS Free demcrts	1	50	4.9	4.9	4.9
CSSD Czech Social De	2	194	19.1	19.2	24.1
ODS Civic Democrtc P	3	235	23.2	23.2	47.3
non party individual	5	23	2.3	2.3	49.6
MNS-HSMS Morav.Nat.P	6	8	.8	.8	50.4
DEU Democrtc Union	7	41	4.0	4.1	54.4
ODA Civic Democrtc A	8	122	12.0	12.1	66.5
KDU-CSL Christ.Democ	10	74	7.3	7.3	73.8
DZJ Pensioners for L	11	15	1.5	1.5	75.3
SDL Democratic Left	12	3	.3	.3	75.6
CMUS Bohemian-Moravi	13	5	.5	.5	76.1
KSCM Communist Prty	15	53	5.2	5.2	81.3
SPR-RSC Assoc.for Re	17	97	9.6	9.6	90.9
LB Left Block	18	23	2.3	2.3	93.2
HSMS-MNS Mov.forSelf	19	13	1.3	1.3	94.5
other party	97	34	3.4	3.4	97.8
dont know	98	15	1.5	1.5	99.3
refused	99	7	.7	.7	100.0
.		2	.2	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1012	Missing cases	2		

OT.2 Ugly Party

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
SD-LSNS Free demcrts	1	7	.7	.7	.7
CSSD Czech Social De	2	43	4.2	4.2	4.9
ODS Civic Democrtc P	3	236	23.3	23.3	28.2
MNS-HSMS Morav.Nat.P	6	2	.2	.2	28.4
DEU Democrtc Union	7	32	3.2	3.2	31.6
ODA Civic Democrtc A	8	13	1.3	1.3	32.9
KDU-CSL Christ.Democ	10	21	2.1	2.1	34.9
DZJ Pensioners for L	11	3	.3	.3	35.2
SDL Democratic Left	12	8	.8	.8	36.0
CMUS Bohemian-Moravi	13	1	.1	.1	36.1
CP Czech Right	14	8	.8	.8	36.9
KSCM Communist Prty	15	311	30.7	30.7	67.6
SPR-RSC Assoc.for Re	17	210	20.7	20.7	88.4
LB Left Block	18	64	6.3	6.3	94.7
HSMS-MNS Mov.forSelf	19	5	.5	.5	95.2
will not vote	96	1	.1	.1	95.3
other party	97	13	1.3	1.3	96.5
dont know	98	21	2.1	2.1	98.6
refused	99	14	1.4	1.4	100.0
.		1	.1	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1013	Missing cases	1		

OT.3 For which party will vote

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
SD-LSNS Free demcrts	1	40	3.9	3.9	3.9
CSSD Czech Social De	2	173	17.1	17.1	21.0
ODS Civic Democrtc P	3	241	23.8	23.8	44.8
non party individual	5	11	1.1	1.1	45.9
MNS-HSMS Morav.Nat.P	6	5	.5	.5	46.4
DEU Democrtc Union	7	29	2.9	2.9	49.2
ODA Civic Democrtc A	8	94	9.3	9.3	58.5
KDU-CSL Christ.Democ	10	77	7.6	7.6	66.1
DZJ Pensioners for L	11	14	1.4	1.4	67.5
SDL Democratic Left	12	2	.2	.2	67.7
CMUS Bohemian-Moravi	13	2	.2	.2	67.9
KSCM Communist Prty	15	47	4.6	4.6	72.5
SPR-RSC Assoc.for Re	17	86	8.5	8.5	81.0
LB Left Block	18	17	1.7	1.7	82.6
HSMS-MNS Mov.forSelf	19	10	1.0	1.0	83.6
will not vote	96	37	3.6	3.6	87.3
other party	97	6	.6	.6	87.9
dont know	98	107	10.6	10.6	98.4
refused	99	16	1.6	1.6	100.0
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1014	Missing cases	0		

OT.4 When decided to vote for this party

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
election campaign	1	315	31.1	34.1	34.1
election 1992	2	345	34.0	37.4	71.5
in 1992	92	57	5.6	6.2	77.7
in 1993	93	18	1.8	2.0	79.6
in 1994	94	26	2.6	2.8	82.4
in 1995	95	81	8.0	8.8	91.2
in 1996	96	81	8.0	8.8	100.0
.	.	91	9.0	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	923	Missing cases	91		

OT.5 Reason to vote for this party

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
powerful prty	1	85	8.4	9.0	9.0
dont hinder	2	108	10.7	11.4	20.3
notable people	3	107	10.6	11.3	31.6
program	4	185	18.2	19.5	51.1
trustworthy	5	205	20.2	21.6	72.7
good chances	6	136	13.4	14.3	87.0
sympatic people	7	69	6.8	7.3	94.3
other	8	54	5.3	5.7	100.0
.	.	65	6.4	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	949	Missing cases	65		

OT.6 Party voted in 1992 election

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
ODA Civic Democrtc A	1	54	5.3	5.4	5.4
CSSD Czech Social De	3	81	8.0	8.0	13.4
HSD-SMS Mov.forSelf-	4	15	1.5	1.5	14.9
HDZJ Pensioners for	5	7	.7	.7	15.6
CS Nat.Soc.Prty	10	3	.3	.3	15.9
Democrts 92	13	3	.3	.3	16.2
Prty ofRepublic and	14	3	.3	.3	16.5
KDU-CSL Christ.Democ	17	48	4.7	4.8	21.2
CS entrepreneurs	19	6	.6	.6	21.8
HSS Mov.forSocial Se	21	2	.2	.2	22.0
LSU Liberal Social U	22	23	2.3	2.3	24.3
OH Civic Movement	24	89	8.8	8.8	33.1
SPR-RSC Assoc.for Re	28	54	5.3	5.4	38.5
KAN Club of Engaged	31	9	.9	.9	39.3
ROI Roman Civic Init	32	3	.3	.3	39.6
KSCM Communist Prty+	33	78	7.7	7.7	47.4
SPP Friends of Beer	38	6	.6	.6	48.0
NEI Independent Init	41	6	.6	.6	48.6
ODS Civic Democrtc P	42	255	25.1	25.3	73.8
didnt vote	96	163	16.1	16.2	90.0
dont know	98	27	2.7	2.7	92.7
was not entitled	99	74	7.3	7.3	100.0
.	.	5	.5	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1009	Missing cases	5		

OT.7.1 Govmnt:satisfact wth housing policy

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
very unsatisfied	1	247	24.4	24.4	24.4
	2	221	21.8	21.8	46.2
	3	227	22.4	22.4	68.5
	4	159	15.7	15.7	84.2
	5	81	8.0	8.0	92.2
	6	57	5.6	5.6	97.8
very satisfied	7	22	2.2	2.2	100.0
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1014	Missing cases	0		

OT.7.2 Govmnt:satisfact wth health care

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
very unsatisfied	1	163	16.1	16.1	16.1
	2	221	21.8	21.8	37.9
	3	237	23.4	23.4	61.4
	4	192	18.9	19.0	80.3
	5	113	11.1	11.2	91.5
	6	71	7.0	7.0	98.5
very satisfied	7	15	1.5	1.5	100.0
.	.	2	.2	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1012	Missing cases	2		

OT.7.3 Govmnt:satisfact wth educational system

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
very unsatisfied	1	104	10.3	10.3	10.3
	2	168	16.6	16.6	26.9
	3	233	23.0	23.0	50.0
	4	314	31.0	31.1	81.0
	5	127	12.5	12.6	93.6
	6	58	5.7	5.7	99.3
very satisfied	7	7	.7	.7	100.0
	.	3	.3	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1011	Missing cases		3	

OT.7.4 Govmnt:satisfact wth foreign affairs

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
very unsatisfied	1	43	4.2	4.3	4.3
	2	84	8.3	8.3	12.6
	3	127	12.5	12.6	25.1
	4	274	27.0	27.1	52.3
	5	216	21.3	21.4	73.7
	6	191	18.8	18.9	92.6
very satisfied	7	75	7.4	7.4	100.0
	.	4	.4	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1010	Missing cases		4	

OT.7.5 Govmnt:satisfact wth security

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
very unsatisfied	1	283	27.9	28.0	28.0
	2	270	26.6	26.7	54.8
	3	178	17.6	17.6	72.4
	4	147	14.5	14.6	86.9
	5	78	7.7	7.7	94.7
	6	41	4.0	4.1	98.7
very satisfied	7	13	1.3	1.3	100.0
	.	4	.4	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1010	Missing cases		4	

OT.7.6 Govmnt:satisfact wth environment

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
very unsatisfied	1	203	20.0	20.1	20.1
	2	261	25.7	25.9	46.0
	3	221	21.8	21.9	67.9
	4	198	19.5	19.6	87.5
	5	79	7.8	7.8	95.3
	6	40	3.9	4.0	99.3
very satisfied	7	7	.7	.7	100.0
	.	5	.5	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1009	Missing cases		5	

OT.7.7 Govmnt:satisfact wth privatization

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
very unsatisfied	1	177	17.5	17.5	17.5
	2	153	15.1	15.1	32.7
	3	216	21.3	21.4	54.1
	4	276	27.2	27.3	81.4
	5	105	10.4	10.4	91.8
	6	65	6.4	6.4	98.2
very satisfied	7	18	1.8	1.8	100.0
	.	4	.4	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1010	Missing cases	4		

OT.7.8 Govmnt:satisfact wth prices

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
very unsatisfied	1	281	27.7	27.8	27.8
	2	248	24.5	24.6	52.4
	3	183	18.0	18.1	70.5
	4	193	19.0	19.1	89.6
	5	72	7.1	7.1	96.7
	6	25	2.5	2.5	99.2
very satisfied	7	8	.8	.8	100.0
	.	4	.4	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1010	Missing cases	4		

OT.7.9 Govmnt:satisfact wth life standard

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
very unsatisfied	1	115	11.3	11.4	11.4
	2	156	15.4	15.5	26.9
	3	224	22.1	22.2	49.2
	4	260	25.6	25.8	75.0
	5	137	13.5	13.6	88.6
	6	97	9.6	9.6	98.2
very satisfied	7	18	1.8	1.8	100.0
	.	7	.7	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1007	Missing cases	7		

OT.7.10 Govmnt:satisfact wth social security

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
very unsatisfied	1	163	16.1	16.1	16.1
	2	197	19.4	19.5	35.6
	3	195	19.2	19.3	54.9
	4	274	27.0	27.1	82.0
	5	108	10.7	10.7	92.7
	6	61	6.0	6.0	98.7
very satisfied	7	13	1.3	1.3	100.0
	.	3	.3	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1011	Missing cases	3		

OT.7.11 Govmnt:satisfact wth economy

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
very unsatisfied	1	77	7.6	7.6	7.6
	2	114	11.2	11.3	18.9
	3	148	14.6	14.7	33.6
	4	337	33.2	33.4	67.1
	5	163	16.1	16.2	83.2
	6	134	13.2	13.3	96.5
very satisfied	7	35	3.5	3.5	100.0
	.	6	.6	Missing	
		Total	1014	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	1008	Missing cases	6		

OT.8A People like me no influence on govmt

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly disagree	1	136	13.4	13.4	13.4
somewhat disagree	2	179	17.7	17.7	31.1
n agree n disagree	3	174	17.2	17.2	48.3
somewhat agree	4	265	26.1	26.2	74.4
strongly agree	5	259	25.5	25.6	100.0
	.	1	.1	Missing	
		Total	1014	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	1013	Missing cases	1		

OT.8B Average citizen-influence on politics

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly disagree	1	277	27.3	27.3	27.3
somewhat disagree	2	300	29.6	29.6	56.9
n agree n disagree	3	198	19.5	19.5	76.4
somewhat agree	4	140	13.8	13.8	90.2
strongly agree	5	99	9.8	9.8	100.0
		Total	1014	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	1014	Missing cases	0		

OT.8C Politicians has not much impact

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly disagree	1	136	13.4	13.4	13.4
somewhat disagree	2	272	26.8	26.8	40.2
n agree n disagree	3	279	27.5	27.5	67.8
somewhat agree	4	241	23.8	23.8	91.5
strongly agree	5	86	8.5	8.5	100.0
		Total	1014	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	1014	Missing cases	0		

OT.8D Resp.understands polit.issues in CZ

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly disagree	1	130	12.8	12.8	12.8
somewhat disagree	2	207	20.4	20.4	33.2
n agree n disagree	3	326	32.1	32.1	65.4
somewhat agree	4	249	24.6	24.6	89.9
strongly agree	5	102	10.1	10.1	100.0
		Total	1014	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	1014	Missing cases	0		

OT.8E Election make govmnt pay attention

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly disagree	1	64	6.3	6.3	6.3
somewhat disagree	2	135	13.3	13.3	19.6
n agree n disagree	3	291	28.7	28.7	48.3
somewhat agree	4	317	31.3	31.3	79.6
strongly agree	5	207	20.4	20.4	100.0
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1014	Missing cases	0		

OT.8F Others understand politics better

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly disagree	1	98	9.7	9.7	9.7
somewhat disagree	2	281	27.7	27.7	37.4
n agree n disagree	3	339	33.4	33.5	70.9
somewhat agree	4	203	20.0	20.0	90.9
strongly agree	5	92	9.1	9.1	100.0
.	.	1	.1	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1013	Missing cases	1		

OT.8G MPs keep promises given in election

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly disagree	1	150	14.8	14.8	14.8
somewhat disagree	2	263	25.9	25.9	40.7
n agree n disagree	3	285	28.1	28.1	68.8
somewhat agree	4	229	22.6	22.6	91.4
strongly agree	5	87	8.6	8.6	100.0
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1014	Missing cases	0		

OT.8H Public servants can be trusted

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly disagree	1	196	19.3	19.3	19.3
somewhat disagree	2	292	28.8	28.8	48.1
n agree n disagree	3	272	26.8	26.8	75.0
somewhat agree	4	186	18.3	18.3	93.3
strongly agree	5	68	6.7	6.7	100.0
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1014	Missing cases	0		

OT.8I Voters have a real choice

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly disagree	1	38	3.7	3.7	3.7
somewhat disagree	2	62	6.1	6.1	9.9
n agree n disagree	3	105	10.4	10.4	20.2
somewhat agree	4	255	25.1	25.1	45.4
strongly agree	5	554	54.6	54.6	100.0
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1014	Missing cases	0		

OT.8J Fairest distrib-equal share to everyone

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly disagree	1	414	40.8	40.8	40.8
somewhat disagree	2	253	25.0	25.0	65.8
n agree n disagree	3	187	18.4	18.4	84.2
somewhat agree	4	76	7.5	7.5	91.7
strongly agree	5	84	8.3	8.3	100.0
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1014	Missing cases	0		

OT.8K Hard work deserves more money

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly disagree	1	28	2.8	2.8	2.8
somewhat disagree	2	48	4.7	4.7	7.5
n agree n disagree	3	126	12.4	12.4	19.9
somewhat agree	4	278	27.4	27.4	47.4
strongly agree	5	533	52.6	52.6	100.0
.	.	1	.1	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1013	Missing cases	1		

OT.8L People should get what they need X redis

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly disagree	1	138	13.6	13.6	13.6
somewhat disagree	2	199	19.6	19.7	33.3
n agree n disagree	3	315	31.1	31.1	64.4
somewhat agree	4	196	19.3	19.4	83.8
strongly agree	5	164	16.2	16.2	100.0
.	.	2	.2	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1012	Missing cases	2		

OT.8M State should control prices

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly disagree	1	126	12.4	12.5	12.5
somewhat disagree	2	182	17.9	18.0	30.4
n agree n disagree	3	244	24.1	24.1	54.5
somewhat agree	4	264	26.0	26.1	80.6
strongly agree	5	196	19.3	19.4	100.0
.	.	2	.2	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1012	Missing cases	2		

OT.8N State should give job to everyone

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly disagree	1	63	6.2	6.2	6.2
somewhat disagree	2	131	12.9	12.9	19.2
n agree n disagree	3	207	20.4	20.4	39.6
somewhat agree	4	266	26.2	26.3	65.8
strongly agree	5	346	34.1	34.2	100.0
.	.	1	.1	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1013	Missing cases	1		

OT.80 Poor people are guilty for their situati

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly disagree	1	156	15.4	15.4	15.4
somewhat disagree	2	258	25.4	25.5	40.9
n agree n disagree	3	219	21.6	21.6	62.5
somewhat agree	4	197	19.4	19.5	82.0
strongly agree	5	182	17.9	18.0	100.0
.	.	2	.2	Missing	
		Total	1014	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	1012	Missing cases	2		

OT.8P Authoritarian government

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly disagree	1	224	22.1	22.1	22.1
somewhat disagree	2	178	17.6	17.6	39.7
n agree n disagree	3	279	27.5	27.5	67.2
somewhat agree	4	151	14.9	14.9	82.1
strongly agree	5	181	17.9	17.9	100.0
.	.	1	.1	Missing	
		Total	1014	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	1013	Missing cases	1		

OT.8R State has to solve ethnic minorities pro

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly disagree	1	35	3.5	3.5	3.5
somewhat disagree	2	68	6.7	6.7	10.2
n agree n disagree	3	202	19.9	20.0	30.2
somewhat agree	4	257	25.3	25.4	55.6
strongly agree	5	449	44.3	44.4	100.0
.	.	3	.3	Missing	
		Total	1014	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	1011	Missing cases	3		

OT.8S Opened doors for foreign companies

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly disagree	1	182	17.9	18.0	18.0
somewhat disagree	2	263	25.9	26.0	44.0
n agree n disagree	3	272	26.8	26.9	70.8
somewhat agree	4	144	14.2	14.2	85.1
strongly agree	5	151	14.9	14.9	100.0
.	.	2	.2	Missing	
		Total	1014	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	1012	Missing cases	2		

OT.9 Resps choice of Prime Minister

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Buzkova	1	34	3.4	3.4	3.4
Dienstbier	2	47	4.6	4.7	8.0
Dlouhy	3	80	7.9	7.9	16.0
Baranek	4	5	.5	.5	16.5
Kalvoda	5	40	3.9	4.0	20.4
Klaus	6	333	32.8	33.0	53.4
Kocarnik	7	6	.6	.6	54.0
Lux	8	59	5.8	5.8	59.9
Ortman	9	29	2.9	2.9	62.7
Pilip	10	4	.4	.4	63.1
Ruml	11	8	.8	.8	63.9
Sladek	12	81	8.0	8.0	72.0
Strasky	13	14	1.4	1.4	73.3
Vodicka	14	2	.2	.2	73.5
Zeman	15	163	16.1	16.2	89.7
Mlynar	16	10	1.0	1.0	90.7
Hromadkova	17	25	2.5	2.5	93.2
Uhde	18	7	.7	.7	93.9
other	19	62	6.1	6.1	100.0
.	.	5	.5	Missing	
		Total	1014	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	1009	Missing cases	5		

OT.10 Resps choice of Chairmen of Parliament

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Buzkova	1	106	10.5	10.5	10.5
Dienstbier	2	100	9.9	10.0	20.5
Dlouhy	3	100	9.9	10.0	30.4
Baranek	4	5	.5	.5	30.9
Kalvoda	5	101	10.0	10.0	41.0
Klaus	6	47	4.6	4.7	45.7
Kocarnik	7	34	3.4	3.4	49.1
Lux	8	53	5.2	5.3	54.3
Ortman	9	23	2.3	2.3	56.6
Pilip	10	19	1.9	1.9	58.5
Ruml	11	19	1.9	1.9	60.4
Sladek	12	39	3.8	3.9	64.3
Strasky	13	45	4.4	4.5	68.8
Vodicka	14	7	.7	.7	69.5
Zeman	15	51	5.0	5.1	74.5
Mlynar	16	21	2.1	2.1	76.6
Hromadkova	17	28	2.8	2.8	79.4
Uhde	18	98	9.7	9.8	89.2
other	19	109	10.7	10.8	100.0
.	.	9	.9	Missing	
		Total	1014	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	1005	Missing cases	9		

OT.11 First issue to solve after election

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
security	1	214	21.1	21.3	21.3
corruption	2	159	15.7	15.8	37.2
health care	3	243	24.0	24.2	61.4
educat.system	4	35	3.5	3.5	64.8
housing	5	176	17.4	17.5	82.4
state finance	6	25	2.5	2.5	84.9
criminality	7	101	10.0	10.1	94.9
agriculture	8	51	5.0	5.1	100.0
.	.	10	1.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1004	Missing cases	10		

OT.12A Catchword:Safety-city,security-countrysi

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly dislike	1	77	7.6	7.6	7.6
dislike	2	190	18.7	18.8	26.4
neither nor	3	368	36.3	36.3	62.7
like	4	292	28.8	28.8	91.5
very like	5	86	8.5	8.5	100.0
.	.	1	.1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1013	Missing cases	1		

OT.12B Catchword:We have proved we can proove

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly dislike	1	251	24.8	24.8	24.8
dislike	2	248	24.5	24.5	49.3
neither nor	3	266	26.2	26.3	75.5
like	4	186	18.3	18.4	93.9
very like	5	62	6.1	6.1	100.0
.	.	1	.1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1013	Missing cases	1		

OT.12C Catchword:Wealth from hard work

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly dislike	1	43	4.2	4.2	4.2
dislike	2	95	9.4	9.4	13.6
neither nor	3	209	20.6	20.7	34.3
like	4	389	38.4	38.4	72.7
very like	5	276	27.2	27.3	100.0
.	.	2	.2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1012	Missing cases	2		

OT.12D Catchword:Silent power

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly dislike	1	153	15.1	15.1	15.1
dislike	2	256	25.2	25.3	40.4
neither nor	3	411	40.5	40.6	81.0
like	4	141	13.9	13.9	95.0
very like	5	51	5.0	5.0	100.0
.	.	2	.2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1012	Missing cases	2		

OT.12E Catchword:Humanity against egoism

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly dislike	1	55	5.4	5.4	5.4
dislike	2	99	9.8	9.8	15.2
neither nor	3	319	31.5	31.6	46.8
like	4	410	40.4	40.6	87.3
very like	5	128	12.6	12.7	100.0
.	.	3	.3	Missing	
		Total	1014	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	1011	Missing cases	3		

OT.12F Catchword:Your vote will settle

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly dislike	1	202	19.9	20.0	20.0
dislike	2	249	24.6	24.6	44.6
neither nor	3	291	28.7	28.8	73.4
like	4	187	18.4	18.5	91.9
very like	5	82	8.1	8.1	100.0
.	.	3	.3	Missing	
		Total	1014	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	1011	Missing cases	3		

OT.12G Catchword:Family base of society

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly dislike	1	24	2.4	2.4	2.4
dislike	2	53	5.2	5.2	7.6
neither nor	3	211	20.8	20.9	28.5
like	4	440	43.4	43.5	72.0
very like	5	283	27.9	28.0	100.0
.	.	3	.3	Missing	
		Total	1014	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	1011	Missing cases	3		

OT.12H Catchword:Family, security, safety

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly dislike	1	28	2.8	2.8	2.8
dislike	2	56	5.5	5.5	8.3
neither nor	3	227	22.4	22.5	30.8
like	4	408	40.2	40.4	71.1
very like	5	292	28.8	28.9	100.0
.	.	3	.3	Missing	
		Total	1014	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	1011	Missing cases	3		

OT.12I Catchword:Socialismus-chance for future

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly dislike	1	546	53.8	54.0	54.0
dislike	2	232	22.9	22.9	76.9
neither nor	3	135	13.3	13.3	90.2
like	4	51	5.0	5.0	95.3
very like	5	48	4.7	4.7	100.0
.	.	2	.2	Missing	
		Total	1014	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	1012	Missing cases	2		

OT.12J Catchword:State for people

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly dislike	1	33	3.3	3.3	3.3
dislike	2	53	5.2	5.2	8.5
neither nor	3	260	25.6	25.7	34.3
like	4	382	37.7	37.8	72.1
very like	5	282	27.8	27.9	100.0
.	.	4	.4	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1010	Missing cases	4		

OT.12K Catchword:Respect for life, nature

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly dislike	1	11	1.1	1.1	1.1
dislike	2	31	3.1	3.1	4.2
neither nor	3	160	15.8	15.8	20.0
like	4	409	40.3	40.4	60.4
very like	5	401	39.5	39.6	100.0
.	.	2	.2	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1012	Missing cases	2		

OT.12L Catchword:Punishment for culprits

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly dislike	1	30	3.0	3.0	3.0
dislike	2	41	4.0	4.1	7.1
neither nor	3	143	14.1	14.2	21.3
like	4	312	30.8	31.0	52.2
very like	5	481	47.4	47.8	100.0
.	.	7	.7	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1007	Missing cases	7		

OT.12M Catchword:Vote with right hand

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly dislike	1	206	20.3	20.5	20.5
dislike	2	234	23.1	23.3	43.8
neither nor	3	356	35.1	35.4	79.2
like	4	139	13.7	13.8	93.0
very like	5	70	6.9	7.0	100.0
.	.	9	.9	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1005	Missing cases	9		

OT.12N Catchword:For civil and social justice

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly dislike	1	54	5.3	5.4	5.4
dislike	2	98	9.7	9.8	15.1
neither nor	3	418	41.2	41.6	56.8
like	4	319	31.5	31.8	88.5
very like	5	115	11.3	11.5	100.0
.	.	10	1.0	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1004	Missing cases	10		

OT.12O Catchword:Fair work-fair pension

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly dislike	1	38	3.7	3.8	3.8
dislike	2	82	8.1	8.2	11.9
neither nor	3	175	17.3	17.4	29.4
like	4	385	38.0	38.3	67.7
very like	5	325	32.1	32.3	100.0
.	.	9	.9	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1005	Missing cases	9		

OT.12P Catchword:Life sentence for serious crim

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly dislike	1	36	3.6	3.6	3.6
dislike	2	59	5.8	5.9	9.4
neither nor	3	130	12.8	12.9	22.4
like	4	239	23.6	23.8	46.1
very like	5	542	53.5	53.9	100.0
.	.	8	.8	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1006	Missing cases	8		

OT.12Q Catchword:Turn for the better

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly dislike	1	58	5.7	5.8	5.8
dislike	2	116	11.4	11.6	17.3
neither nor	3	324	32.0	32.3	49.6
like	4	326	32.1	32.5	82.1
very like	5	180	17.8	17.9	100.0
.	.	10	1.0	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1004	Missing cases	10		

OT.12R Catchword:Life securities-you & childrn

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly dislike	1	17	1.7	1.7	1.7
dislike	2	65	6.4	6.5	8.2
neither nor	3	208	20.5	20.7	28.9
like	4	360	35.5	35.9	64.7
very like	5	354	34.9	35.3	100.0
.	.	10	1.0	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1004	Missing cases	10		

ODS ODS:election gains in %

Value	Freq	Pct	Cum Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Cum Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Cum Pct
2	1	0	0	22	37	4	30	40	34	4	93
3	2	0	0	23	35	4	34	42	2	0	94
4	2	0	1	24	25	3	36	45	5	1	94
5	14	1	2	25	133	14	50	47	2	0	94
8	1	0	2	26	32	3	53	49	1	0	94
9	1	0	2	27	39	4	58	50	18	2	96
10	28	3	5	28	44	5	62	52	2	0	96
11	1	0	5	29	24	3	65	53	2	0	97
12	5	1	6	30	147	15	80	55	2	0	97
13	3	0	6	31	15	2	81	58	1	0	97
14	1	0	6	32	22	2	84	60	16	2	99
15	42	4	11	33	9	1	85	63	1	0	99
16	1	0	11	34	3	0	85	65	3	0	99

17	9	1	12	35	38	4	89	70	3	0	99
18	12	1	13	36	1	0	89	74	1	0	99
19	9	1	14	37	2	0	89	75	2	0	100
20	94	10	24	38	4	0	90	80	3	0	100
21	25	3	26	39	1	0	90				

M I S S I N G D A T A

Value	Freq	Value	Freq	Value	Freq
.	54				
Valid cases	960	Missing cases	54		

ODA ODA:election gains in %

Cum				Cum				Cum			
Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Pct
0	1	0	0	14	11	1	76	31	1	0	98
1	2	0	0	15	68	8	84	32	1	0	98
2	1	0	0	16	5	1	85	35	1	0	98
3	4	0	1	17	7	1	85	40	2	0	98
4	8	1	2	18	12	1	87	41	1	0	98
5	88	11	13	19	4	0	87	45	2	0	99
6	43	5	18	20	54	7	94	50	3	0	99
7	57	7	25	22	3	0	94	55	2	0	99
8	111	14	38	23	5	1	95	60	2	0	99
9	34	4	42	24	2	0	95	62	1	0	100
10	204	25	67	25	4	0	96	65	1	0	100
11	16	2	69	27	2	0	96	80	1	0	100
12	31	4	73	28	1	0	96	85	1	0	100
13	11	1	74	30	13	2	98	96	1	0	100

M I S S I N G D A T A

Value	Freq	Value	Freq	Value	Freq
.	192				
Valid cases	822	Missing cases	192		

KDU_CSL KDU-CSL:election gains in %

Cum				Cum				Cum			
Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Pct
2	3	0	0	11	19	2	77	20	32	4	98
3	9	1	2	12	43	5	83	21	1	0	98
4	3	0	2	13	10	1	84	25	1	0	98
5	106	13	15	14	6	1	85	30	6	1	99
6	31	4	19	15	61	8	93	33	1	0	99
7	64	8	27	16	3	0	93	35	1	0	99
8	77	10	37	17	3	0	93	40	2	0	100
9	56	7	44	18	2	0	94	42	2	0	100
10	241	31	75	19	3	0	94	56	1	0	100

M I S S I N G D A T A

Value	Freq	Value	Freq	Value	Freq
.	227				
Valid cases	787	Missing cases	227		

CSSD CSSD:election gains in %

Cum				Cum				Cum			
Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Pct
1	2	0	0	17	11	1	25	33	3	0	94
2	1	0	0	18	34	4	29	35	12	1	96
3	11	1	2	19	30	3	32	37	1	0	96
4	3	0	2	20	160	18	50	38	1	0	96
5	38	4	6	21	33	4	54	40	15	2	97
6	2	0	6	22	54	6	60	45	1	0	98
7	11	1	8	23	36	4	64	46	1	0	98
8	6	1	8	24	23	3	67	48	2	0	98
9	12	1	10	25	103	12	79	50	6	1	99
10	60	7	17	26	19	2	81	55	1	0	99
11	1	0	17	27	14	2	82	57	2	0	99
12	5	1	17	28	26	3	85	60	2	0	99
13	5	1	18	29	12	1	87	70	1	0	99
14	2	0	18	30	55	6	93	75	2	0	100
15	38	4	22	31	1	0	93	80	3	0	100
16	9	1	23	32	7	1	94	89	1	0	100

MISSING DATA												
Value	Freq			Value	Freq			Value	Freq			
.	136			.				.				
Valid cases	878	Missing cases		136								

KSCM	KSCM:election gains in %											
	Cum				Cum				Cum			
Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	
1	11	1	1	12	49	6	79	29	1	0	98	
2	27	3	5	13	10	1	81	30	7	1	99	
3	22	3	7	14	9	1	82	31	1	0	99	
4	11	1	9	15	68	8	90	35	2	0	99	
5	105	13	22	16	9	1	91	40	2	0	99	
6	32	4	26	17	7	1	92	50	1	0	99	
7	43	5	31	18	7	1	93	63	2	0	100	
8	71	9	40	19	6	1	94	65	1	0	100	
9	36	4	45	20	25	3	97	70	1	0	100	
10	196	24	69	21	2	0	97	80	1	0	100	
11	34	4	73	25	3	0	98					
MISSING DATA												
Value	Freq			Value	Freq			Value	Freq			
.	212			.				.				
Valid cases	802	Missing cases		212								

SPR_RSC	SPR_RSC:election gains in %											
	Cum				Cum				Cum			
Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	
1	9	1	1	13	5	1	85	28	1	0	97	
2	31	4	6	14	2	0	85	30	4	1	98	
3	37	5	11	15	37	5	91	35	2	0	98	
4	29	4	15	16	3	0	91	40	3	0	98	
5	147	20	35	17	8	1	92	50	2	0	99	
6	67	9	44	18	4	1	93	55	1	0	99	
7	105	15	59	19	2	0	93	60	1	0	99	
8	74	10	69	20	23	3	96	62	1	0	99	
9	30	4	73	21	1	0	96	70	2	0	99	
10	67	9	82	23	1	0	96	75	3	0	100	
11	3	0	83	24	1	0	97	80	2	0	100	
12	13	2	85	25	3	0	97					
MISSING DATA												
Value	Freq			Value	Freq			Value	Freq			
.	290			.				.				
Valid cases	724	Missing cases		290								

SD_LSNS	SD_LSNS:election gains in %											
	Cum				Cum				Cum			
Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	
1	26	5	5	7	35	7	86	15	4	1	98	
2	57	11	16	8	20	4	90	18	1	0	98	
3	78	15	31	9	6	1	91	20	4	1	99	
4	73	14	45	10	29	6	97	30	1	0	99	
5	145	28	73	11	2	0	97	38	1	0	100	
6	34	7	79	12	2	0	98	60	2	0	100	
MISSING DATA												
Value	Freq			Value	Freq			Value	Freq			
.	494			.				.				
Valid cases	520	Missing cases		494								

DZJ	DZJ:election gains in %											
	Cum				Cum				Cum			
Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	
1	73	15	15	8	8	2	91	20	5	1	99	
2	123	26	41	9	1	0	91	25	1	0	99	
3	95	20	60	10	26	5	97	30	1	0	99	
4	47	10	70	11	1	0	97	32	2	0	100	
5	75	16	86	12	2	0	98	80	1	0	100	
6	11	2	88	13	1	0	98					
7	7	1	90	15	1	0	98					

M I S S I N G D A T A

Value	Freq	Value	Freq	Value	Freq
.	533				
Valid cases	481	Missing cases	533		

LB LB:election gains in %

Cum				Cum				Cum			
Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Pct
1	35	7	7	8	22	5	86	18	1	0	98
2	65	14	21	9	7	1	88	20	4	1	99
3	90	19	40	10	30	6	94	25	1	0	99
4	59	12	52	11	3	1	95	30	3	1	100
5	107	22	74	12	5	1	96	35	1	0	100
6	20	4	78	14	2	0	96	65	1	0	100
7	17	4	82	15	8	2	98				

M I S S I N G D A T A

Value	Freq	Value	Freq	Value	Freq
.	533				
Valid cases	481	Missing cases	533		

OSTATNI Other parties: in %

Cum				Cum				Cum			
Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Pct
0	6	1	1	12	6	1	83	28	1	0	97
1	38	9	11	13	7	2	85	30	5	1	98
2	32	8	18	14	6	1	86	38	1	0	98
3	29	7	25	15	16	4	90	40	1	0	98
4	23	6	31	16	3	1	91	46	1	0	99
5	75	18	49	17	1	0	91	50	1	0	99
6	24	6	54	18	3	1	92	70	1	0	99
7	16	4	58	19	1	0	92	75	1	0	99
8	9	2	60	20	10	2	94	80	2	0	100
9	8	2	62	22	1	0	95	85	1	0	100
10	77	18	81	25	6	1	96				
11	4	1	82	26	1	0	96				

M I S S I N G D A T A

Value	Freq	Value	Freq	Value	Freq
.	597				
Valid cases	417	Missing cases	597		

OT.14 SEX

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
man	1	526	51.9	51.9	51.9
woman	2	487	48.0	48.1	100.0
.	.	1	.1	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1013	Missing cases	1		

OT.15 EDUCATION

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
primary	1	144	14.2	14.2	14.2
vocational	2	301	29.7	29.8	44.0
diploma	3	424	41.8	41.9	86.0
university	4	142	14.0	14.0	100.0
.	.	3	.3	Missing	
Total		1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1011	Missing cases	3		

OT.16 BIRTH YEAR											
Value	Freq	Pct	Cum Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Cum Pct	Value	Freq	Pct	Cum Pct
6	1	0	0	34	3	0	7	58	19	2	51
8	1	0	0	35	6	1	8	59	21	2	53
9	1	0	0	36	13	1	9	60	18	2	54
12	1	0	0	37	6	1	10	61	20	2	56
13	1	0	0	38	16	2	11	62	31	3	59
14	5	0	1	39	18	2	13	63	26	3	62
15	1	0	1	40	17	2	15	64	18	2	64
17	1	0	1	41	10	1	16	65	19	2	66
18	1	0	1	42	19	2	17	66	24	2	68
19	1	0	1	43	17	2	19	67	16	2	70
20	3	0	2	44	10	1	20	68	19	2	71
21	1	0	2	45	28	3	23	69	24	2	74
22	5	0	2	46	21	2	25	70	34	3	77
23	3	0	3	47	17	2	27	71	20	2	79
24	4	0	3	48	34	3	30	72	28	3	82
25	1	0	3	49	20	2	32	73	19	2	84
26	1	0	3	50	20	2	34	74	29	3	87
27	2	0	3	51	20	2	36	75	41	4	91
28	5	0	4	52	23	2	38	76	45	4	95
29	3	0	4	53	25	2	41	77	28	3	98
30	6	1	5	54	17	2	42	78	20	2	100
31	8	1	6	55	24	2	45	79	1	0	100
32	7	1	6	56	26	3	47				
33	6	1	7	57	15	1	49				
Valid cases	1014			Missing cases			0				

OT.17 TYPE OF EMPLOYMENT						
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent	
worker	1	173	17.1	17.1	17.1	
civil servant	2	112	11.0	11.0	28.1	
other employee	3	204	20.1	20.1	48.2	
in agriculture	4	32	3.2	3.2	51.4	
self-employed	5	112	11.0	11.0	62.4	
retired	6	108	10.7	10.7	73.1	
housewife/man	7	43	4.2	4.2	77.3	
student	8	100	9.9	9.9	87.2	
unemployed	9	38	3.7	3.7	90.9	
other	10	92	9.1	9.1	100.0	
		Total	1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1014	Missing cases				0

OT.18 COMMUNITY SIZE						
Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent	
< 2000	1	124	12.2	12.2	12.2	
2000 - 5000	2	127	12.5	12.5	24.8	
5000-10000	3	152	15.0	15.0	39.7	
10000-50000	4	233	23.0	23.0	62.7	
50000-100000	5	118	11.6	11.6	74.4	
> 100000	6	108	10.7	10.7	85.0	
Brno	7	34	3.4	3.4	88.4	
Prague	8	118	11.6	11.6	100.0	
		Total	1014	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	1014	Missing cases				0

OT.19 LEFT-RIGHT scale 1996

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
left extreme	1	11	1.1	1.1	1.1
	2	44	4.3	4.4	5.4
	3	120	11.8	11.9	17.3
	4	353	34.8	35.0	52.3
	5	272	26.8	26.9	79.2
	6	163	16.1	16.1	95.3
right extreme	7	47	4.6	4.7	100.0
	.	4	.4	Missing	
		Total	1014	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	1010	Missing cases	4		

OT.20 LEFT-RIGHT scale 1992

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
left extreme	1	15	1.5	1.5	1.5
	2	46	4.5	4.6	6.0
	3	103	10.2	10.2	16.2
	4	364	35.9	36.0	52.3
	5	256	25.2	25.3	77.6
	6	181	17.9	17.9	95.5
right extreme	7	45	4.4	4.5	100.0
	.	4	.4	Missing	
		Total	1014	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	1010	Missing cases	4		