#### INTERNATIONAL SOCIAL SURVEY PROGRAMME

### Study Monitoring Questionnaire

# PLEASE COMPLETE THIS QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE ROLE OF GOVERNMENT ISSP MODULE

#### **NOTE:**

If most of the details of your ISSP survey are the same as for your previous module, simply update the SMQ for this previous module with the information for the current module and highlight the changes you have made.

Change the title of the SMQ to the title of the current ISSP module and modify the footer as well. Then return the updated SMQ as an email attachment.

RETURN TO: philip.gendall@otago.ac.nz

## **Details of Your Institute** 1a. Please enter the name of your institute and your country: Institute of Sociology, Institute: Country: Czech Republic Czech Academy of Sciences 1b. Please enter the name of the principal investigator and your contact person for questions about the study: Principal Contact Zdenka Mansfeldova Jindrich Krejci Investigator: Person: 2a. What kind of institute fielded the module? An institute principally doing market research An institute principally doing academic research An institute doing both market and academic research Other (please write in details) 2b. Which institute carried out the fielding? Our ISSP member OR Institute FOCUS - Centrum pro socialni a institute itself name: marketingovou analyzu, spol. s r. o. **Questionnaire Languages** 3a. Was the questionnaire fielded ... only in English → Question 12 in English plus other language(s) → Question 3b only in translation → Question 3b

3b. Please enter the language(s) the module was fielded in.

Please write in: Czech		

#### **Translation**

Note: If your translation process was different for different languages, please answer questions 4 to 10 for your most common practice and note any differences for other languages in question 11.

4.	Was the questionnaire?
	a written translation (a questionnaire/application)
	an oral translation (interviewer translated on the spot)
5.	Who carried out translation? Please tick all that apply.
	A member or members of the research team X
	A translation bureau
	One or more specially trained translators
	Other (please write in details)
•	
6.	Was translation checked?
	Yes, all of the questionnaire X →Question 7
	Yes, only the new questions —→Question 7
	No
7.	How was translation checked?
	Group discussion X
	Expert checked it
	Back translation
	Other (please write in details)
F	Please write in:
_	
8.	Was the translation questionnaire pre-tested?
	Yes X
	No

problems when translating? Please tick all that apply.	
No problems	→Question 11
Answer scales	
Instructions	
Whole questions	
Words or concepts	
Other (please write in details)	
Please write in details of problems checked/ticked above:	
10. What did you do about any problems in translation?	
Please enter details:	
riodes sinoi detaile.	
11. If your translation process was different for different languages, please n languages in the box below.	ote any differences for othe
Please enter details:	
Fielding the ISSP Module	
12. How was the ISSP module fielded in your country?	
As an <u>individual</u> survey (that is, the ISSP module was the whole survey)	→Question 15
As part of a <u>larger</u> survey	X →Question 13

9. Were there any questions, sections, words or concepts which caused

Please enter: ISSP module was part of the bigger academic survey, it contained additional questions focusing on political attitudes like political legitimacy, trust, efficacy, political education and security. However, ISSP module was the main part of the survey.	
14. What was the approximate position of the module in the larger questionnaire?	
Start of questionnaire X	
Middle of questionnaire	
End of questionnaire	
15. Were the substantive questions in the module all asked in the prescribed order?	
Yes X →Quest	tion 17
Yes, apart from omissions	tion 17
No	tion 16
46. Why was the greation and an abanded	
16. Why was the question order changed?  Please enter:	
Please enter:  17. Were all the core ISSP questions included in your questionnaire (by	tion 19
Please enter:  17. Were all the <u>core</u> ISSP questions included in your questionnaire (by core we mean all required substantive and background questions)?	
Please enter:  17. Were all the <u>core</u> ISSP questions included in your questionnaire (by core we mean all required substantive and background questions)?  Yes – all questions and background questions <u>included</u> X →Quest	tion 18
Please enter:  17. Were all the <u>core</u> ISSP questions included in your questionnaire (by core we mean all required substantive and background questions)?  Yes – all questions and background questions <u>included</u> X →Quest  No – substantive question(s) from module <u>missing</u> — Quest	tion 18
Please enter:  17. Were all the <u>core</u> ISSP questions included in your questionnaire (by core we mean all required substantive and background questions)?  Yes – all questions and background questions <u>included</u> X →Quest  No – substantive question(s) from module <u>missing</u> →Quest  No – required background ISSP question(s) <u>missing</u> →Quest	tion 18
Please enter:  17. Were all the <u>core</u> ISSP questions included in your questionnaire (by core we mean all required substantive and background questions)?  Yes – all questions and background questions <u>included</u> X → Quest  No – substantive question(s) from module <u>missing</u> → Quest  No – required background ISSP question(s) <u>missing</u> → Quest	tion 18
Please enter:  17. Were all the <u>core</u> ISSP questions included in your questionnaire (by core we mean all required substantive and background questions)?  Yes – all questions and background questions <u>included</u> X → Quest  No – substantive question(s) from module <u>missing</u> → Quest  No – required background ISSP question(s) <u>missing</u> → Quest	tion 18

13. Please provide information about the other stud(ies) the ISSP was fielded with (e.g., topic, survey

19. Was your sample designed to be representative of
Only adult citizens of your country?
Adults of any nationality residing in your country?
20. Was your sample designed to be representative of
Only adults living in private accommodation? $X \rightarrow Question 21$
Adults living in private and in institutional accommodation (e.g., residential homes for the elderly, asylum accommodation)?
If private and institutional, please enter details in box below.
Please enter in:
21. What was the <u>lower</u> age cut-off for your sample?
WRITE IN: 1 7
22. Was there any <u>upper</u> age cut-off for your sample?
Yes - please write in cut-off
No cut-off X
23. Were any groups excluded or under-represented in your sample design, apart from the age cut-offs or citizenship requirements just asked about?
No X
Yes (please write in details)
If yes, write in details:
24. Did you use any variables for stratification?  Yes X →Question 25
No

Please write in: NUTS3	
6. How many of stages did your sampling design have?	
One stage	
Two stages	;
Three stages	
Four stages	X
7. Did your sampling frame consist of?	
Addresses	X
Households	
Named individuals (the target persons)	
Named individuals (not the target persons)	
Areas	
Something else (please write in details)	
<ol> <li>Please describe your sampling frame (e.g., population register, electoral its coverage and updating).</li> </ol>	roll, telephone directory a
Please write in:	
We used data (about population 18+) from a 2011 census (Sčítání lidu, domů a b sampling of PSU´s (Primary Sampling Units).	ytů 2011) for
We also used RUIAN (Territorial Identification Register of Buildings and Addresse March 2016. It's a database which covers all streets and buildings within the street localities of the Czech Republic. Locations with less than 200 inhabitants are exclor inhabitants of the CR).	ets in the

25. Please describe the stratification variables used?

Please write in:	
In the first stage we fixed a number of PSU (primary sampling unit) proportional to a number of population over 18 years for every region (NUTS3). Then we determined specif locations of interviewing by random choose (PSU=municipality).	
29b. Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the second stage? (Only if you have two or more stages, otherwise continue with question 30.)	
Please write in: We chose for every PSU (primary sampling unit) 10 addresses from RUIAN by random choose. Interviewers made a list of the households in determined addresses. If there were some uninhabited unit (commercial or factory unit) in this given address, we replaced it with another address.	
29c. Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the third stage? (Only if you have three or more stages, otherwise continue with question 30.)	
Please write in:	
We used Kish grids for random selection of the household.	
29d. Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the fourth stage? (Only if you have four stages, otherwise continue with question 30.)	
Please write in:	
Interviewers interviewed a member of the household with the closest past birthday. A substitution of respondent was forbidden.	
30. What selection method was used to identify a respondent? (Do <u>not</u> answer if your sampling frame consists of named individuals – which are the targ Continue with question 32.)	jet persons.
Kish grid	
Last (or next) birthday X	
Quota	
Other (please write in details)	

29a. Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the first stage?

Please write in:	
32. Was substitution or replacement permitted at any stage of your selection process or during fieldwork?	
Yes X	→Question 33
No	→Question 34
33.Please provide details of the substitution or replacement procedures used.	
Please write in:	
In case, there was no household in the given address (commercial or factory units, see 29a).	
34. All in all, what are the known limitations (biases) of your <u>net</u> sample? For example: is there differential coverage of particular groups, either because of sample design or response differences?	
Please write in:	
Homeless people were excluded from the sample (based on the selection of respondents according to the addresses and households).	
Data Collection	
35. What data collection methods were used for the module (substantive and background questions)?	
Face-to-face X	
Self-completion (with some interviewer involvement in delivering or collecting)	
'Mixed mode': part self-completion, part face-to-face (please write in details)	
Other (please write in details)	
If 'mixed mode' or other, please write in:	

31. Please describe your quota procedures.(Only if you used quota, otherwise continue with question 32)

36.	Please write in the approximate start and end dates of fieldwork.	DI	D	MI	Л	Y	
	Start date	2	4	0	5	1	6
	End date	1	8	0	7	1	6
37.	Were postal or telephone components used at any point (e.g., advance co	ntac	ts)′	?			
	Yes - postal	X	→(	Que	stior	า 38	
	Yes - telephone		<b>→</b> C	⊋ue	stior	า 38	
	No		→(	⊋u∈	estio	n 39	9
38.	Please give details of the postal and/or telephone components.						
	Please enter details:						
	The notification letters were sent to chosen households; they provided brief informs survey and the future visit of the interviewer.	ation	abo	out t	he		
39.	Were incentives offered?  Yes, to respondent  Yes, to interviewer  No, neither to respondent nor to interviewer	X					
Inte	erviewer Procedures						
40.	Were interviewers paid according to realized cases?  Yes  No	X					
41.	Which, if any, of these rules governed how an interviewer approached an address/household?						
	Tick all that apply Calls/visits must be made at different times of day	Х					
	Calls/visits must be made on different days of week	X					
	Neither of the above						
42.	Were interviewers <u>required</u> to make a certain number of calls/ visits before they stopped approaching an address or household?						
	Minimum number of calls/visits required - please write in number	0	4				
	No minimum call requirement						

43. Were any interviews supervised (that is, supervisor accompanies interview	ver)?		
Yes - please write in approximate proportion	1	%	
No			
44. Were any interviews back-checked (e.g. supervisor checks later whether i	nterview	cond	ucted)?
Yes - please write in approximate proportion	3 3	%	,
No			
Response and Outcome Information			
45. Please fill in the following details about your issued sample.			
Some categories may not apply, but please complete to the highest level of detail possible.			
Total number of <u>starting</u> or <u>issued</u> names/addresses (gross sample size)	2 4	3 1	
addresses which could not be traced at all/ selected respondents who could not be traced		0	
- addresses established as empty, demolished or containing no private dwellings		0	
- selected respondent too sick/incapacitated to participate		9	
- selected respondent away during survey period		3 2	
- selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey		6	
- no contact at selected address	1	8 7	
- no contact with selected person		0	
- personal refusal at selected address	7	8 6	
- proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent)		2	
- other refusal at selected address		9	
- other type of unproductive reaction(please write in full details in the box below)		0	]
- full productive interview (net sample size)	1 4	0 0	
- partial productive interview		0	
More information on Other type of unproductive reaction			
Please write in:			

# **Coding and Verifying**

46.	Were any measures of coding reliability employed?  Yes  No	X
47	Mealicaving of the data varified?	
47.	Was keying of the data verified?	
	Yes - please write in approximate level of verification	2 5 %
	No	
48.	Were any reliability checks made on derived variables (that is variables constructed on the basis of other variables collected)?	
	Yes	
	No	X
	No derived variables	
	The delived variables	
49.	Were data checked/edited to ensure that filter instructions were followed correctly?	
	Yes	X
	No	
50.	Were data checked/edited for logic or consistency?	
	Yes	X
	No	
51.	Were data checked/edited to ensure they fell within permitted ranges?	
	Yes	X
	No	
	Karana and MEO for any marking from O40 to O54 and the organic	id. O
	If you answered YES for <u>any</u> question from Q46 to Q51, continue w If you answered NO for <u>all</u> questions Q46 to Q51, continue with	
52.	Were errors corrected?	
	Tick all that apply	
	Yes - individually	X
	Yes - automatically	
	No - not corrected	

53. Were the data weighted or post-stratified?		
	Yes x	→ Question 54
	No	→ Question 55
54. Please briefly describe the weighting or post-stratification strategy u	sed.	
Please write in:		
The post-stratification weights were created. They are based on the education gender and size of municipality for regions (NUTS3).	on, age,	
55. Is a national methods report available for your study?		
	Yes	
	No X	
56. If there is anything you would like to comment on, please do so here	e. 	
Please write in:		
THANK YOU VERY MUCH		
Please send your completed SMQ to: philip.gendall@	@otago.ac.r	าz